
OFFICE OF
CAMPAIGN
and
POLITICAL
FINANCE

Commonwealth
of Massachusetts

**Campaign Finance Activity
by
Candidates for the
Massachusetts General Court**

2004

One Ashburton Place, Room 411
Boston, Massachusetts 02108
(617) 727-8352
(800) 462-OCPF

INTRODUCTION

This study examines campaign finance activity undertaken by candidates for the Massachusetts Senate and House of Representatives (known collectively as The General Court) in calendar year 2004. The Office of Campaign and Political Finance has issued such a biennial study since the 1990 election.

The Office of Campaign and Political Finance (OCPF) is an independent state agency that administers Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 55, the Campaign Finance Law. The law provides for disclosure and regulation of campaign finance activity on the state, county and municipal levels. Candidates who report directly to OCPF include those running for state and county office and most citywide offices in Boston, Cambridge, Lowell, Springfield and Worcester, as well as state and local party committees, political action committees, people's committees and state ballot question committees. The reports filed by these candidates and committees are available for public inspection at OCPF's office at the John W. McCormack Building, One Ashburton Place, Boston. Reports from most of the above candidates and committees are also available on the office's web site at www.mass.gov/ocpf.

The information contained in this legislative spending study is based on data for 2004 compiled from campaign finance reports filed by candidates and treasurers of political committees organized on behalf of candidates for the Massachusetts Senate and House. The campaign finance law defines a "candidate" as a person who takes steps to advance himself or herself for nomination or election to an office, whether by gathering signatures to get on the ballot or mounting a write-in or sticker campaign. In 2004, a total of 390 candidates sought legislative office and filed disclosure reports with OCPF: 81 running for the Senate and 309 running for the House. The total number of legislative candidates in 2004 is an increase of 18 over the 2002 election.

Legislative candidates and their committees are required to file three campaign finance reports disclosing election year financial activity. The reports are due with OCPF eight days prior to the September state primary election; eight days prior to the November general election; and on January 20 of the year immediately following. Reports were due from the candidates in this study on Sept. 7 (one day later due to the Labor Day holiday); Oct. 25, 2004, and Jan. 20, 2005. (Only one report is due for a year in which there is no state election, such as 2003.)

Starting in 2002, legislative candidates were also required to file their campaign finance reports in electronic form to an OCPF server, which then posted the information on the office web site. Electronic filing was required for candidates whose aggregate receipts or expenditures exceeded \$5,000 in a two-year election cycle. All but a handful of legislative candidates exceeded this threshold and were therefore required to e-file their reports.

Candidates and committee treasurers are required to disclose on those reports their account balances at the beginning of each reporting period; receipts and expenditures for the reporting period; in-kind contributions for the reporting period; and all liabilities.

The campaign finance law allows legislative candidates and committees to make expenditures for “the enhancement of the political future of the candidate,” as long as the expenditure is not primarily for the personal use of a candidate or any other person. Some of the expenditures that are included in the totals contained in this report, especially expenditures by incumbents, may not have been directly related to campaigning. For example, candidates may use campaign funds for purposes such as constituent or legislative services, opening or maintaining a legislative district office, charitable contributions, transportation and other activity that is for an identifiable political or governmental purpose.

In addition, some data may also reflect activity related to a municipal office also held or sought by some legislative candidates in 2004 (e.g., a city councilor or selectman running for the Senate or House). The campaign finance law does not allow the formation of more than one political committee by a candidate. A candidate holding or seeking elected positions on both the state and municipal levels has dual filing requirements with OCPF and his or her local election official, but the candidate’s reports reflect activity by only one committee.

OCPF has taken steps to ensure that the information contained in this study is accurate as of the time of its compilation, the spring of 2005. This study takes into account many corrections, additions or deletions made by candidates as a result of any review conducted by OCPF or amendments filed by candidates or political committees. Nevertheless, the information used for this study does not reflect subsequent amendments. In addition, the information provided by candidates and committees may include some mathematical errors and balance inconsistencies. Finally, comparative data are not adjusted for inflation.

This study was compiled and written by Denis Kennedy, OCPF’s Director of Public Information, based on information filed by candidates and committees. Those seeking further information on the study or any other facet of the Massachusetts campaign finance law may contact the Office of Campaign and Political Finance, John W. McCormack Building, One Ashburton Place, Room 411, Boston, MA 02108, or call (617) 727-8352 or (800) 462-OCPF. This study and other data are also available on the office’s web site, at www.mass.gov/ocpf.

June 2005

OVERVIEW

The 2004 elections for the House and Senate did not feature a record number of candidates, but the contenders posted all-time highs in several categories, including total fundraising and spending and average fundraising and spending.

Total receipts and expenditures by legislative candidates rose to all-time highs in 2004, fueled by increases of almost 50 percent over the 2002 figures. House and Senate candidates in 2004 raised a total of \$17,275,537, up 49 percent from 2002. Total spending by all 390 legislative candidates was \$17,640,644, an increase of 47 percent from two years before and more than \$5 million higher than any previous spending total. The new spending record eclipses the previous high of \$12.3 million recorded in 1992.

Totals in the individual chambers in 2004 were all substantially higher than those recorded in 2002, reaching all-time highs. In the races for the Senate, which saw a 33 percent increase in candidates over 2002, fundraising almost doubled from two years before, while spending increased 82 percent. The number of House candidates in 2004 was down two from 2002, but receipts and expenditures rose by 25 and 28 percent, respectively.

The substantial increases in fundraising and spending were also reflected in averages in both chambers, which also rose to new records. The average amount raised by a candidate for the Senate was \$93,370, an increase of 49 percent over 2002, and average expenditures were \$94,082, a rise of 37 percent. In the House, average receipts rose 26 percent to \$31,432 and average expenditures rose 29 percent to \$32,247, marking a new all-time high.

The legislative candidate spending the most money in his or her race in 2004 won in 117 of the 130 contested races, for a success rate of 90 percent. That is an increase of two percentage points over 2002 and 9 percentage points over 2000.

As in past years, Democrats and incumbents showed significantly more campaign finance activity than their opponents. Candidates who won their races in 2004 usually started and finished the year with more money than their opponents. The lists of the top ten most active individual candidates in terms of fundraising and spending was made up mostly of incumbents and other candidates who won their elections.

The 390 legislative candidates included 81 seeking one of 40 Senate seats and 309 running for the 160-seat House. The number of candidates in 2004 was a net increase of 18 from the total posted in 2002: two fewer in the House and 20 more in the Senate. (The highest number of candidate ever recorded in an OCPF legislative study was 507 in 1990.)

Of the 200 legislative seats, 130, or 65 percent, were contested by more than one candidate. That rate is up 14 percentage points from 2002 and 21 percentage points from 2000.

Of the 390 candidates for the General Court, 186, or 48 percent, were incumbents and 204, or 52 percent, were non-incumbents. Of the 186 incumbents, 183, or 98 percent, were re-elected, 70 after running unopposed. Seventeen non-incumbents were elected: four new senators and 13 new representatives.

The finding for each of the two chambers of the Legislature are broken down in each of the two sections that follow this introduction.

Campaign Finance Activity by Legislative Candidates 1990-2004

SENATE	1990	1992	1994	1996	1998	2000	2002	2004
Candidates	106	111	85	63	71	65	61	81
Total raised	\$4,530,863	\$5,674,643	\$4,829,019	\$3,510,827	\$3,809,576	\$5,504,933	\$3,819,774	\$7,562,984
Average raised	\$42,744	\$51,123	\$56,812	\$55,727	\$53,656	\$84,691	\$62,619	\$93,370
Total spent	\$5,060,968	\$6,086,061	\$5,044,959	\$3,211,808	\$3,806,032	\$4,369,766	\$4,177,425	\$7,620,649
Average spent	\$47,745	\$54,829	\$59,352	\$50,981	\$53,606	\$67,227	\$68,482	\$94,082

HOUSE	1990	1992	1994	1996	1998	2000	2002	2004
Candidates	401	361	323	280	296	265	311	309
Total raised	\$6,787,691	\$6,342,000	\$5,662,804	\$5,165,929	\$6,765,000	\$6,718,138	\$7,746,418	\$9,712,553
Average raised	\$16,927	\$17,568	\$17,532	\$18,450	\$22,855	\$25,351	\$24,908	\$31,432
Total spent	\$7,129,457	\$6,250,385	\$5,488,771	\$5,065,065	\$6,875,821	\$6,643,626	\$7,799,288	\$10,019,995
Average spent	\$17,779	\$17,314	\$16,993	\$18,090	\$23,229	\$25,070	\$25,078	\$32,247

SECTION I: THE SENATE

Boosted by a substantial increase in the number of candidates and heightened activity in many races, campaign finance activity by Senate candidates rose to record levels in 2004.

Senate races featured significant increases over 2002 in several major categories, including total amounts raised and spent as well as average amounts raised and spent. Totals and averages for both fundraising and spending rose to the highest levels ever recorded in an OCPF study.

Much of those increases could be attributed to a substantial jump in the number of candidates, with an accompanying rise in contested races over two years before. For example, the number of unopposed incumbents dropped from 23 in 2002 to 11 in 2004. But there was at least one other factor fueling the increases: significant activity in the most active races. The 2004 contests saw several well-funded non-incumbents taking on sitting senators; participants in those races posted spending totals that were well above those of their fellow candidates.

A total of 81 candidates ran for the Senate, an increase of 20, or 33 percent, over the record low in 2002. The 81 candidates in 2004 was the highest in an OCPF study since the 85 recorded in 1994.¹

Of the 40 races for Senate seats in 2004, 11 featured unopposed incumbents, a drop from 23 from 2002. The remaining 29 races featured 70 candidates, 25 of whom were incumbents seeking re-election. All 36 incumbents on the ballot were re-elected. Of the four new senators elected to the four open seats, two were state representatives at the time of their election. The Senate that was seated in January 2005 was made up of 34 Democrats and 6 Republicans, a decrease of one Republican from the makeup of the chamber in 2004.

¹ This study does not include campaign finance activity in the special election for one vacated Senate seat that occurred in February 2004: in the Norfolk, Bristol and Middlesex District. The winning candidate, former Rep. Scott Brown, also ran for re-election in the fall; his figures in this report reflect activity after March 22, 2004, the closing date for his post-special-election reports. That is also the case for his opponent in both the special election and the November election, Angus McQuilken. Figures for the special election are available from OCPF.

