

Campaign Finance Activity by Mayoral Candidates in Massachusetts 2013

Massachusetts Office of Campaign and Political Finance

One Ashburton Place, Room 411

Boston, MA 02108

ocpf@cpf.state.ma.us

www.ocpf.us

INTRODUCTION

This study examines campaign finance activity by candidates for mayor in municipal elections throughout Massachusetts in 2013. The Office of Campaign and Political Finance (OCPF) began issuing mayoral studies after the 1997 city elections. OCPF devotes considerable attention to municipal races, both by providing guidance and workshops to candidates and working closely with local election officials to help ensure compliance with the campaign finance law.

Mayoral candidates in cities with populations between 40,000 and 100,000, and mayoral candidates in Boston and Worcester, filed campaign finance reports with OCPF in 2013. Other mayoral candidates filed disclosure reports with their local election officials (city clerks or election commissioners). Mayors in Braintree, Malden, Melrose, Revere, Springfield, Waltham and Weymouth are currently serving four-year terms and will be up for election in 2015. Greenfield's next election is scheduled for June, 2014, for a mayor who serves a three-year term.

The information contained in this study is based on data compiled from campaign finance reports filed by 63 mayoral candidates in the 36 cities that held mayoral elections in 2013. The study is limited to those candidates who were on the ballot in the November elections in cities and does not include those who were eliminated in preliminary elections.

The totals for most of the finalists listed here include activity for all of calendar year 2013. Activity for some first-time candidates, however, started only after the commencement of their campaigns later in 2013.

In cities with populations of less than 100,000, the first report is due eight days before the preliminary and only if the candidate's name appears on the preliminary ballot. The second report is due eight days before the general election (in 2013, that due date was Oct. 28). All candidates and committees were required to file year-end reports on Jan. 21, 2014, disclosing activity through Dec. 31, 2013.

The filing location and schedule differ for mayoral candidates in Boston and Worcester. These candidates have campaign finance accounts with banks that file reports directly with OCPF once a month and then twice monthly in the last six months of an election year. These candidates were also required to file a year-end summary report with OCPF on Jan. 21, 2014.

Some of the expenditures made by mayoral candidates, especially those made by incumbents, may not have been directly related to campaigning. For example, candidates may legally use campaign funds for purposes such as constituent services, charitable contributions, transportation and other activity that is for a political purpose. A mayor who is unopposed for reelection, for example, may still make significant expenditures.

The campaign finance law allows a candidate to have only one political committee while running for state or local office, regardless of how many offices he or she may seek or hold. Some mayoral candidates in this report may have also held another elected office, such as city councilor, and activity by their committees may also include activity related to that office.

OCPF has taken steps to ensure that the information contained in this report is accurate as of the time of its compilation. The information used for this report does not reflect amendments filed by candidates subsequent to the original filings. In addition, the information provided by candidates and committees may have contained some balance inconsistencies.

This report was compiled based on information filed by candidates with OCPF and, in some cases, with local election officials. OCPF acknowledges its debt to those municipal officials for their cooperation in preparing this report. Those wishing further information on this report or any other facet of the Massachusetts campaign finance law may contact the Office of Campaign and Political Finance at 617-979-8300. The office's e-mail address is <code>ocpf@cpf.state.ma.us</code>. This mayoral study, as well as those from previous years, may be found on OCPF's website, at <code>www.ocpf.us</code>.

OCPF is an independent state agency that administers Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 55, which provides for disclosure and regulation of campaign finance activity by candidates for state, county and municipal office.

April 2014

FINDINGS

Voters in 36 cities in Massachusetts went to the polls to elect their mayors in 2013. Twenty-five of those 36 mayoral finals were contested (70 percent), six fewer than in 2011. Of those contested races, 22 featured incumbents running for re-election and three featured contests for open seats (there were 10 open seats in 2011).¹

A total of 63 candidates were on the ballot in November, including 33 incumbents, 11 of whom were unopposed for re-election. Incumbents were defeated in Amesbury, Chicopee, Brockton, Lawrence and West Springfield.

The mayors who won election in November 2013 were 28 returning incumbents and eight newcomers.

