OFFICE OF CAMPAIGN and POLITICAL FINANCE

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

Campaign Finance Activity by Mayoral Candidates in Massachusetts

2011

One Ashburton Place, Room 411 Boston, Massachusetts 02108 (617) 727-8352 (800) 462-OCPF

INTRODUCTION

This study examines campaign finance activity by candidates for mayor in municipal elections throughout Massachusetts in 2011. The Office of Campaign and Political Finance (OCPF) began issuing mayoral studies after the 1997 city elections, due primarily to the significant amount of campaign finance activity on the municipal level, especially in cities. OCPF devotes considerable attention to municipal races, both by providing guidance and workshops to candidates and working closely with local election officials to help ensure compliance with the campaign finance law.

OCPF is an independent state agency that administers Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 55, which provides for disclosure and regulation of campaign finance activity by candidates for state, county and municipal office. Mayoral candidates in cities with populations between 40,000 and 100,000, and mayoral candidates in Springfield and Worcester, filed campaign finance reports with OCPF in 2011. Other mayoral candidates filed disclosure reports with their local election officials (city clerks or election commissioners).

The information contained in this study is based on data compiled from campaign finance reports filed by 71 mayoral candidates in the 38 cities that held mayoral elections in 2011. The study is limited to those candidates who were on the ballot in the November elections in cities and does not include those who were eliminated in preliminary elections.

The totals for most of the finalists listed here include activity for all of calendar year 2011. Activity for some first-time candidates, however, started only after the commencement of their campaigns later in 2011.

Most mayoral candidates and their committees are required to file reports directly with their local election officials up to three times during an election year. If a preliminary election is held, the first report is due eight days before the preliminary. The second report is due eight days before the general election (in 2011, that due date was Oct. 31). All candidates and committees were required to file year-end reports on Jan. 20, 2012, disclosing activity through Dec. 31, 2011. The filing location and schedule differ for mayoral candidates in Springfield and Worcester. These candidates have campaign finance accounts with banks that file reports directly with OCPF once a month and then twice monthly in the last six months of an election year. These candidates were also required to file a year-end summary report with OCPF on Jan. 20.

Some of the expenditures made by mayoral candidates, especially those made by incumbents, may not have been directly related to campaigning. For example, candidates may legally use campaign funds for purposes such as constituent services, charitable contributions, transportation and other activity that is for a political or official purpose. A mayor who is unopposed for re-election, for example, may still make significant expenditures.

The campaign finance law allows a candidate to have only one political committee while running for state or local office, regardless of how many offices he or she may seek or hold.

Some mayoral candidates in this report may have also held another elected office, such as city councilor, and activity by their committees may also include activity related to that office.

OCPF has taken steps to ensure that the information contained in this report is accurate as of the time of its compilation. The information used for this report does not reflect amendments filed by candidates subsequent to the original filings. In addition, the information provided by candidates and committees may have contained some balance inconsistencies.

This report was compiled based on information filed by candidates with OCPF and, in some cases, with local election officials. OCPF acknowledges its debt to those municipal officials for their cooperation in preparing this report. Those wishing further information on this report or any other facet of the Massachusetts campaign finance law may contact the Office of Campaign and Political Finance, McCormack Building, One Ashburton Place, Room 411, Boston, MA 02108, or call 617-979-8300. The office's e-mail address is ocpf@cpf.state.ma.us. This mayoral study, as well as those from previous years, may be found on OCPF's website, at www.mass.gov/ocpf.

April 2012

FINDINGS

Voters in 38 cities in Massachusetts went to the polls to elect their mayors in 2011. Thirty-one of those 38 mayoral finals were contested, featuring at least two candidates. Of those contested races, 21 featured incumbents running for re-election and 10 featured contests for open seats.¹

A total of 71 candidates were on the ballot in November, including 28 incumbents, seven of whom were unopposed for re-election. Incumbents were defeated in Holyoke and Worcester.

The mayors who won election in November 2011 were 26 returning incumbents and 12 newcomers.