OVERVIEW OF CAMPAIGN FINANCE ACTIVITY FOR SENATE CANDIDATES

	<i>2000</i>	<i>2002</i>	<i>2004</i>
<i>Number of candidates</i>	65	61	81
<i>Total on hand at start</i>	\$3,763,841	\$3,261,677	\$3,593,595
<i>Total receipts</i>	\$5,504,933	\$3,819,774	\$7,562,984
<i>Average receipts per candidate</i>	\$84,691	\$62,619	\$93,370
<i>Total expenditures</i>	\$4,369,766	\$4,177,425	\$7,620,649
<i>Average expenditures per candidate</i>	\$67,227	\$68,482	\$94,082
<i>Total on hand at end</i>	\$4,895,953	\$2,919,457	\$3,501,943

Expenditures do not include debts incurred that had not been paid at the end of 2004. Also, total receipts do not include in-kind contributions, which are things of value other than money. Senate candidates reported receiving \$1,244,740 in in-kind contributions in 2004, a significant increase from the \$44,893 reported in 2002. The two chief reasons for the increase were greater contributions by state party committees and large in-kind contributions by some candidates to their own campaigns.

The 2004 fundraising total of \$7.562 million was almost double the 2002 figure, an increase of 98 percent. The \$7.62 million spending total represented a smaller increase of 82 percent, but both percentage increases are the highest recorded since OCPF published its first legislative spending report after the 1990 election. The 2004 totals far exceeded the previous records for each category, which were both set in 1992. In that year 111 candidates (30 more than the number that ran in 2004) reported \$5.67 million in receipts and \$6.1 million in expenditures.

While a major reason for the increases in 2004 was a rise in the number of candidates over 2002, it should be noted that it is difficult to compare election years because each year presents different roster of candidates and variety of races.

Record levels were also reached in average fundraising and spending by Senate candidates in 2004. The average amount raised of \$93,370 was an all-time high, up 49 percent from two years before. (The percentage increase was not a record, lagging behind the 58 percent hike in 2000.) On the spending side, the record average of \$94,082 posted in 2004 was an increase of 38 percent over 2002, the highest percentage increase logged in a study.

The highest previous spending average was the \$68,482 posted in 2002. The highest previous receipt average was \$84,691, recorded in 2000.

As a whole, incumbents in 2004 once again outspent non-incumbents, Democrats outspent Republicans and those who spent the most in a race usually won. The most

expensive race in 2004 did not eclipse the record set in 2002, but the 2004 saw new records in individual fundraising and spending, both set by a single candidate.

The findings in greater detail:

I. Success of Campaigns

Winners in Senate campaigns once again outspent their opponents in 2004, with both totals and averages outpacing those who lost by more than a two to one margin. The candidate spending the most money won in 25 of the 29 contested races, or 86 percent of the time. The comparable percentage in 2002 was 94 percent, but that was in fewer contests: the top spender that year won in 16 of the 17 contested races. Each of the four races in 2004 in which the winner was outspent ranked in the top ten in terms of total spending, as noted later in this study. The four winning candidates who were outspent were incumbents Robert O’Leary, D-Barnstable (Cape and Islands District), Susan Fargo, D-Lincoln (3rd Middlesex), and Robert Creedon, D-Brockton (2nd Plymouth and Bristol), and newly elected Sen. Karen Spilka, D-Ashland, a state representative who won the vacated 2nd Middlesex and Norfolk seat.

Overall, the 40 successful candidates, including the 11 unopposed incumbents, outspent the 41 unsuccessful contenders more than two-to-one, \$5.163 million to \$2.458 million. The same ratio was four-to-one in 2002 and three-to-one in 2000. The advantage in funds raised in 2004 was also two-to-one, a drop from the three-to-one advantage in 2002 and the four-to-one gap in 2000. The reduction of the fundraising gaps could be traced to two factors: a increase in the number of candidates from 2002 and the presence of several well-funded, yet ultimately unsuccessful, challengers.

The average amounts raised and spent by both winners and losers in 2004 was substantially higher than the figures from two years before. The average amount raised by a winning candidate rose 72 percent, while the average spent rose 56 percent. The average raised by a losing candidate increased 51 percent and the amount spent rose 44 percent.

(Some candidates were able to spend more than they raised due to existing balances with which they began the year.)

**SENATE CANDIDATES' RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES
BY SUCCESS OF CAMPAIGNS
2004**

	<i>Successful (40)</i>	<i>Unsuccessful (41)</i>
Total Receipts	\$5,164,247	\$2,398,737
Average Receipts	\$129,106	\$58,506
Total Expenditures	\$5,162,953	\$2,457,696
Average Expenditures	\$129,074	\$59,944

II. Incumbency

For the first time since 1998, incumbents accounted for less than half of the total number of Senate candidates. Nevertheless, senators seeking to return to office once again accounted for the majority of the fundraising and spending – albeit in slightly smaller numbers.

The 36 incumbent senators on the ballot represented a drop of three from 2002. Incumbents accounted for 65 percent of the candidates in 2002, but only 44 percent in 2004. Incumbents' share of both fundraising and spending in 2004 was 60 percent; their percentages in 2002 were 73 and 69, respectively. Incumbents as a group, both opposed and unopposed, still outspent non-incumbents, but the margin slipped below the two-to-one advantage posted in 2002. (For purposes of this study, incumbents are defined as those individuals holding the office of senator at the time of the 2004 election and seeking re-election.)

**SENATE CANDIDATES' RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES
BY INCUMBENCY
2004**

	<i>Incumbents (36)</i>	<i>Non-Incumbents (45)</i>
Total Receipts	\$4,539,954	\$3,023,030
Average Receipts	\$126,110	\$67,178
Total Expenditures	\$4,587,904	\$3,032,746
Average Expenditures	\$127,442	\$67,394

Senators showed a greater advantage, however, in average amounts raised and spent, which rose significantly for both incumbents and non-incumbents. The average raised by an incumbent rose 77 percent from 2002, while the average spent rose 72 percent. In contrast, the increases for non-incumbents were 41 percent in receipts and 15 percent in spending.

Historically, much of the expenditures by incumbents are not necessarily related directly to their election campaigns (such as bumper stickers or advertisements), but are geared toward constituent or legislative expenses. The campaign finance law allows campaign funds to be used for such expenditures as maintaining a district office, charitable contributions and official or political travel that is not otherwise paid for by the Commonwealth.

III. Party Affiliation

Democrats were once again the dominant party in fundraising and spending for Senate seats in 2004. However, the party's margin was cut significantly, due at least partly to an increase in Republican candidates, some of whom reported significant campaign finance activity.

The 44 Democrats represented 54 percent of the number of candidates, but accounted for 68 percent of all receipts and 66 percent of all expenditures. That represents a substantial drop from 2002, when Democrats represented 83 percent of both fundraising and spending. In 2002, for example, Democrats led Republicans in total spending almost five-to-one; two years later the ratio was just over two-to-one. The Republicans' share of fundraising and spending in 2004 -- 31 and 33 percent, respectively, represents almost a doubling of their percentages from 2002.

SENATE CANDIDATES' RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY PARTY AFFILIATION 2004

	<i>Democrats (44)</i>	<i>Republicans (31)</i>	<i>Unenrolled (3)</i>
<i>Total receipts</i>	\$5,148,146	\$2,324,695	\$81,424
<i>Average receipts</i>	\$117,003	\$74,990	\$27,141
<i>Total expenditures</i>	\$5,055,842	\$2,482,552	\$73,367
<i>Average expenditures</i>	\$114,905	\$80,082	\$24,456

	<i>Libertarian (2)</i>	<i>Green/Rainbow (1)</i>
<i>Total Receipts</i>	\$2,306	\$6,413
<i>Average Receipts</i>	\$1,153	\$6,413
<i>Total Expenditures</i>	\$2,428	\$6,461
<i>Average Expenditures</i>	\$1,214	\$6,461

The average amounts raised and spent by Democrats and Republicans all increased from 2002, with the largest jumps on the GOP side. The average Democrat raised 55 percent more, while the average Republican raised 99 percent more. In spending, the average Democrat spent 39 percent more and the average Republican spent 91 percent more than in 2002.

IV. Contested and Uncontested Races

Candidates who are opposed have traditionally recorded higher expenditures those who are unopposed, both in total and on average.² That was the case again in 2004. Candidates in contested races not only raised and spent more in total (87 and 89 percent of the totals), but also posted higher averages than those who were unopposed in their races. The average amount raised by an opposed candidate was only about \$4,000 higher than that of an unopposed candidate, but the average spent by an opposed candidate exceeded that of an unopposed candidate by \$28,000.

All four averages were up from the 2002 figures. The average amounts raised and spent by an opposed candidate went up 51 and 32 percent, while the averages for an unopposed candidate rose 42 and 17 percent, respectively.

RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY SENATE CANDIDATES OPPOSED AND UNOPPOSED 2004

	<i>Opposed (70)</i>	<i>Unopposed (11)</i>
<i>Total Receipts</i>	\$6,579,303	\$983,681
<i>Average receipts</i>	\$93,990	\$89,426
<i>Total Expenditures</i>	\$6,854,422	\$766,227
<i>Average Expenditures</i>	\$97,920	\$69,657

CONTESTED SEATS

Twenty-nine of the 40 races for the Senate in 2004 were contested, featuring more than one candidate. Of these 29 races, four were for seats being vacated by outgoing senators Guy Glodis (D-Worcester), David Magnani (D-Framingham), Linda Melconian (D-Springfield) and Jo Ann Sprague (R-Walpole).

² Some studies of legislative races have used other criteria in determining whether a race was “contested” or whether an incumbent was “opposed,” looking at such factors as whether the winner exceeded a certain margin of victory or whether an opponent had any campaign finance activity. This study considered a race contested if there were two or more candidates for the seat, regardless of the outcome or the level of campaign finance activity.

The 12 candidates in the four races for open seats raised a total of \$1,087,712, or an average of \$90,643, and spent a total of \$1,048,989, or an average of \$87,416. As noted below, two of the races for open seats placed among the ten most expensive races in 2004.

The remaining 25 contested races featured incumbents seeking re-election. The 58 candidates in those races raised a total of \$5,491,591, an average of \$94,683, and spent a total of \$5,805,433, an average of \$100,094.

V. Starting Balances

Senate candidates reported having a total of \$3,593,087 on hand at the start of 2004. That figure is about \$300,000 more than the comparable figure in 2002 (but about \$200,000 less than the amount on hand at the start of 2000).

Once again, a sizeable majority of the funds on hand was held by incumbents. Those who were in office at the start of the election year and later ran for re-election reported having 96 percent of the total available at the start of the election year. The comparable percentage for the incumbents' share in 2002 was 93 percent. The average amount on hand in an incumbent's account at the start of the year was \$95,401, versus the average non-incumbent's \$3,526.