The mayoral campaign finance activity in brief:

- Several records were broken in 2013 due to the open Boston mayoral race.
- The 63 mayoral candidates raised \$8,508,820 and spent \$8,839,321 in 2013, according to their reports. **These figures represent significant records** for both fundraising and spending. The previous expenditure record of \$7.5 million was posted in 2009, which was also a mayoral election year in Boston. The previous receipts record was \$5.8 million set in 2005.
- The median amount of fundraising by mayoral candidates in 2013 was \$34,626, while the median spending figure was \$32,964. In 2011, the figures were \$30,218 and \$27,469, respectively. (A median represents the exact midpoint of all 63 totals: half were higher and half were lower.). The fundraising median in recent mayoral studies has been about \$30,000. The spending median has fluctuated from a low of \$23,388 in 1999 to a high of \$33,040 two years later.
- Eight candidates raised more than \$100,000 in 2013 (seven in 2011), and nine spent more than \$100,000 (same as in 2011).
- The top spender in the 25 contested elections won 20 times, or 80 percent of the contests.
- Five candidates outspent their opponents and lost in Amesbury, Chicopee, Haverhill, Lynn and Woburn.

¹ Mayors of two cities, Cambridge and Lowell, are elected as city councilors and are chosen by their fellow members to serve as mayor. Because those candidates never appear on the ballot for mayor, they are not included in this study. The mayor of Worcester is a city councilor-at-large but is also elected by voters separately as mayor. That race is included in this report.

- The race with the highest spending in 2013 was Boson, where former State Rep. Martin Walsh and former Boston City Councilor John Connolly reported total expenditures of \$6,020,548, **a new record**. The previous spending record was set in 2009, when former Boston Mayor Thomas Menino and former City Councilor Michael Flaherty reported total expenditures of \$4,091,893. The city with the second highest amount of spending in 2013 was Everett.
- The top fundraiser among mayoral candidates in 2013 was Martin Walsh of Boston, who raised \$3,004,406, **a new record**. The previous record of \$1,518,353 was set in 2009 by Menino. Fall River Mayor William Flanagan topped the list in 2011 with \$275,567.
- Walsh also topped the spending list at \$3,015,209 in 2013, **breaking the record** set in 2009 by Menino, who spent \$2,676,589.
- The average amount spent per vote by a candidate in 2013 was 20.96, **a new record**. The previous record was \$16.52 set in 2009.

Comparisons to figures from other years are difficult, due to such factors as turnover of candidates and the fact that not all cities hold mayoral elections every two years.

A significant factor in any study of mayoral spending is whether Boston is included. The largest city in the state, Boston elects its mayor every four years and has generated the greatest amount of campaign finance activity by far each time it is included in this study, including 2013.

This study is limited to those candidates who were on the ballot in the November elections and does not include those who were eliminated in preliminary elections.

However, it is worth noting that 12 candidates ran for mayor in Boston and spent a total of \$10,945,919 in 2013.

Of those 12 candidates, four exceeded the \$1 million mark – Walsh (\$3,015,209); Connolly (\$3,005,339); Daniel Conley (\$1,781,618); and Michael Ross (\$1,047,053). Only data from the two finalists, Walsh and Connolly, are included in the following report.

Outside groups also reported \$3,837,805 in independent expenditures to support the two Boston mayoral finalists. Independent expenditures can be made without limit to support or oppose candidates, provided the expenditure is not coordinated with any candidate campaigns. Such groups reported spending \$2.5 million to support Walsh and \$1.3 million to support Connolly.

Campaign Finance Activity by Mayoral Candidates in Municipal General Elections 1997-2013

		Recei	pts	Ex	cpenditures	1
Year	Number of candidates	Total	Median	Total	Median	Average spent per vote
1997	66	\$3,708,975	\$28,157	\$3,835,055	\$27,127	\$6.63
1999	69	\$3,198,736	\$32,289	\$3,284,268	\$23,388	\$7.44
2001	76	\$4,546,947	\$32,644	\$5,852,880	\$33,040	\$11.70
2003	70	\$3,587,911	\$31,586	\$3,949,051	\$27,672	\$11.03
2005	71	\$5,778,781	\$30,021	\$6,209,404	\$27,621	\$14.18
2007	69	\$3,577,819	\$29,122	\$3,914,462	\$25,339	\$12.23
2009	73	\$5,549,845	\$30,523	\$7,542,006	\$28,924	\$16.52
2011	71	\$3,386,403	\$30,218	\$3,549,375	\$27,469	\$11.01
2013	63	\$8,508,820	\$34,626	\$8,839,321	\$32,964	\$20.96

Data are for candidates in the general election only and does not include those who were eliminated in a preliminary election.