The mayoral campaign finance activity in brief:

- The 71 mayoral candidates raised \$3,386,403 and spent \$3,549,375 in 2011, according to their reports. The larger expenditure figure is possible because many candidates spent money already on hand at the start of the year. The expenditure figure is significantly lower than the high of \$7.5 million, which was posted in 2009 during a mayoral election year in Boston.
- The median amount of fundraising by mayoral candidates in 2011 was \$30,218, while the spending median was \$27,469. The median amount of fundraising by mayoral candidates in 2009 was \$30,523, while the spending median was \$28,924. (A median represents the exact midpoint of all 71 totals: half were higher and half were lower.). The fundraising median in recent mayoral studies has been about \$30,000. The spending median has fluctuated from a low of \$23,388 in 1999 to a high of \$33,040 two years later.
- Seven mayoral candidates raised more than \$100,000 in 2011 (nine in 2009). Nine spent more than \$100,000 (10 in 2009).
- Candidates spent more than \$100,000 in seven cities.
- The top spender in the 31 contested elections won 26 times, or 86 percent of the contests.
- Five candidates outspent their opponents and lost in Agawam, Holyoke, New Bedford, Pittsfield and Taunton.

¹ Mayors of two cities, Cambridge and Lowell, are elected as city councilors and are chosen by their fellow members to serve as mayor. Because those candidates never appear on the ballot for mayor, they are not included in this study. The mayor of Worcester is a city councilor-at-large but is also elected by voters separately as mayor. That race is included in this report.

- The race with the highest spending in 2011 was in New Bedford, where Jonathan Mitchell and state Rep. Antonio Cabral reported total expenditures of \$484,896. The spending record was set in 2009, when Boston mayoral candidates Mayor Thomas Menino and Councilor Michael Flaherty reported total expenditures of \$4,091,893. The city with the second highest amount of spending in 2011 was Fall River.
- The top fundraiser among mayoral candidates in 2011 was Fall River Mayor William Flanagan, who raised \$275,567. Mayor Menino of Boston topped the list in 2009 with \$1,415,304.
- Mayor Flanagan also topped the spending list at \$300,972 in 2011. In 2009, Mayor Menino spent \$2,676,589, breaking the record his campaign set in 2005, when it spent \$1.7 million
- The average amount spent per vote by a candidate in 2011 was \$11.01, a decrease of \$5.51 over 2009. Ten years earlier in 2001, candidates averaged \$11.70 per vote.

Comparisons to figures from other years are difficult, due to such factors as turnover of candidates and the fact that not all cities hold mayoral elections every two years. A significant factor in any study of mayoral spending is whether Boston is included. The largest city in the state, Boston elects its mayor every four years and has generated the greatest amount of campaign finance activity by far each time it is included in this study.

Campaign Finance Activity by Mayoral Candidates in Municipal General Elections 1997-2011

		Recei	pts	Expenditures			
Year	Number of candidates	Total	Median	Total	Median	Average spent per vote	
1997	66	\$3,708,975	\$28,157	\$3,835,055	\$27,127	\$6.63	
1999	69	\$3,198,736	\$32,289	\$3,284,268	\$23,388	\$7.44	
2001	76	\$4,546,947	\$32,644	\$5,852,880	\$33,040	\$11.70	
2003	70	\$3,587,911	\$31,586	\$3,949,051	\$27,672	\$11.03	
2005	71	\$5,778,781	\$30,021	\$6,209,404	\$27,621	\$14.18	
2007	69	\$3,577,819	\$29,122	\$3,914,462	\$25,339	\$12.23	
2009	73	\$5,549,845	\$30,523	\$7,542,006	\$28,924	\$16.52	
2011	71	\$3,386,403	\$30,218	\$3,549,375	\$27,469	\$11.01	

Data are for candidates in the general election only and does not include those who were eliminated in a preliminary election.

The findings in more detail:

Most Active Races

The greatest amount of spending for a mayoral race in 2011 was in New Bedford, where Jonathan Mitchell and state Rep. Antonio Cabral sought an open seat. The candidates spent \$484,896 in 2011. The largest amount spent in a mayoral race in Massachusetts occurred in 2009, when Boston candidates spent more than \$4 million.