The starting balance figures have traditionally favored incumbents, because many challengers are first-time candidates who begin their campaign with zero balances. Twenty-nine Senate candidates reported having no funds on hand at the start of 2004; the comparable figure in 2002 was 16. Some of those candidates, however, had not even organized campaign committees at the start of the year. None of the 29 were incumbents; only one, Edward Augustus, was ultimately elected.

The Senate candidate with the highest starting balance was once again Sen. Mark Montigny, D-New Bedford, who reported a total of \$933,366. Montigny also had the most money at the start of 2002, but his amount then was \$766,744, which was \$166,622 less than his 2004 total.

A total of seven of the 81 Senate candidates reported starting balances of more than \$100,000, three fewer than in 2002.

All of the 10 candidates with the largest starting balances in 2004 were incumbents and Democrats, as was the case in 2002. Six of those 10 were ultimately opposed for re-election. All were re-elected.

**SENATE CANDIDATES WITH
THE HIGHEST STARTING BALANCES**
(As of Jan. 1, 2004)

	Candidate	District	Balance	Opposed	Elected
1.	Mark C. Montigny (D) (I)	2nd Bristol & Plymouth	\$933,366	No	Yes
2.	Robert E. Travaglini (D) (I)	1st Suffolk & Middlesex	\$239,191	Yes	Yes
3.	Michael W. Morrissey (D) (I)	Norfolk & Plymouth	\$230,636	No	Yes
4.	Stephen M. Brewer (D) (I)	Worcester, Hampden, Hampshire & Franklin	\$189,082	Yes	Yes
5.	Marc R. Pacheco (D) (I)	1st Plymouth & Bristol	\$184,979	Yes	Yes
6.	Therese Murray (D) (I)	Plymouth & Barnstable	\$133,323	Yes	Yes
7.	Frederick E. Berry (D) (I)	2nd Essex	\$130,663	No	Yes
8.	Joan M. Menard (D) (I)	1st Bristol & Plymouth	\$97,833	No	Yes
9.	Steven A. Baddour (D) (I)	1 st Essex	\$90,913	Yes	Yes
10.	Susan C. Tucker (D) (I)	2nd Essex & Middlesex	\$80,521	Yes	Yes

I = Incumbent D=Democrat

VI. Most Active Candidates and Races

A race for the average Senate seat in 2004 featured two candidates and \$190,516 in spending. However, such basic benchmarks are deceiving, as campaign finance activity and competition varied significantly with each race. As noted above, 11 races featured single candidates. Seventeen races featured total spending of less than \$100,000 each.

The most active Senate race in 2004 in terms of spending was in the Plymouth and Barnstable District, where incumbent Therese Murray, D-Plymouth, and challenger Tim Duncan, R-Falmouth, reported total expenditures of \$763,787. That figure is the second-highest amount ever recorded in an OCPF study, trailing the \$809,637 spent by three candidates for an open seat in the Middlesex, Suffolk and Essex District in 2002. Murray, who defeated Duncan, also outspent him, \$474,095 to 289,692. As noted below, Murray was the top spender among Senate candidates in 2004. Duncan also placed among the top ten spenders.

Of the top 10 most expensive contested Senate races in 2004, eight were won by incumbents and two by non-incumbents seeking open seats. These ten races accounted for \$4.45 million, or 58 percent of the spending in the 40 contests for Senate seats.

SENATE RACES WITH THE HIGHEST SPENDING 2004

	<i>District</i>	<i>Total spent</i>	<i>Number of candidates</i>	<i>Winner</i>
1.	Plymouth & Barnstable	\$763,787	2	Therese Murray (D)(I)
2.	Cape & Islands	\$526,225	3	Robert O'Leary (D)(I)
3.	2nd Middlesex & Norfolk (O)	\$468,908	4	Karen Spilka (D)
4.	Middlesex & Essex	\$446,511	2	Richard Tisei (R)(I)
5.	Middlesex & Worcester	\$427,571	3	Pamela Resor (D)(I)
6.	3rd Middlesex	\$420,773	2	Susan Fargo (D)(I)
7.	Norfolk, Bristol & Middlesex	\$373,991	5	Scott Brown (R)(I)
8.	1st Suffolk & Middlesex	\$360,486	2	Robert Travaglini (D)(I)
9.	Suffolk & Norfolk	\$358,866	2	Marian Walsh (D)(I)
10.	2nd Worcester (O)	\$304,470	3	Edward Augustus (D)

*O=Open seat. I = Incumbent. D= Democrat. R=Republican

The least expensive contested Senate race was in the 1st Middlesex & Norfolk District, where two candidates -- incumbent Cynthia Creem, D-Newton, and challenger Matthew Fraser, R-Brookline -- reported total expenditures of \$18,138. Creem accounted for almost 99 percent of that total.

* * * * *

TOP FUNDRAISERS

The list of Senate candidates who raised the most money in 2004 includes six incumbents, all of whom were successful in their re-election bids. Of the four non-incumbents, one was elected, gaining an open seat. Six were Democrats; four were Republicans.

The top fundraiser in 2004 was Sen. Therese Murray, who reported total receipts of \$364,973 in her successful candidacy. Murray's figure is the highest ever posted by an opposed Senate candidate, surpassing the previous record of \$246,029 set by unsuccessful candidate Anthony Galluccio in 2002. The highest amount raised by any Senate candidate was \$1.358 million by Thomas Birmingham in 2000. Unlike Murray, Birmingham was unopposed for re-election; he subsequently ran for governor and carried over his funds to a statewide run.

Murray did not place in the top ten for fundraising in 2002, when she was also opposed.

SENATE CANDIDATES RAISING THE MOST MONEY IN 2004

	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>District</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Opposed</i>	<i>Elected</i>
1.	Therese Murray (D)(I)	Plymouth & Barnstable	\$364,973	Yes	Yes
2.	Gail B. Lese (R)	Cape & Islands	\$304,916	Yes	No
3.	Robert E. Travaglini (D)(I)	1st Suffolk & Middlesex	\$280,588	Yes	Yes
4.	Tim Duncan (R)	Plymouth & Barnstable	\$275,535	Yes	No
5.	John C. Thibault (R)	3rd Middlesex	\$274,331	Yes	No
6.	Marian Walsh (D)(I)	Suffolk & Norfolk	\$252,653	Yes	Yes
7.	Richard R. Tisei (R)(I)	Middlesex & Essex	\$233,897	Yes	Yes
8.	Edward M. Augustus (D)	2nd Worcester	\$225,094	Yes	Yes
9.	Pamela P. Resor (D)(I)	Middlesex & Worcester	\$215,801	Yes	Yes
10.	Brian A. Joyce (D)(I)	Norfolk, Bristol & Plymouth	\$213,375	Yes	Yes

O=Open seat. I = Incumbent. D= Democrat. R=Republican

All but one of the 81 Senate candidates reported raising some funds in 2004. The winning opposed candidate who raised the least was incumbent Robert Creedon, D-Brockton, of the 2nd Plymouth & Bristol District, who raised \$39,630.

* * * * *

TOP SPENDERS

The top ten list for spending for 2004 includes many candidates who were involved in the most expensive races listed earlier. The party breakdown is the same as in the fundraising list: six Democrats and four Republicans. All were opposed. Seven were elected, of whom all but one were incumbents.

SENATE CANDIDATES SPENDING THE MOST MONEY IN 2004

	Candidate	District	Expenditures	Opposed	Elected
1.	Therese Murray (D)(I)	Plymouth & Barnstable	\$474,095	Yes	Yes
2.	Gail B. Lese (R)	Cape & Islands	\$298,787	Yes	No
3.	Richard R. Tisei (R)(I)	Middlesex & Essex	\$294,426	Yes	Yes
4.	Tim Duncan (R)	Plymouth & Barnstable	\$289,692	Yes	No
5.	Robert E. Travaglini (D)(I)	1st Suffolk & Middlesex	\$289,144	Yes	Yes
6.	Marian Walsh (D)(I)	Suffolk & Norfolk	\$275,532	Yes	Yes
7.	John C. Thibault (R)	3rd Middlesex	\$275,013	Yes	No
8.	Pamela P. Resor (D)(I)	Middlesex & Worcester	\$255,119	Yes	Yes
9.	Edward M. Augustus (D)	2nd Worcester	\$224,984	Yes	Yes
10.	Brian A. Joyce (D)(I)	Norfolk, Bristol & Plymouth	\$185,273	Yes	Yes

*O=Open seat. I = Incumbent. D= Democrat. R=Republican

Murray's spending figure is the highest ever recorded by a Senate candidate in an OCPF study, surpassing the previous high of \$409,140 set by Jarrett Barrios in a successful campaign in 2002. Unlike Barrios, however, Murray was an incumbent seeking re-election in 2004; Barrios was a member of the House at the time of his election to the Senate. In 2002, she did not rank in the top ten list after spending about \$88,000, less than one-fifth of her 2004 total.

Expenditures were reported by all but one of the Senate candidates (Patricia Ross, who was also the candidate reporting no receipts). The successful candidate who spent the least overall was Cynthia Creem of the 1st Middlesex & Norfolk District, who reported expenditures of \$17,888 in a contested race. As noted above, Creem's race was the least expensive, with total outlays of \$18,138. Creem's opponent, Matthew Fraser, reported spending \$250.

VII. Ending Balances

Senate candidates reported ending 2004 with a total of \$3,512,452, or about \$81,000 less than their total at the start of the year.³ The year-end figure is higher than the \$2.9 million reported at the conclusion of 2002.

The candidate with the highest amount of money on hand at the end of the 2004 election was Sen. Mark Montigny, who, as noted above, also started the year with the highest amount of any Senate candidate. Montigny was also the candidate with the most on hand at the end of 2002, when he reported a total of \$829,830.

³ This ending balance may not correspond exactly with the aggregate starting balance, total receipts and total expenditures.

As was the case in every election year since 1998, all of the candidates with the ten highest ending balances in 2004 were incumbents and all had been re-elected. All were Democrats. Five had been opposed for re-election that year.