The findings in more detail:

Most Active Races

The greatest amount of spending for a mayoral race in 2013 was in Boston, where Martin Walsh and John Connolly reported spending a total of \$6,020,548. In the second slot, Everett candidates reported spending \$312,238.

Boston's 2013 total is a new record, exceeding the previous mark of \$4,091,893 set in 2009 by former Boston Mayor Thomas Menino and his challenger, former City Councilor Michael Flaherty.

Highest Total Expenditures by Mayoral Race 2013

	City	Total Expenditures	Population*
1)	Boston	\$6,020,548	636,479
2)	Everett	\$312,238	42,567
3)	Somerville (NC)	\$236,520	77,104
4)	Lawrence	\$191,998	77,326
5)	Woburn	\$177,356	38,120
6)	Chicopee	\$165,677	55,490
7)	Quincy (NC)	\$158,820	93,027
8)	Holyoke	\$155,210	40,135
9)	Fall River	\$150,232	88,945
10)	Lynn	\$142,692	91,253

* Source: U.S. Census Bureau (2012 Estimate) NC=Not Contested

Eleven cities saw combined spending of more than \$100,000 by finalists, the same as in 2011.

Gardner had the least amount of spending (\$13,143) in cities with contested mayoral elections. Incumbent Mayor Mark Hawke reported \$8,624 in expenditures and challenger Christine Wilson reported \$4,518.

Top Fundraisers

Boston's Walsh was the top fundraiser in 2013 with \$3,004,406 in receipts, **a new record**. The previous fundraising record was \$1,518,353 set in 2009 by Menino. Fall River Mayor William Flanagan was the top fundraiser in 2011 with \$275,567 in receipts.

Top Mayoral Campaign Fundraisers 2013

	Candidate	City	Receipts	Won/Lost
1)	Martin Walsh (O)	Boston	\$3,004,406	Won
2)	John Connolly (O)	Boston	\$2,832,680	Lost
3)	Joseph Curtatone (I)	Somerville	\$243,071	Won
4)	William Flanagan (I)	Fall River	\$183,430	Won
5)	Thomas Koch (I)	Quincy	\$146,648	Won
6)	Carlo DeMaria (I)	Everett	\$133,906	Won
7)	Daniel Rivera	Lawrence	\$124,874	Won
8)	John Flaherty	Woburn	\$120,678	Lost
9)	Alex Morse (I)	Holyoke	\$91,793	Won
10)	William Lantigua (I)	Lawrence	\$84,708	Lost

 $(I) = Incumbent \quad (O) = Open Seat$

The opposed candidate who raised the least amount of money and won was Ken Gray of Amesbury, who defeated incumbent Mayor Thatcher Kezer. Grey reported \$7,911 in receipts.

Top Spenders

Boston mayoral winner Martin Walsh topped the spending list at \$3,015,209 in 2013, **breaking the record** set in 2009 by Menino, who spent \$2,676,589. Walsh's opponent in 2013, John Connolly, also exceeded Menino's record with \$3,005,339 in expenditures.

Top Expenditures by Mayoral Campaigns in 2013

	Name	City	Expenditures	Won/Lost
1)	Martin Walsh (O)	Boston	\$3,015,209	Won
2)	John Connolly (O)	Boston	\$3,005,339	Lost
3)	Carlo DeMaria (I)	Everett	\$247,672	Won
4)	Joseph Curtatone (I, U)	Somerville	\$236,520	Won
5)	Thomas Koch (I, U)	Quincy	\$158,820	Won
6)	William Flanagan (I)	Fall River	\$140,124	Won
7)	John Flaherty	Woburn	\$120,678	Lost
8)	Daniel Rivera	Lawrence	\$108,255	Won
9)	Alex Morse (I)	Holyoke	\$104,643	Won
10)	Michael Bissonnette (I)	Chicopee	\$86,931	Lost

⁽I) = Incumbent (U) = Unopposed (O) = Open seat

Six of the top spenders were incumbents, five of whom won their elections. Seven of the top ten spenders were winners in November.

Per-Vote Spending

Mayoral candidates on average spent \$20.96 per vote in 2013, nearly twice the 2011 average of \$11.01.

The 2013 figure **breaks the previous record** of \$16.52 set in 2009.