Highest Total Expenditures by Mayoral Race 2011

	City	Total Expenditures	Population*
1)	New Bedford	\$484,896	95,072
2)	Fall River	\$392,334	88,857
3)	Quincy	\$331,750	92,271
4)	Revere	\$308,568	51,755
5)	Springfield	\$220,673	153,060
6)	Peabody	\$154,272	51,251
7)	Malden	\$136,680	59,450
8)	Taunton	\$131,255	55,874
9)	Holyoke	\$127,657	39,880
10)	Somerville (NC)	\$117,298	75,754

* Source: U.S. Census Bureau (2010) NC=Not Contested

Eleven cities saw combined spending of more than \$100,000 by finalists, a decrease of three from 2009. Amesbury had the least amount of spending (\$10,437) in cities with contested mayoral elections. Incumbent Mayor Thatcher Kezer, the winner, reported \$8,527 in expenditures, and challenger Theodore Semesnyei reported \$1,909.

Top Fundraisers

Fall River Mayor William Flanagan was the top fundraiser in 2011 with \$275,567 in receipts. Boston Mayor Thomas Menino was the top fundraiser in 2009 with \$1.5 million. Former Mayor Robert Correia of Fall River was the top mayoral fundraiser in 2007 at \$293,353. The top 10 fundraisers for 2011 included four incumbents.

Top Mayoral Campaign Fundraisers 2011

	Candidate	City	Receipts	Won/Lost
1)	William Flanagan (I)	Fall River	\$275,567	Won
2)	Thomas Koch (I)	Quincy	\$274,033	Won
3)	Jonathan Mitchell (O)	New Bedford	\$227,645	Won
4)	Daniel Rizzo (O)	Revere	\$207,015	Won
5)	Antonio Cabral (O)	New Bedford	\$158,879	Lost
6)	Joseph Curtatone (I)	Somerville	\$135,986	Won
7)	Domenic Sarno (I)	Springfield	\$118,362	Won
8)	Gary Christenson (O)	Malden	\$96,082	Won
9)	Cathy Ann Viveiros	Fall River	\$90,072	Lost
10)	Edward Bettencourt (O)	Peabody	\$84,462	Won

⁽I) = Incumbent (O) = Open Seat

The opposed candidate who raised the least amount of money and won was Mayor Kezer of Amesbury, who raised \$9,934.

Top Spenders

Fall River Mayor William Flanagan was the leading spender among all mayoral candidates in 2011 at \$300,972. Boston Mayor Thomas Menino was the leading spender among all mayoral candidates in 2009 at \$2.67 million.

Top Expenditures by Mayoral Campaigns in 2011

	Name	City	Expenditures	Won/Lost
1)	William Flanagan (I)	Fall River	\$300,972	Won
2)	Thomas Koch (I)	Quincy	\$290,969	Won
3)	Antonio Cabral (O)	New Bedford	\$267,425	Lost
4)	Jonathan Mitchell (O)	New Bedford	\$217,471	Won
5)	Daniel Rizzo (O)	Revere	\$199,610	Won
6)	Domenic Sarno (I)	Springfield	\$145,750	Won
7)	Joseph Curtatone (I,U)	Somerville	\$117,298	Won
8)	Gary Christenson (O)	Malden	\$109,082	Won
9)	George Rotondo (O)	Revere	\$108,958	Lost
10)	Edward Bettencourt (O)	Peabody	\$98,303	Won

⁽I) = Incumbent (U) = Unopposed (O) = Open seat

Nine of the top spenders are also on the top ten fundraisers list (Revere candidate George Rotondo is on the top ten spenders list, but is not among the top ten fundraisers). Four of the top

spenders were incumbents, all of whom won their elections. Eight of the top ten spenders were winners in November.

Per-Vote Spending

Mayoral candidates on average spent \$11.01 per vote in 2011, a drop of more than \$5 per vote from the 2009 election. The 2009 figure was \$16.52 per vote.