**SENATE CANDIDATES WITH
THE HIGHEST ENDING BALANCES**
(As of Dec. 31, 2004)

	Candidate	District	Balance	Opposed	Elected
1.	Mark C. Montigny (D)(I)	2nd Bristol & Plymouth	\$944,587	No	Yes
2.	Michael W. Morrissey (D)(I)	Norfolk & Plymouth	\$251,500	No	Yes
3.	Robert E. Travaglini (D)(I)	1st Suffolk & Middlesex	\$230,635	Yes	Yes
4.	Jarrett T. Barrios (D)(I)	Middlesex, Suffolk & Essex	\$221,064	No	Yes
5.	Stephen M. Brewer (D)(I)	Worcester, Hampden, Hampshire & Franklin	\$197,846	Yes	Yes
6.	Marc R. Pacheco (D)(I)	1st Plymouth & Bristol	\$159,476	Yes	Yes
7.	Frederick E. Berry (D)(I)	2nd Essex	\$133,675	No	Yes
8.	Steven A. Baddour (D)(I)	1st Essex	\$128,395	Yes	Yes
9.	Joan M. Menard (D)(I)	1st Bristol & Plymouth	\$124,338	No	Yes
10.	Robert A. Havern III (D)(I)	4th Middlesex	\$94,383	Yes	Yes

*O=Open seat. I = Incumbent. D= Democrat. R=Republican

Twelve Senate candidates reported having no money (or negative balances) at the end of 2004; the comparable figure in 2002 was eight. All of those candidates were non-incumbents who were not elected. The winning candidate) with the least amount on hand was Edward Augustus, D-Worcester, of the 2nd Worcester District, who reported an ending balance of \$110 after winning an open seat.

A table of campaign finance activity by all Senate candidates may be found immediately following this section.

Campaign Finance Activity by Candidates for the Senate 2004

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
Berkshire, Hampshire & Franklin									
	Andrea F. Nuciforo, Jr.	Pittsfield	D	I	W	\$54,670.44	\$139,342.62	\$137,363.50	\$56,649.56
	Dawn Taylor Thompson	Richmond	R		L	\$0.00	\$12,428.00	\$9,704.18	(\$1,765.94)
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				<u>\$54,670.44</u>	<u>\$151,770.62</u>	<u>\$147,067.68</u>	<u>\$54,883.62</u>
Bristol & Norfolk									
	Philip A. Brown	Mansfield	R		L	\$0.00	\$24,408.08	\$23,881.54	\$0.00
	David W. McCarter	Mansfield	R		L	\$0.00	\$34,708.00	\$34,382.37	\$325.63
	James Timilty	Walpole	D		W	\$382.13	\$145,210.00	\$127,603.97	\$17,988.16
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3				<u>\$382.13</u>	<u>\$204,326.08</u>	<u>\$185,867.88</u>	<u>\$18,313.79</u>
1st Bristol & Plymouth									
	Joan M. Menard	Fall River	D	I	W	\$97,833.35	\$89,696.00	\$63,191.08	\$124,338.27
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				<u>\$97,833.35</u>	<u>\$89,696.00</u>	<u>\$63,191.08</u>	<u>\$124,338.27</u>
2nd Bristol & Plymouth									
	Mark C. Montigny	New Bedford	D	I	W	\$933,366.14	\$132,401.17	\$121,180.22	\$944,587.09
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				<u>\$933,366.14</u>	<u>\$132,401.17</u>	<u>\$121,180.22</u>	<u>\$944,587.09</u>

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
Cape & Islands									
	Luiz Gonzaga	Hyannis	U		L	\$0.00	\$66,050.00	\$55,894.10	\$1,583.00
	Gail B. Lese	South Yarmouth	R		L	\$0.00	\$304,916.16	\$298,787.23	\$6,128.93
	Robert O'Leary	Cummaquid	D	I	W	\$16,465.81	\$196,281.50	\$171,543.20	\$41,204.11
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3				\$16,465.81	\$567,247.66	\$526,224.53	\$48,916.04
1st Essex									
	Steven A. Baddour	Methuen	D	I	W	\$90,913.09	\$119,330.26	\$81,848.75	\$128,394.60
	Leo T. Martin	Haverhill	R		L	\$0.00	\$500.00	\$357.21	\$142.79
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$90,913.09	\$119,830.26	\$82,205.96	\$128,537.39
2nd Essex									
	Frederick E. Berry	Peabody	D	I	W	\$130,663.10	\$72,820.15	\$69,808.67	\$133,674.58
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$130,663.10	\$72,820.15	\$69,808.67	\$133,674.58
1st Essex & Middlesex									
	Paul McGeary	Gloucester	D		L	\$0.00	\$46,975.74	\$46,875.90	\$99.84
	Bruce Tarr	Gloucester	R	I	W	\$26,629.28	\$89,894.00	\$113,241.90	\$3,281.38
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$26,629.28	\$136,869.74	\$160,117.80	\$3,381.22
2nd Essex & Middlesex									
	Deborah J. Jones	Andover	R		L	\$0.00	\$8,341.00	\$8,217.39	\$123.61
	Susan C. Tucker	Andover	D	I	W	\$80,520.79	\$64,648.45	\$56,225.33	\$90,298.91
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$80,520.79	\$72,989.45	\$64,442.72	\$90,422.52
3rd Essex & Middlesex									
	Thomas M. McGee	Lynn	D	I	W	\$46,940.87	\$39,365.00	\$42,787.40	\$43,518.47
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$46,940.87	\$39,365.00	\$42,787.40	\$43,518.47

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
Hampden									
	Stephen J. Buoniconti	W. Springfield	D		W	\$11,632.89	\$102,541.70	\$74,130.04	\$40,044.55
	Travis Chaput	W. Springfield	R		L	\$250.00	\$15,726.00	\$15,614.01	\$361.99
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$11,882.89	\$118,267.70	\$89,744.05	\$40,406.54
1st Hampden & Hampshire									
	Brian Lees	E. Longmeadow	R	I	W	\$69,343.95	\$90,381.88	\$101,247.90	\$58,477.93
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$69,343.95	\$90,381.88	\$101,247.90	\$58,477.93
2nd Hampden & Hampshire									
	Michael R. Knapik	Westfield	R	I	W	\$10,318.62	\$54,317.04	\$58,145.74	\$6,477.07
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$10,318.62	\$54,317.04	\$58,145.74	\$6,477.07
Hampshire & Franklin									
	James D. Miller	Deerfield	R		L	\$0.00	\$300.00	\$300.00	\$0.00
	Stanley C. Rosenberg	Amherst	D	I	W	\$30,609.57	\$93,464.31	\$60,846.44	\$63,227.44
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$30,609.57	\$93,764.31	\$61,146.44	\$63,227.44
1st Middlesex									
	Brooks T. Lyman	Groton	R		L	\$69.23	\$1,587.56	\$1,573.16	\$83.63
	Steven C. Panagiotakos	Lowell	D	I	W	\$13,117.10	\$88,341.01	\$67,473.25	\$34,184.86
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$13,186.33	\$89,928.57	\$69,046.41	\$34,268.49
2nd Middlesex									
	Charles E. Shannon Jr.		D	I	W	\$74,471.95	\$64,670.00	\$81,339.23	\$57,802.72
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$74,471.95	\$64,670.00	\$81,339.23	\$57,802.72

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
3rd Middlesex									
	Susan C. Fargo	Lincoln	D	I	W	\$27,279.90	\$140,951.01	\$145,759.99	\$22,470.92
	John C. Thibault	Chelmsford	R		L	\$681.72	\$274,331.00	\$275,012.72	\$0.00
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$27,961.62	\$415,282.01	\$420,772.71	\$22,470.92
4th Middlesex									
	Robert A. Havern III	Arlington	D	I	W	\$68,303.44	\$98,636.00	\$72,556.06	\$94,383.38
	Douglas M. Lucente	Lexington	R		L	\$0.00	\$28,058.29	\$27,727.51	\$330.78
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$68,303.44	\$126,694.29	\$100,283.57	\$94,714.16
Middlesex & Essex									
	Katherine Clark	Melrose	D		L	\$11,390.00	\$140,697.00	\$152,085.26	\$1.74
	Richard R. Tisei	Wakefield	R	I	W	\$65,713.41	\$233,896.91	\$294,425.78	\$5,184.54
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$77,103.41	\$374,593.91	\$446,511.04	\$5,186.28
1st Middlesex & Norfolk									
	Cynthia S. Creem	Newton	D	I	W	\$58,661.10	\$45,164.00	\$17,888.46	\$85,936.64
	Matthew Fraser	Brookline	R		L	\$0.00	\$500.00	\$250.00	\$250.00
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$58,661.10	\$45,664.00	\$18,138.46	\$86,186.64
2nd Middlesex & Norfolk									
	James F. Coffey	Hopkinton	R		L	\$11,261.10	\$158,738.33	\$169,965.92	\$33.51
	Gerard Desilets	Framingham	D		L	\$2,956.86	\$72,967.88	\$73,639.02	\$2,285.72
	Adam L. Sisitsky	Framingham	D		L	\$0.00	\$77,081.01	\$76,971.01	\$110.00
	Karen Spilka	Ashland	D		W	\$10,320.99	\$151,446.46	\$148,331.55	\$13,435.90
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	4				\$24,538.95	\$460,233.68	\$468,907.50	\$15,865.13

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
Middlesex, Suffolk & Essex									
	Jarrett T. Barrios	Cambridge	D	I	W	\$60,548.17	\$190,681.11	\$29,788.88	\$221,064.40
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$60,548.17	\$190,681.11	\$29,788.88	\$221,064.40
Middlesex & Worcester									
	Rod Jane	Westborough	R		L	\$34,833.80	\$59,272.00	\$93,069.00	\$1,736.80
	Pamela P. Resor	Acton	D	I	W	\$42,970.60	\$215,800.86	\$255,119.47	\$3,651.99
	Arthur Vigeant	Marlborough	R		L	\$9,930.46	\$69,729.37	\$79,382.47	\$277.36
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3				\$87,734.86	\$344,802.23	\$427,570.94	\$5,666.15
Norfolk, Bristol & Middlesex									
	Scott P. Brown	Wrentham	R	I	W	\$46,621.75	\$110,460.71	\$155,974.98	\$1,107.48
	Angus McQuilken	Millis	D		L	\$43,895.07	\$113,286.48	\$130,912.92	\$26,268.63
	Patricia B. Ross	Wellesley	D		L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Barbara A. Smith	North Attleborough	D		L	\$0.00	\$2,417.44	\$2,417.44	\$0.00
	Gerald Wasserman	Needham	D		L	\$5,250.27	\$83,110.00	\$84,685.22	\$3,675.05
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	5				\$95,767.09	\$309,274.63	\$373,990.56	\$31,051.16
Norfolk, Bristol & Plymouth									
	Brian A. Joyce	Milton	D	I	W	\$107.15	\$213,375.64	\$185,273.35	\$28,209.44
	Louis Sinoff	Wayland	Li		L	\$0.00	\$803.00	\$925.47	(\$122.47)
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$107.15	\$214,178.64	\$186,198.82	\$28,086.97
Norfolk & Plymouth									
	Michael W. Morrissey	Quincy	D	I	W	\$230,636.49	\$91,472.89	\$70,609.19	\$251,500.19
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$230,636.49	\$91,472.89	\$70,609.19	\$251,500.19