Per-Vote Expenditures by Mayoral Candidates 2013

	Name	City	Amount	Won/Lost
1.	Carlo DeMaria (I)	Everett	\$54.33	Won
2.	John Connolly	Boston	\$44.45	Lost
3.	Martin Walsh	Boston	\$41.58	Won
4.	John Flaherty	Woburn	\$39.66	Lost
5.	Joseph Curtatone (I)	Somerville	\$30.71	Won
6.	Robert Van Campen	Everett	\$23.69	Lost
7.	Alex Morse (I)	Holyoke	\$19.83	Won
8.	Thomas Koch (I)	Quincy	\$19.20	Won
9.	William Flanagan (I)	Fall River	\$16.92	Won
10.	Michael Bissonnette (I)	Chicopee	\$15.12	Lost

⁽I) = Incumbent

Mayor Carlo DeMaria of Everett had the highest per-vote average in 2013, \$54.33. DeMaria **broke the previous record** of \$42.40 set by Menino in 2009. Boston's Connolly also exceeded Menino's previous record with a per-vote average of \$44.45.

The top ten list of per-vote spenders includes six incumbents, five of whom won re-election. There were four losing candidates in the top 10.

Total Expenditures per Vote by all Opposed Mayoral Candidates by City 2013

	City	Spent per Vote
1	Boston (Open)	\$42.96
2.	Everett	\$42.86
3.	Woburn	\$18.82
4.	Holyoke	\$15.98
5.	W. Springfield	\$12.94
6.	Lawrence	\$12.65
7.	Fall River	\$12.44
8.	Chicopee	\$12.16
9.	North Adams	\$12.01
10.	Methuen	\$10.86

The winning, opposed mayoral candidate with the smallest per-vote total was Mayor James Fiorentini of Haverhill, who reported spending \$11,190 for an average of \$1.72 per vote. His opponent, Tyler Kimball, spent \$6 per vote in an unsuccessful write-in campaign.

	Five Winning Candidates with the Lowest Per-Vote Average		
Northampton	62 cents		
Agawam	91 cents		
Pittsfield	\$1.34		
Haverhill	\$1.72		
Peabody	\$2.94		
	Agawam Pittsfield		

The Haverhill election between Fiorentini and Kimball recorded the smallest amount per vote in a contested race, \$2.80.

Boston recorded the greatest number of votes cast, 140,120.

Success Rate for Top Spenders

Traditionally, the candidate who spends the most money wins.

The top spender in the 25 contested elections in 2013 won 20 times, or 80 percent of the contests in 2013. Past years:

- 2011: The top spender won 86 percent of the time.
- 2009: The top spender won 71 percent of the time.
- 2007: The top spender won 64 percent of the time.
- 2005: The top spender won 72 percent of the time.
- 2003: The top spender won 68 percent of the time.
- 2001: The top spender won 79 percent of the time.

The five candidates in 2013 who outspent their opponents and lost were in Amesbury, Chicopee, Haverhill, Lynn and Woburn.

Winners

Winning candidates as a whole raised and spent more than those who lost in 2013. The median expenditure total for winners, \$45,751, was more than twice that of unsuccessful candidates.

The average expenditures for unsuccessful candidates (\$141,938) was larger than winning candidates in 2013. Winners averaged \$139,082.

Receipts and Expenditures by Winning and Losing Mayoral Candidates 2013

	Raised	Spent
Winners (36)		
Total	\$4,966,694	\$5,006,974
Median	\$43,108	\$45,751
Average	\$137,963	\$139,082
Unsuccessful (27)		
Total	\$3,542,125	\$3,832,347
Median	\$20,151	\$18,236
Average	\$131,189	\$141,938
Overall Median	\$35,171	\$33,201

Opposed vs. Unopposed

Candidates with opposition had more campaign finance activity than those who did not face an opponent in 2013.

The 52 opposed candidates accounted for 94 percent of the total spent. The 11 unopposed candidates were all incumbents. In 2011, 64 opposed candidates accounted for 87 percent of the total spent.

Mayor Joseph Curtatone of Somerville spent \$236,520, topping the list of unopposed incumbents. He also topped the list in 2011 with \$117,298 in expenditures.