Per-Vote Expenditures by Mayoral Candidates 2011

	Name	City	Amount	Won/Lost
1.	Daniel Rizzo	Revere	\$36.77	Won
2.	William Flanagan (I)	Fall River	\$33.05	Won
3.	Antonio Cabral	New Bedford	\$29.59	Lost
4.	Thomas Koch (I)	Quincy	\$27.68	Won
5.	George Rotondo	Revere	\$27.67	Lost
6.	Jonathan Mitchell	New Bedford	\$22.02	Won
7.	Sean Robert Fitzgerald	Peabody	\$20.26	Lost
8.	Gerald Croteau	Taunton	\$19.56	Lost
9.	Gary Christenson	Malden	\$17.75	Won
10.	Elaine Pluta (I)	Holyoke	\$17.20	Lost

⁽I) = Incumbent

The candidate spending the most per vote in 2011 was Daniel Rizzo of Revere, who spent \$36.77, more than three times the average, to win the election. Mayor Thomas Menino of Boston spent \$42.40 per vote in 2009, a record.

The top ten list of per-vote spenders includes three incumbents, two of whom won re-election. There were five losing candidates in the top 10.

The winning, opposed mayoral candidate with the smallest per-vote total was Mayor Jeannette McCarthy of Waltham, who reported spending \$17,546 for an average of \$2.68 per vote. Her opponent, Andrew Wirth, spent 59 cents per vote.

Total Expenditures per Vote by all Mayoral Candidates by City 2011

	City	Spent per Vote
1	Revere (O)	\$32.94
2.	New Bedford (O)	\$25.64
3.	Fall River	\$23.73
4.	Quincy	\$17.60
5.	Somerville (U)	\$16.96
6.	Everett	\$16.06
7.	Malden (O)	\$15.10
8.	Holyoke	\$14.79
9.	Taunton (O)	\$13.79
10.	Peabody (O)	\$13.45

O =Open seat. U =Unopposed incumbent.

All ten cities on the per-vote spending list exceeded the average of \$11.01 for 2011. Springfield recorded the greatest number of votes cast, 20,340, and averaged \$10.85 per vote.

Success rate for Top Spenders

The top spender in the 31 contested elections won 26 times, or 86 percent of the contests in 2011. The candidate who spent the most in 2009 won in 22 of 31 contested races, or 71 percent of the time.

The five candidates who outspent their opponents and lost were in Agawam, Holyoke, New Bedford, Pittsfield and Taunton.

Winners

Once again, winning candidates as a whole raised and spent significantly more than those who lost in 2011. Winners spent on average just more than \$63,311, while unsuccessful candidates averaged \$34,652.

Receipts and Expenditures by Winning and Losing Mayoral Candidates 2011

	Raised	Spent
Winners (38)		
Total	\$2,452,570	\$2,405,842
Median	\$42,379	\$34,175
Average	\$64,541	\$63,311
Unsuccessful (33)		
Total	\$933,832	\$1,143,532
Median	\$15,280	\$17,669
Average	\$28,297	\$34,652
Overall Median	\$30,218	\$27,469

Opposed vs. Unopposed

Opposed candidates recorded greater campaign finance activity than those who did not face an opponent in 2011. The 64 opposed candidates accounted for 87 percent of the total spent. The seven unopposed candidates were all incumbents. Mayor Joseph Curtatone of Somerville spent \$117,298, topping the list of unopposed incumbents.

Receipts and Expenditures in Contested/Uncontested Mayoral Races 2011

	<u>Raised</u>	Spent
Opposed (64)		
Total	\$3,098,993	\$3,312,034
Median	\$31,709	\$29,290
Average	\$48,421	\$51,750
Unopposed (7)		
Total	\$287,410	\$237,341
Median	\$18,852	\$12,622
Average	\$41,058	\$33,905

Open seats

A total of 10 mayoral races in 2011 did not feature incumbents. The 20 candidates for open seats spent \$1,547,681, recording an average of \$77,384.

Of the 10 races for open seats, the candidate who spent the higher amount won in seven cities (Malden, Marlborough, Methuen, Northampton, Peabody, Revere and West Springfield). The top spender among candidates for an open seat was former state Rep. Antonio Cabral of New Bedford, who spent \$267,425 in his unsuccessful run for mayor.

Incumbents

Incumbents represented a minority of all candidates (28 of 71, or 39 percent), and accounted for 44 percent of all receipts and 42 percent of all expenditures in 2011.