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
Plymouth & Barnstable									
	Tim Duncan	Falmouth	R		L	\$14,778.55	\$275,535.00	\$289,692.15	\$621.40
	Therese Murray	Plymouth	D	I	W	\$133,323.12	\$364,973.31	\$474,095.10	\$24,201.33
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2							
						\$148,101.67	\$640,508.31	\$763,787.25	\$24,822.73
1st Plymouth & Bristol									
	Donald Jonah	Middleborough	R		L	\$0.00	\$2,850.00	\$734.25	\$2,500.00
	Marc R. Pacheco	Taunton	D	I	W	\$184,979.46	\$102,320.70	\$127,824.64	\$159,475.52
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2							
						\$184,979.46	\$105,170.70	\$128,558.89	\$161,975.52
2nd Plymouth & Bristol									
	Robert S. Creedon Jr.	Brockton	D	I	W	\$70,341.61	\$39,630.00	\$84,769.36	\$25,202.25
	Lawrence P. Novak	Brockton	R		L	\$0.00	\$141,896.00	\$140,833.93	\$1,062.07
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2							
						\$70,341.61	\$181,526.00	\$225,603.29	\$26,264.32
Plymouth & Norfolk									
	Robert L. Hedlund	E. Weymouth	R	I	W	\$56,543.50	\$59,413.59	\$35,185.76	\$80,771.33
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1							
						\$56,543.50	\$59,413.59	\$35,185.76	\$80,771.33
1st Suffolk									
	John A. Hart Jr.	South Boston	D	I	W	\$37,215.77	\$175,349.00	\$182,459.90	\$30,104.87
	Susan C. Long	South Boston	U		L	\$0.00	\$8,642.64	\$10,741.30	(\$2,098.66)
	Carol Y. Mallory-Causey	Mattapan	D		L	\$0.00	\$182.00	\$189.82	\$1.38
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3							
						\$37,215.77	\$184,173.64	\$193,391.02	\$28,007.59
2nd Suffolk									
	Dianne Wilkerson	Boston	D	I	W	\$13,957.77	\$98,462.00	\$92,943.41	\$20,950.38
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1							
						\$13,957.77	\$98,462.00	\$92,943.41	\$20,950.38

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
1st Suffolk & Middlesex									
	Gilbert Lavoie	Boston	R		L	\$0.00	\$71,342.68	\$71,342.68	\$0.00
	Robert E. Travaglini	East Boston	D	I	W	\$239,190.50	\$280,588.41	\$289,143.78	\$230,635.13
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2							
						\$239,190.50	\$351,931.09	\$360,486.46	\$230,635.13
2nd Suffolk & Middlesex									
	Robert Ferencsik	Brighton	R		L	\$0.00	\$2,575.12	\$2,503.12	\$72.00
	Steven A. Tolman	Brighton	D	I	W	\$68,994.81	\$87,570.00	\$95,870.09	\$47,561.58
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2							
						\$68,994.81	\$90,145.12	\$98,373.21	\$47,633.58
Suffolk & Norfolk									
	Robert W. Joyce	Roslindale	D		L	\$0.00	\$85,545.50	\$83,333.79	\$2,211.71
	Marian Walsh	W. Roxbury	D	I	W	\$35,135.93	\$252,653.39	\$275,532.07	\$12,257.25
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2							
						\$35,135.93	\$338,198.89	\$358,865.86	\$14,468.96
1st Worcester									
	Harriette L. Chandler	Worcester	D	I	W	\$60,428.21	\$140,300.84	\$155,106.92	\$45,622.13
	Stephen N. Paige	W. Boylston	R		L	\$0.00	\$36,630.00	\$36,317.54	\$312.46
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2							
						\$60,428.21	\$176,930.84	\$191,424.46	\$45,934.59
2nd Worcester									
	Edward M. Augustus	Worcester	D		W	\$0.00	\$225,094.39	\$224,984.19	\$110.20
	Robi Blute	Shrewsbury	R		L	\$0.00	\$73,059.29	\$72,754.27	\$305.02
	Jane M. Burdzel	Leicester	U		L	\$0.00	\$6,731.25	\$6,731.25	\$0.00
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3							
						\$0.00	\$304,884.93	\$304,469.71	\$415.22

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
Worcester, Hampden, Hampshire & Franklin									
	Stephen M. Brewer	Barre	D	I	W	\$189,082.30	\$60,293.44	\$51,529.39	\$197,846.35
	Jennifer Gaucher	Spencer	R		L	\$0.00	\$525.00	\$433.38	\$91.62
	Carolyn McMahon	Monson	Li		L	\$0.00	\$1,503.00	\$1,503.00	\$0.00
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3				\$189,082.30	\$62,321.44	\$53,465.77	\$197,937.97
Worcester & Middlesex									
	Robert A. Antonioni	Leominster	D	I	W	\$49,262.46	\$78,865.00	\$126,938.30	\$1,189.16
	David Shnaider	Sterling	R		L	\$0.00	\$77,755.36	\$60,006.49	\$17,768.87
	Richard Zitola	Clinton	G		L	\$149.05	\$6,413.06	\$6,460.61	\$101.50
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3				\$49,411.51	\$163,033.42	\$193,405.40	\$19,059.53
Worcester & Norfolk									
	Jerzy J. Jachimczyk	Southbridge	R		L	\$868.22	\$10,619.00	\$11,487.22	\$0.00
	Richard T. Moore	Uxbridge	D	I	W	\$19,274.75	\$124,141.88	\$142,866.24	\$550.39
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$20,142.97	\$134,760.88	\$154,353.46	\$550.39
	Total candidates:	81							
	GRAND TOTALS					\$3,593,086.60	\$7,562,983.87	\$7,620,649.33	\$3,512,452.42

SECTION II: THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The race for the House in 2004 featured a significant increase in campaign finance activity, with expenditures cracking the \$10 million mark for the first time. Total receipts did not reach that level, but were still enough to reach a record high. In fact, record levels were posted in all four major benchmark categories: total expenditures and receipts and average expenditures and receipts.

A total of 309 candidates ran for the House in 2004, a drop of two from 2002 (and an increase of 44 from 2000). The largest number of House candidates recorded in an OCPF study was the 401 contenders in 1990.

Of the 160 House seats, 101 were contested, with races featuring more than one candidate, an increase of 15 from 2002. The remaining 59 seats were held by incumbents running unopposed. Two hundred and fifty candidates were running in those contested races, an increase of 14 from 2002. Of those 250 opposed candidates, 91 were incumbents, of whom 88 were re-elected and three were defeated, for a success rate of 97 percent. (Another 10 incumbents did not seek re-election to their seats.) The new House that was seated in January 2005 was therefore made up of 147 returning incumbents and 13 new members. The party breakdown was 138 Democrats, 21 Republicans and 1 member who was not enrolled in a political party.

OVERVIEW OF CAMPAIGN FINANCE ACTIVITY FOR HOUSE CANDIDATES

	2000	2002	2004
<i>Number of candidates</i>	265	311	309
<i>Total cash on hand at start</i>	\$3,589,700	\$3,872,269	\$5,191,305
<i>Total receipts</i>	\$6,718,138	\$7,746,418	\$9,712,553
<i>Total expenditures</i>	\$6,643,626	\$7,799,288	\$10,019,995
<i>Average receipts per candidate</i>	\$25,351	\$24,908	\$31,432
<i>Average expenditures per candidate</i>	\$25,070	\$25,078	\$32,247
<i>Total on hand at end</i>	\$3,661,609	\$3,853,255	\$4,912,736

Expenditures do not include debts incurred that had not been paid at the end of 2004. Also, total receipts do not include in-kind contributions, which are things of value other than money. Candidates for the House reported receiving a total of \$1,499,329 in in-kind contributions in 2004, a substantial increase over the \$187,724 recorded in 2002.

The \$9.7 million raised by House candidates in 2004 was the highest fundraising total ever recorded for this chamber in an OCPF study and the first time aggregate receipts have exceeded \$9 million. In fact, it was the first time receipts have exceeded \$8 million: the previous record was \$7.7 million set in 2002. The 2004 receipts figure was a 25 percent increase over the total for 2002.

The new records are also noteworthy because they were set by fewer candidates than the previous records. In 2002, the records were set by 311 House candidates. There were two fewer candidates in 2004, yet as a group they raised and spent \$2 million more than their counterparts two years before. Despite the drop in the number of candidates, the competition was keen; the number of contested races in 2004 was the highest it has been in 14 years. Many of those races featured significant campaign finance activity.

The slight drop in candidates and the substantial increase in total receipts and expenditures meant higher average fundraising and spending. The average amount raised by a House candidate rose 26 percent in 2004 to \$31,432, an all-time high. The previous record was the \$25,351 posted in 2000. Average expenditures rose 29 percent to a record \$32,247. The previous was \$25,078, recorded in 2002.

Contests for House seats in 2004 were once again usually won by the candidates who had spent more than their opponents. The candidate who spent the most money in a race won over 90 percent of the time. Fundraising and spending advantages were also enjoyed by candidates who were Democrats, incumbents and/or winners in their races.

The findings in greater detail:

I. Winners vs. Losers

The winning candidates continued to account for the bulk of campaign finance activity in 2004, outspending their opponents more than two-to one. As a group, the 160 successful candidates, who represented 51 percent of all candidates, raised and spent 72 percent of the money, an increase of about 6 percent from 2002 and one point less than the figure for 2000.

In the 101 contested races for a House seat, the candidate who spent the most money won 92 times, for a success rate of 91 percent. That rate is up four points from 2002 and 11 points from 2000. The nine winning candidates who were outspent were incumbents Shirley Gomes, D-Harwich (4th Barnstable District), Philip Travis D-Rehoboth (4th Bristol), Harriett Stanley, D-West Newbury (2nd Essex), Theodore Speliotis, D-Danvers (13th Essex), and Geoffrey Hall, D-Westford (2nd Middlesex), and challengers Cleon Turner, D-Dennis (1st Barnstable), Sean Curran, D-Springfield (9th Hampden), Thomas Sannicandro, D-Ashland (7th Middlesex), who was seeking an open seat, and Richard Ross, R-Wrentham (9th Norfolk). Turner, Sannicandro and Ross were elected to open seats, while Curran defeated incumbent Christopher Asselin. Curran was

outspent not only by Asselin but also by the other candidate in the race, Rosemary Mazza-Moriarty.