1 op Five Expend	diture Totals by Unopposed 1	incumbents
Joseph Curtatone	Somerville	\$236,520
Thomas Koch	Quincy	\$158,820
Arthur Vigeant	Marlborough	\$29,646
Edward Bettencourt	Peabody	\$25,315
Jon Mitchell	New Bedford	\$23,019

Receipts and Expenditures in Contested/Uncontested Mayoral Races 2013

	Raised	Spent
Opposed (52)		
Total	\$7,846,681	\$8,294,734
Median	\$34,153	\$42,874
Average	\$150,897	\$159,514
Unopposed (11)		
Total	\$662,139	\$544,587
Median	\$37,435	\$20,921
Average	\$60,194	\$49,507

Open seats

A total of three mayoral races in 2013 did not feature incumbents (Beverly, Boston and Easthampton), a drop of seven from 2011.

Of the three races for open seats, the candidate who spent the higher amount won in all three cities.

Incumbents

More than half of all 2013 mayoral finalists were incumbents (33 incumbents and 30 non-incumbents).

The median expenditure total for incumbents was \$42,874, more than twice the median of \$18,236 for non-incumbents. However, non-incumbents accounted for most of the spending, \$6.9 million to \$1.9 million, due to the open seat in Boston.

In 2011, incumbents represented a minority of all candidates in 2011 (28 of 71, or 39 percent), and accounted for 44 percent of all receipts and 42 percent of all expenditures in 2011.

Mayoral Campaign Receipts and Expenditures by Incumbency 2013

	Raised	Spent
Incumbents (33)		
Totals	\$1,799,328	\$1,901,049
Median	\$39,792	\$42,874
Average	\$54,525	\$57,607
Non-Incumbents (30)		
Totals	\$6,709,491	\$6,938,271
Median	\$17,398	\$18,236
Average	\$223,649	\$231,275

Starting and ending balances

The 63 mayoral candidates reported starting their 2013 campaigns with a total of \$1,759,667 on hand. In the 2011 election, 71 mayoral candidates reported starting their campaigns with a total of \$977,621 on hand.

The candidate with the highest amount on hand in 2013 was Connolly of Boston, who had \$252,028 to start. Connolly was an incumbent city councilor when he ran for mayor.

2010 11440141	Candidates with the I	ignest Starting Bata	<u>rrces</u>
John Connolly	Boston	\$252,028	Lost
Joseph Curtatone	Somerville	\$139,500	Won
Carlo DeMaria	Everett	\$121,830	Won
Martin Walsh	Boston	\$99,656	Won
Michael McGlynn	Medford	\$81,733	Won

The candidate with the highest amount on hand in 2011 was was former state Rep. Antonio Cabral of New Bedford, who had \$169,532 to start.

Seventeen candidates reported starting their 2013 campaigns without any money (zero balance), and none were incumbents. Of that number, four were ultimately elected mayor in Amesbury, Chicopee, Easthampton and West Springfield.

Mayoral candidates reported finishing the 2013 election season (Jan. 1 to Dec. 31) with a total of \$980,736 on hand. Mayor Curtatone of Somerville ended the year with the largest ending balance, \$146,051, followed by Walsh of Boston with \$88,853.

Four candidates from Haverhill, Holyoke, Woburn and Worcester ended the year with a zero balance, and one candidate from North Adams ended with a negative balance.

A table of candidates and their individual campaign finance totals follows.

Campaign Finance Activity by Finalists in the 2013 Mayoral Elections

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Agawam								
Richard	Cohen	•	✓		\$10,060.00	\$3,417.05	3,764	\$0.91
	City totals				\$10,060.00	\$3,417.05	3,764	\$0.91
Amesbury								
Ken	Gray		•		\$7,911.32	\$7,851.87	2,094	\$3.75
Thatcher	Kezer	•			\$20,284.99	\$16,495.30	2,091	\$7.89
	City totals				\$28,196.31	\$24,347.17	4,185	\$5.82
Attleboro								
Kevin	Dumas	•	✓		\$25,681.45	\$18,202.90	3,818	\$4.77
	City totals				\$25,681.45	\$18,202.90	3,818	\$4.77
Beverly								
Michael	Cahill		•	•	\$54,288.00	\$51,933.56	5,752	\$9.03
Wes	Slate			•	\$32,618.00	\$33,133.46	4,563	\$7.26
	City totals				\$86,906.00	\$85,067.02	10,315	\$8.25