Mayoral Campaign Receipts and Expenditures by Incumbency 2011

	Raised	Spent
Incumbents (28)		
Totals	\$1,517,649	\$1,493,604
Median	\$31,014	\$26,603
Average	\$54,201	\$53,343
Non-Incumbents (43)		
Totals	\$1,868,753	\$2,055,771
Median	\$29,457	\$30,505
Average	\$43,459	\$47,808

Starting and ending balances

The 71 mayoral candidates reported starting their 2011 campaigns with a total of \$977,621 on hand. Of that amount, most was held by the 28 incumbents running for re-election, who had \$653,859, or 67 percent.

The candidate with the highest amount on hand was former state Rep. Antonio Cabral of New Bedford, who had \$169,532 to start. Medford Mayor Michael McGlynn had the second highest starting balance with \$97,942, followed by Somerville Mayor Joseph Curtatone with \$95,737.

Sixteen candidates reported starting their 2011 campaigns without any money (zero balance), and none were incumbents. Of that number, two were ultimately elected mayor in New Bedford and Taunton.

Mayoral candidates reported finishing the 2011 election season (Jan. 1 to Dec. 31) with a total of \$799,461 on hand. Somerville Mayor Joseph Curtatone ended the year with the largest ending balance (\$114,424), followed by Braintree Mayor Joseph Sullivan with \$101,305.

A table of candidates and their individual campaign finance totals follows.

Campaign Finance Activity by Finalists in the 2011 Mayoral Elections

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Agawam								
Richard	Cohen	✓	✓		\$17,184.47	\$17,396.00	4,905	\$3.55
Rosemary	y Sandlin				\$30,944.15	\$51,896.18	3,180	\$16.32
	City totals				\$48,128.62	\$69,292.18	8,085	\$8.57
Amesbury								
Thatcher	Kezer	✓	✓		\$9,934.17	\$8,527.42	1,810	\$4.71
Theodore	Semesnyei				\$1,930.81	\$1,909.60	1,266	\$1.51
	City totals				\$11,864.98	\$10,437.02	3,076	\$3.39
Attleboro								
Kevin	Dumas	✓	✓		\$24,403.00	\$34,472.15	5,162	\$6.68
Ronald	Churchill				\$15,699.00	\$18,548.47	3,560	\$5.21
	City totals				\$40,102.00	\$53,020.62	8,722	\$6.08
Beverly								
Michael	Cahill				\$34,741.00	\$33,139.05	5,115	\$6.48
William	Scanlon	✓	✓		\$46,120.00	\$36,207.27	5,468	\$6.62
	City totals				\$80,861.00	\$69,346.32	10,583	\$6.55

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Braintree								
Joseph	Sullivan	✓	✓		\$41,665.00	\$32,158.93	6,332	\$5.08
Paul	Walsh				\$8,663.00	\$9,455.49	1,089	\$8.68
	City totals				\$50,328.00	\$41,614.42	7,421	\$5.61
Brockton								
Linda	Balzotti	✓	✓		\$43,336.00	\$26,900.88	5,322	\$5.05
Ronald	Matta				\$3,835.00	\$3,572.26	1,734	\$2.06
	City totals				\$47,171.00	\$30,473.14	7,056	\$4.32
Chicopee								
Gary	Lefebvre				\$4,214.41	\$4,013.45	3,661	\$1.10
Michael	Bissonnette	✓	✓		\$46,191.59	\$33,878.41	6,056	\$5.59
	City totals				\$50,406.00	\$37,891.86	9,717	\$3.90
Easthampto	on							
Donald	Emerson				\$8,967.49	\$8,713.76	1,758	\$4.96
Michael	Tautznik	✓	✓		\$11,099.58	\$10,007.35	3,056	\$3.27
	City totals				\$20,067.07	\$18,721.11	4,814	\$3.89
Everett								
Carlo	DeMaria	✓	✓		\$82,917.14	\$76,112.71	4,477	\$17.00
Peter	Napolitano				\$29,089.58	\$28,038.94	2,007	\$13.97
	City totals				\$112,006.72	\$104,151.65	6,484	\$16.06