**HOUSE CANDIDATES' RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES
BY SUCCESS OF CAMPAIGNS
2004**

	<i>Successful (160)</i>	<i>Unsuccessful (149)</i>
<i>Total Receipts</i>	\$7,034,203	\$2,678,350
<i>Average Receipts</i>	\$43,964	\$17,975
<i>Total Expenditures</i>	\$7,221,227	\$2,798,768
<i>Average Expenditures</i>	\$45,133	\$18,784

Average receipts and expenditures rose from 2002 for both categories of candidates, but more substantially for those who won. Average amounts raised and spent by successful candidates rose 34 percent and 40 percent, respectively. For those who were not elected, the average amount raised increased about 8 percent, while the average spent rose 7 percent.

II. Incumbents vs. Challengers

Once again, incumbents had a total fundraising and spending advantage over their challengers in 2002. The 144 incumbents seeking re-election accounted for 56 percent of the total funds raised and 55 percent of total expenditures. The incumbents' shares in 2000 were slightly higher, 64 and 63 percent. (Incumbents are defined as those individuals holding the office of Representative at the time of the 2004 election and seeking re-election to the seat.)

Compared to 2000, average receipts and expenditures went in different directions for incumbents and non-incumbents in 2002. Average receipts by an incumbent rose 4 percent from their 2000 levels and average expenditures rose 7 percent. The trend was reversed among non-incumbents, who saw average receipts and expenditures each drop 2 percent.

**HOUSE CANDIDATES' RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES
BY INCUMBENCY
2004**

	<i>Incumbents (150)</i>	<i>Non-Incumbents (159)</i>
Total Receipts	\$6,431,959	\$3,280,595
Average Receipts	\$42,880	\$20,633
Total Expenditures	\$6,698,103	\$3,321,892
Average Expenditures	\$44,654	\$20,892

Three members of the House were defeated in their bids for re-election in 2004: Christopher Asselin, D-Springfield (9th Hampden District), Vincent Ciampa, D-Somerville (34th Middlesex) and Mark Howland, D-Freetown (12th Bristol). Asselin was the top spender in his race, while Ciampa and Howland were both outspent by their successful challengers: Ciampa by about \$1,000 and Howland by more than \$40,000. (All but one of the six defeated incumbents in 2002 were outspent in their races.)

Historically, much of the expenditures by incumbents, both unopposed and opposed, are not necessarily related directly to their campaigns (such as bumper stickers or advertisements), but were geared toward constituent or legislative expenses. The campaign finance law allows campaign funds to be used for such expenses as maintaining a district office, charitable contributions and official or political travel that is not otherwise paid for by the Commonwealth.

As with the Senate figures, spending by incumbents, especially those who were not opposed for re-election, may not have been all directly related to their campaigns.

III. Party Affiliation

Democrats once again accounted for the majority of fundraising and spending in 2004, but by a lesser share than two years before.

The 189 Democrats running for the House in 2004 (a drop of 19 from 2002) accounted for 61 percent of all candidates, but they made up 75 percent of all fundraising and spending. By contrast, Democrats' shares of fundraising and spending in 2002 was 81 and 80 percent, respectively. Because of the substantial increase in both total receipts and expenditures, however, the totals for the party were still well above the 2002 figures: receipts and expenditures were each more than \$1 million above the comparable totals for 2002.

Similarly, the Republicans on the ballot also showed gains in total fundraising and spending. Unlike the Democrats, however, some of that rise could be explained by a substantial rise in the number of candidates. A total of 100 Republicans ran for the House,

up 29 from two years before. Like the Democrats, Republicans saw their total receipts and expenditures rise by more than \$1 million each over 2002.

Democrats once again led Republicans in fundraising and spending averages in 2004, though each party saw significant increases. The average raised by a Democrat rose 28 percent, while the average spent increased 32 percent. Average fundraising and spending by a Republican rose 29 percent in each area.

Figures posted by candidates who were not Democrats or Republicans, such as members of the Libertarian or Green parties or those who were not members of any party, were roughly comparable to those from 2002, with one exception: the average amounts raised and spent by a Green/Rainbow Party candidate rose 78 and 59 percent, respectively. It should be noted, however, that there were only five House candidates from this party in 2004, the same number as in 2002. Candidates in the other two categories actually decreased from 2002: the number of unenrolled candidates dropped by three, while the number of Libertarian candidates in 2004 decreased by nine. Relatively low numbers in these categories means greater fluctuations in campaign finance totals with each election year.

RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY PARTY AFFILIATION 2004

	<i>Democrats (189)</i>	<i>Republicans (100)</i>	<i>Unenrolled (11)</i>
Total Receipts	\$7,303,027	\$2,226,294	\$127,994
Average Receipts	\$38,640	\$22,263	\$11,636
Total Expenditures	\$7,512,283	\$2,314,834	\$129,156
Average Expenditures	\$39,748	\$23,148	\$11,741

	<i>Libertarian (4)</i>	<i>Green/Rainbow (5)</i>
Total Receipts	\$9,739	\$45,499
Average Receipts	\$2,435	\$9,100
Total Expenditures	\$13,575	\$50,148
Average Expenditures	\$3,394	\$10,030

IV. Contested and Uncontested Races

Of the 160 elections for seats in the House in 2004, 59 featured candidates who were unopposed for re-election. That is down 16 from the number in the 2002 election and represents the lowest total of uncontested races since 1990, when 44 candidates were unopposed.

The remaining 101 races were contested, featuring two or more candidates. (Four featured incumbents opposed by a single candidate who reported no campaign finance activity, neither receipts nor expenditures. Those candidates are still considered opposed in this study.)¹

Given their numbers, opposed candidates as a whole raised and spent more than their unopposed counterparts. Candidates in uncontested races, however, raised and spent more on average.

RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY HOUSE CANDIDATES OPPOSED AND UNOPPOSED 2004

	<i>Opposed (251)</i>	<i>Unopposed (58)</i>
Total Receipts	\$7,378,940	\$2,333,613
Average receipts	\$29,516	\$39,553
Total Expenditures	\$7,861,826	\$2,158,169
Average Expenditures	\$31,322	\$36,579

CONTESTED SEATS

The 101 contested House races in 2004 can be grouped in two categories: contests for open seats and contests featuring an incumbent facing opposition. The latter type of race featured higher totals for fundraising and spending, as expected due to the larger number of races and candidates. Higher figures were also posted, however, in average receipts and expenditures.

There were contests for 10 open House seats in 2004, a reduction of 6 from the total in 2002:

<i>District</i>	<i>Previous incumbent</i>	<i>Winner</i>
1 st Barnstable	Thomas George	Cleon Turner
2 nd Berkshire	Shaun Kelly	Denis Guyer
7 th Essex	Michael Ruane	John Keenan
1 st Hampden	Reed Hillman	Todd Smola
6 th Hampden	Stephen Buoniconti*	James Welch
7 th Middlesex	Karen Spilka*	Thomas Sannicandro

¹ Some studies of legislative races have used other criteria in determining whether a race was “contested” or whether an incumbent was “opposed,” looking at such factors as whether the winner exceeded a certain margin of victory or whether an opponent had any campaign finance activity. This study considered a race contested if there were two or more candidates for the seat, regardless of the outcome or the level of campaign finance activity.

30 th Middlesex	Carol Donovan	Patrick Natale
9 th Norfolk	Scott Brown**	Richard Ross
8 th Suffolk	Paul Demakis	Martha Walz
4 th Worcester	Mary Jane Simmons***	Jennifer Flanagan

* Elected to the state Senate in November 2004.

** Elected to the state Senate in a special election in February 2004.

*** Died in early 2004; seat was left vacant for the rest of the term.

The 41 candidates for these open seats reported raising a total of \$1,092,095, or an average of \$26,636, and spending a total of \$1,056,223, or an average of \$25,762. The top spender won in 7 of the 10 races, or 70 percent of the time.

The most expensive race for an open seat was in the 8th Suffolk District, where four candidates spent \$226,244 for the seat vacated by Rep. Paul Demakis. The winner, Martha Walz, D-Boston, was also the top spender in the race with a figure of \$102,557. Ironically, Demakis and four other candidates set a House spending record when they sought that open seat ten years earlier. Their record spending figure of \$291,406 lasted until 2002.

* * * * *

There were 91 *contested races featuring an incumbent*, an increase of 22 from two years before. The incumbent won 88 of the races in 2004. In those contests, the 209 candidates reported raising a total of \$6,286,845, for an average of \$30,081 each, and spending a total of \$6,805,603, for an average of \$32,563 each.

The top spender won in 85 of the 91 races involving an opposed incumbent. The most expensive such race was in the 26th Middlesex District, where incumbent Timothy Toomey, D-Cambridge faced two challengers. Toomey, who was re-elected, was the top spender in the race with a total of \$144,299.

V. Starting Balances

Candidates for the House in 2004 reported having a total of \$5,191,305 on hand at the start of the election year. That figure is \$1.3 million more than the total on hand at the start of 2002 and represents the largest starting balance ever recorded in an OCPF study.

As a rule, incumbents and well-established or returning challengers usually have money on hand at the start of an election year. Generally, new candidates do not organize their campaigns until the year in which the election is held, accounting for significantly lower beginning balances among non-incumbents. A head start in fundraising is often accompanied by success at the polls: of the total amount on hand at the start of the year, 95 percent was held by eventual winners. The percentage was identical in 2002. Incumbents seeking re-election also held 95 percent of the cash on hand, or an average of

\$33,046 each. That average is about \$7,300 more than the amount held by the average incumbent at the start of 2002.

A total of 101 candidates, or about a third of the total number running, started 2004 or their campaigns with no funds on hand and/or no organized committee. Seven of those candidates, all non-incumbents, were ultimately elected.

The list of the candidates with the 10 highest starting balances consists entirely of incumbent Democrats, all of whom subsequently won re-election in 2004. All but one were unopposed.