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Boston								
John	Connolly			•	\$2,832,680.00	\$3,005,339.00	67,606	\$44.45
Martin	Walsh		✓	•	\$3,004,406.00	\$3,015,209.00	72,514	\$41.58
	City totals				\$5,837,086.00	\$6,020,548.00	140,120	\$42.97
Brockton								
Linda	Balzotti	✓			\$40,980.00	\$59,015.23	6,980	\$8.45
William	Carpenter		✓		\$59,702.74	\$60,547.68	7,035	\$8.61
	City totals				\$100,682.74	\$119,562.91	14,015	\$8.53
Chicopee								
Michael	Bissonnette	✓			\$53,570.73	\$86,931.75	5,749	\$15.12
Richard	Kos		✓		\$80,555.00	\$78,745.31	7,873	\$10.00
	City totals				\$134,125.73	\$165,677.06	13,622	\$12.16
Easthampto	on							
Karen	Cadieux		✓	✓	\$9,680.00	\$9,601.90	2,568	\$3.74
Nancy	Sykes			✓	\$9,174.00	\$8,235.21	1,627	\$5.06
	City totals				\$18,854.00	\$17,837.11	4,195	\$4.25
Everett								
Carlo	DeMaria	✓	•		\$133,906.04	\$247,672.65	4,559	\$54.33
Robert	Van Campen				\$47,479.71	\$64,565.78	2,725	\$23.69
	City totals				\$181,385.75	\$312,238.43	7,284	\$42.87

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Fall River								
Joseph	Carvalho				\$10,153.00	\$10,108.25	3,792	\$2.67
William	Flanagan	•	✓		\$183,430.00	\$140,124.19	8,284	\$16.92
	City totals				\$193,583.00	\$150,232.44	12,076	\$12.44
Fitchburg								
Lisa	Wong	•	✓		\$19,522.47	\$20,196.39	2,337	\$8.64
	City totals				\$19,522.47	\$20,196.39	2,337	\$8.64
Gardner								
Christine	Wilson				\$4,620.31	\$4,518.93	1,215	\$3.72
Mark	Hawke	✓	✓		\$10,336.00	\$8,624.19	2,361	\$3.65
	City totals				\$14,956.31	\$13,143.12	3,576	\$3.68
Gloucester								
Carolyn	Kirk	✓	✓		\$48,114.00	\$51,970.59	4,724	\$11.00
Mac	Bell				\$20,018.00	\$19,727.07	2,979	\$6.62
	City totals				\$68,132.00	\$71,697.66	7,703	\$9.31
Haverhill								
James	Fiorentini	✓	•		\$22,265.44	\$11,190.81	6,490	\$1.72
Tyler	Kimball				\$13,147.06	\$13,147.06	2,191	\$6.00
	City totals				\$35,412.50	\$24,337.87	8,681	\$2.80

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Holyoke								
Alex	Morse	✓	✓		\$91,793.00	\$104,643.57	5,278	\$19.83
Jeffrey	Stanek				\$50,567.39	\$50,567.39	4,429	\$11.42
	City totals				\$142,360.39	\$155,210.96	9,707	\$15.99
Lawrence								
Daniel	Rivera		✓		\$124,874.55	\$108,255.74	7,628	\$14.19
William	Lantigua	✓			\$84,708.96	\$83,743.02	7,547	\$11.10
	City totals				\$209,583.51	\$191,998.76	15,175	\$12.65
Leominster								
Dean	Mazzarella	•	•		\$38,899.00	\$42,871.95	5,673	\$7.56
Susan	Zephir Chalifoux				\$33,267.87	\$33,269.00	4,087	\$8.14
	City totals				\$72,166.87	\$76,140.95	9,760	\$7.80
Lynn								
John	Phelan				\$34,770.45	\$85,015.81	6,403	\$13.28
Judith Fla	anag te nnedy	✓	✓		\$38,660.00	\$57,676.75	9,258	\$6.23
	City totals				\$73,430.45	\$142,692.56	15,661	\$9.11
Marlboroug	h							
Arthur	Vigeant	✓	✓		\$38,590.00	\$29,646.23	2,651	\$11.18
	City totals				\$38,590.00	\$29,646.23	2,651	\$11.18