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Fall River								
Cathy Ann	Viveiros				\$90,072.15	\$91,361.82	7,430	\$12.30
William	Flanagan	✓	✓		\$275,567.00	\$300,972.97	9,106	\$33.05
	City totals				\$365,639.15	\$392,334.79	16,536	\$23.73
Fitchburg								
Joseph	Solomito				\$22,245.00	\$20,190.70	3,351	\$6.03
Lisa	Wong	✓	✓		\$40,134.00	\$26,155.86	4,236	\$6.17
	City totals				\$62,379.00	\$46,346.56	7,587	\$6.11
Gardner								
Mark	Hawke	✓	✓		\$6,605.00	\$5,763.67	2,244	\$2.57
	City totals				\$6,605.00	\$5,763.67	2,244	\$2.57
Gloucester								
Carolyn	Kirk	\checkmark	✓		\$27,330.00	\$29,092.38	4,466	\$6.51
Ken	Sarofeen				\$2,851.00	\$1,137.30	1,597	\$0.71
	City totals				\$30,181.00	\$30,229.68	6,063	\$4.99
Haverhill								
Debra	Campanile				\$4,067.27	\$4,066.71	2,239	\$1.82
James	Fiorentini	✓	✓		\$42,151.00	\$28,441.33	6,352	\$4.48
	City totals				\$46,218.27	\$32,508.04	8,591	\$3.78

Elaine Plo Leominster	orse uta <i>City total</i> s	□ ∨	V		\$65,936.95	\$56,755.67	4,513	# 40.50
Elaine Plo Leominster	uta	□✓			\$65,936.95	\$56,755.67	1513	040.50
Leominster		V					4,513	\$12.58
	City totals				\$52,405.26	\$70,901.76	4,121	\$17.20
					\$118,342.21	\$127,657.43	8,634	\$14.79
Dean Ma								
	azzarella	✓	✓		\$7,997.00	\$4,803.72	1,878	\$2.56
	City totals				\$7,997.00	\$4,803.72	1,878	\$2.56
Malden								
Deborah Fa	allon			✓	\$27,400.18	\$27,598.10	2,907	\$9.49
Gary Ch	nristenson		✓	✓	\$96,082.86	\$109,082.37	6,147	\$17.75
	City totals				\$123,483.04	\$136,680.47	9,054	\$15.10
Marlborough								
Arthur Vi	geant		✓	✓	\$42,607.05	\$50,172.33	2,996	\$16.75
Matthew Jo	nes			✓	\$14,382.99	\$13,847.61	2,954	\$4.69
	City totals				\$56,990.04	\$64,019.94	5,950	\$10.76
Medford								
Anthony D'	Antonio				\$910.00	\$803.11	3,174	\$0.25
Michael Mo	cGlynn	✓	✓		\$46,283.19	\$63,461.83	5,721	\$11.09
	City totals				\$47,193.19	\$64,264.94	8,895	\$7.22

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Melrose								
Robert	Dolan	~	✓		\$17,545.00	\$7,115.49	1,522	\$4.68
	City totals				\$17,545.00	\$7,115.49	1,522	\$4.68
Methuen								
Al	DiNuccio			✓	\$11,049.00	\$10,979.95	4,416	\$2.49
Stephen	Zanni		✓	✓	\$53,155.00	\$52,326.27	4,450	\$11.76
	City totals				\$64,204.00	\$63,306.22	8,866	\$7.14
New Bedfor	d							
Antonio	Cabral			✓	\$158,879.50	\$267,425.68	9,039	\$29.59
Jon	Mitchell		✓	✓	\$227,645.92	\$217,471.00	9,876	\$22.02
	City totals				\$386,525.42	\$484,896.68	18,915	\$25.64
Newburypor	t							
Donna	Holaday	✓	✓		\$13,482.84	\$15,794.57	2,763	\$5.72
	City totals				\$13,482.84	\$15,794.57	2,763	\$5.72
North Adam	S							
Richard	Alcombright	✓	✓		\$13,510.21	\$16,537.15	2,333	\$7.09
Ronald	Boucher				\$6,167.00	\$5,739.17	1,377	\$4.17
	City totals				\$19,677.21	\$22,276.32	3,710	\$6.00