HOUSE CANDIDATES WITH HIGHEST STARTING BALANCES

(As of Jan. 1, 2004)

	Candidate	District	Balance	Opposed	Elected
1.	Thomas M. Finneran (D)(I)	12th Suffolk	\$477,388	No	Yes
2.	John J. Binienda, Sr. (D)(I)	17th Worcester	\$220,668	No	Yes
3.	John H. Rogers (D)(I)	12th Norfolk	\$215,704	No	Yes
4.	Peter J. Koutoujian (D)(I)	10th Middlesex	\$183,851	Yes	Yes
5.	Salvatore F. DiMasi (D)(I)	3rd Suffolk	\$183,221	No	Yes
6.	Ronald Mariano (D)(I)	3rd Norfolk	\$177,335	No	Yes
7.	Antonio F. D. Cabral (D)(I)	13th Bristol	\$141,838	No	Yes
8.	John F. Quinn (D)(I)	9 th Bristol	\$137,324	No	Yes
9.	Thomas M. Petrolati (D) (I)	7 th Hampden	\$129,312	No	Yes
10.	Rachel Kaprielian (D)(I)	29th Middlesex	\$126,493	No	Yes

D=Democrat I=Incumbent

Finneran, D-Boston, also topped this list in 2002. All of the above candidates but one, Petrolati, also appeared on the 2002 list.

VI. Most Active Candidates and Races

A race for a House seat in 2004 featured an average of two candidates and \$62,625 in spending. As noted in the Senate section of this study, however, each race varied in the level of campaign finance activity and competition. As noted above, 59 races featured unopposed incumbents. Most races – 131 of 160 -- featured total spending of less than \$100,000 each.

On the list of the 10 most expensive House races in 2004, all but three were won by incumbents. Two of the other three races were for open seats. Nine of the 10 races on the list were won by Democrats.

The most expensive race was in the 26th Middlesex District, which encompasses portions of Cambridge and Somerville. Rep. Timothy Toomey, who was re-elected, and two challengers spent a total of \$252,025. Toomey accounted for 57 percent of all spending by the candidates. The total in this race is far short of the record set in 2002, which was \$347,148 spent by four candidates in the 11th Norfolk District (Dedham, Walpole and Westwood).

HOUSE RACES WITH THE HIGHEST SPENDING 2004

	District	Total spent	Number of candidates	Winner
1.	26 th Middlesex	\$252,025	3	Timothy Toomey (D)(I)
2.	8th Suffolk (O)	\$226,244	4	Martha Walz (D)
3.	14 th Norfolk	\$212,964	2	Alice Peisch (D)(I)
4.	14 th Middlesex	\$210,799	2	Cory Atkins (D)(I)
5.	20 th Middlesex	\$188,625	2	Bradley Jones (R)(I)
6.	34 th Middlesex	\$169,780	2	Carl Sciortino (D)
7.	9th Middlesex	\$151,719	4	Thomas Stanley (D)(I)
8.	4th Worcester (O)	\$151,528	6	Jennifer Flanagan (D)
9.	3rd Barnstable	\$149,009	2	Matthew Patrick (D)(I)
10.	4th Barnstable	\$143,515	3	Shirley Gomes (D)(I)

O=Open seat. I = Incumbent. D=Democrat. R=Republican

Two of the above races were also on this list in 2002: the 8th Suffolk, where Rep, Paul Demakis beat one challenger, and the 14th Norfolk, where Rep. Alice Peisch of Wellesley defeated two challengers.

For the second consecutive election, the least expensive contested race was in the 3rd Worcester District, where incumbent Emile Goguen, D-Fitchburg, and two challengers spent a total of \$7,921. (Goguen and a single opponent spent a total of \$5,247 in 2002.). Goguen, who was re-elected, accounted 55 percent of the spending in 2004.

TOP FUNDRAISERS AND SPENDERS

The list of top House fundraisers in 2004 was made up of nine incumbents and nine Democrats. Seven were opposed in the election; all were elected.

HOUSE CANDIDATES RAISING THE MOST MONEY IN 2004

	Candidate	District	Total	Opposed	Elected
1.	Thomas M. Finneran (D)(I)	12th Suffolk	\$315,922	No	Yes
2.	Salvatore F. DiMasi (D)(I)	3rd Suffolk	\$230,669	No	Yes
3.	John H. Rogers (D)(I)	12th Norfolk	\$196,492	No	Yes
4.	Peter J. Koutoujian (D)(I)	10th Middlesex	\$142,597	Yes	Yes
5.	Timothy J. Toomey Jr. (D)(I)	26th Middlesex	\$135,092	Yes	Yes
6.	Alice Hanlon Peisch (D)(I)	14th Norfolk	\$127,970	Yes	Yes
7.	Cory Atkins (D)(I)	14th Middlesex	\$114,530	Yes	Yes
8.	Joseph F. Wagner (D)(I)	8th Hampden	\$107,860	Yes	Yes
9.	Bradley H. Jones Jr. (R)(I)	20th Middlesex	\$104,344	Yes	Yes
10.	Martha Walz (D) (O)	8th Suffolk (O)	\$103,736	Yes	Yes

O=Open seat. I = Incumbent. D=Democrat. R=Republican

This is the fourth straight election year in which Finneran topped the list. His highest recorded receipts total, and the highest ever recorded by a House candidate in an OCPF study, was \$370,641 in 2002. (Finneran was Speaker of the House for most of 2004; he resigned his seat at the end of the year after winning re-election.) Finneran and Peisch are the only candidates who also appeared on this list in 2002.

Eleven candidates reported no receipts in 2004 (including the two who failed to file reports). All were non-incumbents; none were elected. The winning opposed candidate who raised the least was incumbent Emile Goguen of the 3rd Worcester District, who reported raising \$6,197.

* * * * *

The top ten list of candidates in terms of spending included six incumbents and four non-incumbents. Nine candidates were opposed, of whom six were elected. All the candidates on the list were Democrats. One candidate was seeking an open seat.

HOUSE CANDIDATES SPENDING THE MOST MONEY IN 2004

	Candidate	District	Total	Opposed	Elected
1.	Thomas M. Finneran (D)(I)	12th Suffolk	\$348,612	No	Yes
2.	John H. Rogers (D)(I)	12th Norfolk	\$230,322	No	Yes
3.	Salvatore F. DiMasi (D)(I)	3rd Suffolk	\$219,634	No	Yes
4.	Timothy J. Toomey Jr. (D)(I)	26th Middlesex	\$144,299	Yes	Yes
5.	Bradley H. Jones Jr. (R)(I)	20th Middlesex	\$141,562	Yes	Yes
6.	Alice Hanlon Peisch (D)(I)	14th Norfolk	\$141,091	Yes	Yes
7.	Cory Atkins (D)(I)	14th Middlesex	\$122,609	Yes	Yes
8.	Peter J. Koutoujian (D)(I)	10th Middlesex	\$119,201	Yes	Yes
9.	Barry R. Finegold (D)(I)	17th Essex	\$111,595	Yes	Yes
10.	John D. Keenan Jr. (D) (O)	7th Essex	\$104,545	Yes	Yes

O=Open seat. I = Incumbent. D=Democrat. R=Republican

Finneran is the only candidate who also appeared on the 2002 list. As was the case with his expenditures, this is the fourth consecutive time he has been the top spender among House candidates. His most recent figure, however, trails his record high of \$407,232, posted in 2002.

Eleven candidates reported no expenditures in 2004, including the two non-filers. Ten of those candidates also reported no receipts. All of the non-spenders were non-incumbents; none was elected. The winning candidate in a contested race who spent the least was Rep. Goguen of the 3rd Worcester District, who, as noted above, also raised the least of any successful opposed candidate. Goguen reported expenditures of \$4,351 in 2004.

VII. Ending Balances

House candidates reported a total ending balance of \$4,912,736 at the close of 2004. That figure is about \$279,000 less than the amount on hand at the start of the election year.²

For each category, the largest shares of cash on hand at the end of 2004 were held by incumbents (96 percent), Democrats (88 percent), winners (97 percent) and unopposed candidates (64 percent). Each of the figures was almost exactly comparable to those posted in 2002 with the exception of the percentage held by unopposed candidates, which dropped 13 points from two years before.

² This ending balance may not correspond exactly with the aggregate starting balance, total receipts and total expenditures.

All of the candidates with the ten highest ending balances were incumbents who, with only one exception, had been unopposed for re-election. All were Democrats.

HOUSE CANDIDATES WITH THE HIGHEST ENDING BALANCES

(As of Dec. 31, 2004)

	Candidate	District	Balance	Opposed	Elected
1.	Thomas M. Finneran (D)(I)	12th Suffolk	\$444,699	No	Yes
2.	John J. Binienda Sr. (D)(I)	17th Worcester	\$244,595	No	Yes
3.	Peter J. Koutoujian (D)(I)	10th Middlesex	\$207,247	Yes	Yes
4.	Salvatore F. DiMasi (D)(I)	3rd Suffolk	\$194,256	No	Yes
5.	John H. Rogers (D)(I)	12th Norfolk	\$181,875	No	Yes
6.	Thomas M. Petrolati (D)(I)	7th Hampden	\$174,886	No	Yes
7.	Ronald Mariano (D)(I)	3rd Norfolk	\$165,521	No	Yes
8.	John F. Quinn (D)(I)	9th Bristol	\$160,254	No	Yes
9.	Antonio F.D. Cabral (D)(I)	13th Bristol	\$145,452	No	Yes
10.	Rachel Kaprielian (D)(I)	29th Middlesex	\$121,312	No	Yes

D = Democrat I = Incumbent

Finneran had also posted the highest ending balance in 2000 and 2002. His cash on hand at the end of 2002 was \$472,331.

A total of 43 candidates for the House in 2004 reported having no money on hand at the end of the year.

A table of campaign finance activity by all House candidates may be found immediately following this section.