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Medford								
Anthony	D'Antonio				\$1,550.84	\$1,571.04	3,092	\$0.51
Michael	McGlynn	✓	✓		\$26,196.42	\$60,636.84	5,775	\$10.50
	City totals				\$27,747.26	\$62,207.88	8,867	\$7.02
Methuen								
Jennifer	Kannan				\$46,290.00	\$45,332.31	3,683	\$12.31
Stephen	Zanni	✓	✓		\$35,573.27	\$48,626.99	4,967	\$9.79
	City totals				\$81,863.27	\$93,959.30	8,650	\$10.86
New Bedford	d							
Jon	Mitchell	•	✓		\$58,575.00	\$23,019.69	7,575	\$3.04
	City totals				\$58,575.00	\$23,019.69	7,575	\$3.04
Newburypor	t							
Donna	Holaday	✓	•		\$33,536.10	\$28,552.58	2,796	\$10.21
Richard	Sullivan				\$14,779.00	\$14,037.64	3,384	\$4.15
	City totals				\$48,315.10	\$42,590.22	6,180	\$6.89
Newton								
Setti	Warren	✓	•		\$53,476.65	\$63,477.17	9,313	\$6.82
Ted	Hess-Mahan				\$14,222.31	\$10,751.39	3,706	\$2.90
	City totals				\$67,698.96	\$74,228.56	13,019	\$5.70

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
North Adam	ıs							
Richard	Alcombright	•	✓		\$23,931.00	\$26,860.52	2,149	\$12.50
Robert	Moulton				\$8,741.00	\$16,746.53	1,479	\$11.32
	City totals				\$32,672.00	\$43,607.05	3,628	\$12.02
Northampto	on							
David	Narkewicz	•	✓		\$9,190.00	\$3,010.39	4,798	\$0.63
	City totals				\$9,190.00	\$3,010.39	4,798	\$0.63
Peabody								
Edward	Bettencourt	•	✓		\$51,740.00	\$25,315.57	8,601	\$2.94
	City totals				\$51,740.00	\$25,315.57	8,601	\$2.94
Pittsfield								
Daniel	Bianchi	•	✓		\$11,025.00	\$6,889.32	5,143	\$1.34
	City totals				\$11,025.00	\$6,889.32	5,143	\$1.34
Quincy								
Thomas	Koch	•	✓		\$146,648.00	\$158,820.18	8,272	\$19.20
	City totals				\$146,648.00	\$158,820.18	8,272	\$19.20
Salem								
Cedric	Ashley				\$5.00	\$2.00	1,093	\$0.00
Kim	Driscoll	•	✓		\$40,685.00	\$50,908.24	4,996	\$10.19
	City totals				\$40,690.00	\$50,910.24	6,089	\$8.36
	,				Ψ.5,255.36	430,0.0.	2,230	40.00

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Somerville								
Joseph	Curtatone	•	✓		\$243,071.95	\$236,520.75	7,702	\$30.71
	City totals				\$243,071.95	\$236,520.75	7,702	\$30.71
Taunton								
Thomas	Hoye	•	✓		\$48,035.00	\$19,548.29	4,846	\$4.03
	City totals				\$48,035.00	\$19,548.29	4,846	\$4.03
West Spring	ıfield							
Edward	Sullivan		✓		\$55,933.48	\$54,358.83	4,432	\$12.27
Gregory	Neffinger	•			\$30,440.00	\$34,394.16	2,422	\$14.20
	City totals				\$86,373.48	\$88,752.99	6,854	\$12.95
Westfield								
Daniel	Knapik	•	✓		\$45,532.80	\$42,877.08	4,538	\$9.45
Michael	Roeder				\$8,485.00	\$6,227.88	4,205	\$1.48
	City totals				\$54,017.80	\$49,104.96	8,743	\$5.62
Woburn								
John	Flaherty				\$120,678.82	\$120,678.82	3,043	\$39.66
Scott	Galvin	•	✓		\$48,680.00	\$56,677.99	6,378	\$8.89
	City totals				\$169,358.82	\$177,356.81	9,421	\$18.83

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Worcester								
Bill	Coleman				\$1,800.00	\$2,365.36	1,325	\$1.79
Joseph	Petty	✓	•		\$32,190.00	\$32,491.65	8,900	\$3.65
Konstan	tina Lukes				\$7,043.00	\$6,377.76	4,156	\$1.53
William	Feegbeh				\$50.00	\$50.00	302	\$0.17
	City totals				\$41,083.00	\$41,284.77	14,683	\$2.81
	Totals	63 Cand	lidates	- i	\$8,508,820.12	\$8,839,321.56	421,716	\$20.96