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Northampto	n							
David	Narkewicz		~	\checkmark	\$53,209.55	\$54,970.35	6,456	\$8.51
Michael	Bardsley			✓	\$28,339.30	\$30,338.11	2,763	\$10.98
	City totals				\$81,548.85	\$85,308.46	9,219	\$9.25
Peabody								
Edward	Bettencourt		✓	✓	\$84,462.00	\$98,303.19	8,703	\$11.30
Sean Rob	oert Fitzgerald			✓	\$56,418.55	\$55,969.49	2,763	\$20.26
	City totals				\$140,880.55	\$154,272.68	11,466	\$13.45
Pittsfield								
Daniel	Bianchi		✓	✓	\$36,860.46	\$36,789.67	6,144	\$5.99
Peter	Marchetti			✓	\$47,121.09	\$46,811.80	6,038	\$7.75
	City totals				\$83,981.55	\$83,601.47	12,182	\$6.86
Quincy								
Anne	Mahoney				\$34,582.24	\$40,780.91	8,340	\$4.89
Thomas	Koch	✓	✓		\$274,033.89	\$290,969.24	10,510	\$27.68
	City totals				\$308,616.13	\$331,750.15	18,850	\$17.60
Revere								
Daniel	Rizzo		✓	\checkmark	\$207,015.00	\$199,610.36	5,429	\$36.77
George	Rotondo			✓	\$81,357.87	\$108,958.36	3,938	\$27.67
	City totals				\$288,372.87	\$308,568.72	9,367	\$32.94

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Somerville								
Joseph	Curtatone	✓	✓		\$135,986.00	\$117,298.78	6,915	\$16.96
	City totals				\$135,986.00	\$117,298.78	6,915	\$16.96
Springfield								
Domenic	Sarno	✓	✓		\$118,362.85	\$145,750.06	14,620	\$9.97
Jose	Tosado				\$52,789.22	\$74,923.08	5,720	\$13.10
	City totals				\$171,152.07	\$220,673.14	20,340	\$10.85
Taunton								
Gerald	Croteau			✓	\$64,000.00	\$68,109.72	3,482	\$19.56
Thomas	Hoye		✓	✓	\$65,901.91	\$63,146.11	6,037	\$10.46
	City totals				\$129,901.91	\$131,255.83	9,519	\$13.79
Waltham								
Andrew	Wirth				\$686.46	\$467.22	787	\$0.59
Jeannette	McCarthy	✓	✓		\$28,587.56	\$17,546.53	6,556	\$2.68
	City totals				\$29,274.02	\$18,013.75	7,343	\$2.45
West Spring	field							
Gerard	Matthews			✓	\$15,761.07	\$15,025.23	2,167	\$6.93
Gregory	Neffinger		✓	✓	\$20,555.00	\$20,745.70	2,938	\$7.06
	City totals				\$36,316.07	\$35,770.93	5,105	\$7.01

City	Candidate	Inc	Win	Open	Receipts	Expenditures	Votes	Spent per Vote
Westfield								
Daniel	Knapik	✓	✓		\$20,159.79	\$22,142.82	3,339	\$6.63
	City totals				\$20,159.79	\$22,142.82	3,339	\$6.63
Weymouth								
Robert	Montgomery				\$9,740.73	\$7,759.73	2,248	\$3.45
Sue	Kay	✓	✓		\$29,603.00	\$26,307.33	6,381	\$4.12
	City totals				\$39,343.73	\$34,067.06	8,629	\$3.95
Woburn								
Scott	Galvin	✓	✓		\$32,425.00	\$9,451.95	4,197	\$2.25
	City totals				\$32,425.00	\$9,451.95	4,197	\$2.25
Worcester								
Carmen	Carmona				\$1,582.00	\$1,424.12	1,531	\$0.93
Joseph	Petty		✓		\$46,525.00	\$43,203.06	9,008	\$4.80
Konstanti	ina Lukes	~			\$12,630.00	\$19,435.60	6,708	\$2.90
William	Coleman				\$310.00	\$190.00	1,382	\$0.14
	City totals				\$61,047.00	\$64,252.78	18,629	\$3.45
	Totals	71 Cand	idates	_	\$3,386,403.30	\$3,549,375.36	322,266	\$11.01