Campaign Finance Activity by Candidates for the House 2004

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
1st Barnstable									
	James K. Matel	Brewster	R		L	\$0.00	\$15,677.44	\$15,327.36	\$350.08
	Richard W. Neitz	South Yarmouth	R		L	\$9,875.00	\$55,357.50	\$54,247.96	\$10,984.54
	Cynthia E. Stead	Dennisport	R		L	\$2,911.11	\$15,398.25	\$17,449.69	\$859.67
	Cleon H. Turner	E. Dennis	D		W	\$4,246.97	\$43,591.00	\$32,724.81	\$15,113.16
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	4				\$17,033.08	\$130,024.19	\$119,749.82	\$27,307.45
2nd Barnstable									
	Demetrius J. Atsalis	Hyannis	D	I	W	\$23,265.12	\$38,919.00	\$46,023.26	\$16,160.86
	Ann B. Canedy	Cummaquid	R		L	\$3,973.02	\$25,090.00	\$29,743.83	(\$680.81)
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$27,238.14	\$64,009.00	\$75,767.09	\$15,480.05
3rd Barnstable									
	Matthew C. Patrick	Waquoit	D	I	W	\$22,377.81	\$66,582.58	\$84,370.29	\$4,590.10
	Larry Wheatley	Cotuit	R		L	\$9,360.50	\$62,327.00	\$64,638.78	\$235.32
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$31,738.31	\$128,909.58	\$149,009.07	\$4,825.42
4th Barnstable									
	Shirley A. Gomes	South Harwich	R	I	W	\$11,653.36	\$48,669.05	\$57,863.74	\$2,458.67
	Sarah K. Peake	Provincetown	D		L	\$0.00	\$72,846.71	\$69,938.66	\$3,108.05
	Molly Perdue	Provincetown	D		L	\$0.00	\$15,710.00	\$15,712.19	(\$2.19)
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3				\$11,653.36	\$137,225.76	\$143,514.59	\$5,564.53

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
5th Barnstable									
	Garry N. Blank	E. Sandwich	D		L	\$0.00	\$5,690.00	\$12,496.43	(\$6,806.43)
	Jeffrey Perry	E. Sandwich	R	I	W	\$16,427.49	\$63,364.40	\$74,711.52	\$5,080.37
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$16,427.49	\$69,054.40	\$87,207.95	(\$1,726.06)
Barnstable, Dukes & Nantucket									
	James R. Powell	West Tisbury	R		L	\$0.00	\$10,254.00	\$9,498.20	\$755.80
	Eric T. Turkington	Falmouth	D	I	W	\$20,929.20	\$33,395.00	\$20,591.90	\$33,732.30
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$20,929.20	\$43,649.00	\$30,090.10	\$34,488.10
1st Berkshire									
	Daniel E. Bosley	North Adams	D	I	W	\$3,012.42	\$46,178.73	\$47,561.56	\$1,629.59
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$3,012.42	\$46,178.73	\$47,561.56	\$1,629.59
2nd Berkshire									
	Denis Guyer	Dalton	D		W	\$5,056.93	\$24,138.16	\$25,878.66	\$3,316.43
	Jay H. Lukkarila	Adams	R		L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Richard S. Stockwell	Dalton	R		L	\$0.00	\$12,800.00	\$18,678.12	\$7,008.46
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3				\$5,056.93	\$36,938.16	\$44,556.78	\$10,324.89
3rd Berkshire									
	Peter J. Larkin	Pittsfield	D	I	W	\$16,738.05	\$35,831.34	\$40,742.24	\$12,127.15
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$16,738.05	\$35,831.34	\$40,742.24	\$12,127.15
4th Berkshire									
	Jim Bashour	Great Barrington	R		L	\$0.00	\$29,224.17	\$29,217.23	\$6.94
	William Pignatelli	Lenox	D	I	W	\$5,790.59	\$33,861.11	\$39,579.90	\$71.80
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$5,790.59	\$63,085.28	\$68,797.13	\$78.74

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
1st Bristol									
	Michael J. Coppola	Foxboro	R	I	W	\$13,226.21	\$7,677.47	\$2,558.72	\$18,344.96
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$13,226.21	\$7,677.47	\$2,558.72	\$18,344.96
2nd Bristol									
	John A. Lepper	Attleboro	R	I	W	\$16,790.52	\$750.00	\$5,613.92	\$11,926.60
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$16,790.52	\$750.00	\$5,613.92	\$11,926.60
3rd Bristol									
	James H. Fagan	Taunton	D	I	W	\$13,330.81	\$33,599.15	\$25,588.52	\$21,341.44
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$13,330.81	\$33,599.15	\$25,588.52	\$21,341.44
4th Bristol									
	Steven Howitt	Seekonk	R		L	\$0.00	\$45,546.00	\$39,118.73	\$6,427.27
	Philip Travis	Rehoboth	D	I	W	\$653.02	\$13,847.00	\$13,406.67	\$1,093.35
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		2			\$653.02	\$59,393.00	\$52,525.40	\$7,520.62
5th Bristol									
	Patricia A. Haddad	Somerset	D	I	W	\$19,631.77	\$23,353.51	\$9,167.44	\$33,817.84
	Scott S. Smith	Somerset	U		L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		2			\$19,631.77	\$23,353.51	\$9,167.44	\$33,817.84
6th Bristol									
	David B. Sullivan	Fall River	D	I	W	\$19,364.02	\$21,314.00	\$18,676.94	\$22,001.08
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$19,364.02	\$21,314.00	\$18,676.94	\$22,001.08

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
7th Bristol									
	Robert Correia	Fall River	D	I	W	\$4,437.38	\$48,877.85	\$53,147.64	\$167.59
	Raymond P. Leary, Jr.	Fall River	Li		L	\$1,651.61	\$3,565.18	\$4,444.88	\$771.91
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$6,088.99	\$52,443.03	\$57,592.52	\$939.50
8th Bristol									
	Michael J. Rodrigues	Westport	D	I	W	\$39,861.25	\$67,295.71	\$54,434.56	\$52,722.40
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$39,861.25	\$67,295.71	\$54,434.56	\$52,722.40
9th Bristol									
	John F. Quinn	Dartmouth	D	I	W	\$137,324.09	\$74,076.46	\$51,146.40	\$160,254.15
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$137,324.09	\$74,076.46	\$51,146.40	\$160,254.15
10th Bristol									
	John T. Haaland	Fairhaven	R		L	\$0.00	\$29,915.00	\$29,915.00	\$0.00
	William M. Straus	Mattapoisett	D	I	W	\$42,348.47	\$42,927.24	\$70,959.88	\$14,315.83
	Peter Winters	Marion	R		L	\$750.00	\$13,564.28	\$14,314.28	\$0.00
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3				\$43,098.47	\$86,406.52	\$115,189.16	\$14,315.83
11th Bristol									
	Robert M. Koczera	New Bedford	D	I	W	\$43,405.97	\$20,706.19	\$10,297.64	\$53,814.52
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$43,405.97	\$20,706.19	\$10,297.64	\$53,814.52
12th Bristol									
	Stephen R. Canessa	New Bedford	D		W	\$8,501.25	\$49,300.00	\$49,578.38	\$8,222.87
	Mark A. Howland	Freetown	D	I	L	\$33.34	\$9,463.00	\$9,474.27	\$22.07
	George Rogers	New Bedford	D		L	\$971.78	\$45,948.00	\$39,752.18	\$7,167.60
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3				\$9,506.37	\$104,711.00	\$98,804.83	\$15,412.54

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
13th Bristol									
	Antonio F. D. Cabral	New Bedford	D	I	W	\$141,838.39	\$23,444.40	\$19,830.86	\$145,451.93
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$141,838.39	\$23,444.40	\$19,830.86	\$145,451.93
14th Bristol									
	Brian G. Geoghegan	N. Attleborough	D		L	\$0.00	\$14,513.00	\$13,680.27	\$832.73
	Elizabeth A. Poirier	N. Attleboro	R	I	W	\$5,089.00	\$33,150.50	\$37,112.45	\$1,127.05
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		2			\$5,089.00	\$47,663.50	\$50,792.72	\$1,959.78
1st Essex									
	Michael A. Costello	Newburyport	D	I	W	\$22,711.42	\$67,542.00	\$72,796.42	\$17,457.00
	Erford Fowler	Newburyport	R		L	\$0.00	\$18,485.48	\$18,005.07	\$480.41
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		2			\$22,711.42	\$86,027.48	\$90,801.49	\$17,937.41
2nd Essex									
	Robert V. Finneran	Newbury	R		L	\$780.34	\$16,009.00	\$16,789.04	\$0.00
	Harriett L. Stanley	W. Newbury	D	I	W	\$36,172.11	\$27,307.02	\$16,179.75	\$47,299.38
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		2			\$36,952.45	\$43,316.02	\$32,968.79	\$47,299.38
3rd Essex									
	Maureen M. Corbett	Haverhill	R		L	\$365.69	\$3,471.23	\$3,223.86	\$613.06
	Brian S. Dempsey	Haverhill	D	I	W	\$20,946.98	\$44,804.05	\$36,720.48	\$29,030.55
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		2			\$21,312.67	\$48,275.28	\$39,944.34	\$29,643.61
4th Essex									
	Bradford R. Hill	Ipswich	R	I	W	\$7,642.15	\$38,914.00	\$44,333.71	\$2,222.44
	Timothy A. Purinton	Ipswich	D		L	\$0.00	\$37,410.15	\$31,598.69	\$5,811.46
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		2			\$7,642.15	\$76,324.15	\$75,932.40	\$8,033.90

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
5th Essex									
	Anthony J. Verga	Gloucester	D	I	W	\$7,497.86	\$10,550.00	\$4,546.09	\$13,501.77
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$7,497.86	\$10,550.00	\$4,546.09	\$13,501.77
6th Essex									
	Mary Grant	Beverly	D	I	W	\$6,752.22	\$28,888.79	\$22,595.11	\$13,045.90
	John W. Murray	Prides Crossing	R		L	\$1,993.47	\$7,938.00	\$9,931.47	\$0.00
	Donato Paglia	Beverly	U		L	\$0.00	\$55.00	\$55.00	\$0.00
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		3			\$8,745.69	\$36,881.79	\$32,581.58	\$13,045.90
7th Essex									
	Michael P. Blatty	Salem	D		L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Richard H. Grabowski	Salem	G		L	\$19.51	\$195.00	\$196.19	\$18.32
	John D. Keenan Jr.	Salem	D		W	\$24,512.00	\$81,539.90	\$104,545.01	\$1,506.89
	Joan Lovely	Salem	D		L	\$0.00	\$48,015.00	\$30,629.13	\$17,385.87
	David Summer	Salem	R		L	\$5,390.49	\$3,215.00	\$4,501.89	\$4,128.60
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		5			\$29,922.00	\$132,964.90	\$139,872.22	\$23,039.68
8th Essex									
	Marc Paster	Swampscott	D		L	\$0.00	\$33,760.18	\$33,599.91	\$160.27
	Douglas W. Petersen	Marblehead	D	I	W	\$14,445.45	\$54,728.00	\$68,265.60	\$907.85
	Sharon Randall	Marblehead	R		L	\$8,370.31	\$31,871.23	\$39,831.11	\$410.43
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		3			\$22,815.76	\$120,359.41	\$141,696.62	\$1,478.55

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
9th Essex									
	Mark V. Falzone	Saugus	D	I	W	\$8,175.84	\$58,287.10	\$50,438.18	\$16,024.76
	Michael Motzkin	Wakefield	R		L	\$3,740.10	\$47,383.00	\$34,286.25	\$16,836.85
	Debra C. Panetta	Saugus	D		L	\$0.00	\$13,728.57	\$17,086.52	\$0.00
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3				\$11,915.94	\$119,398.67	\$101,810.95	\$32,861.61
10th Essex									
	Robert F. Fennell	Lynn	D	I	W	\$67,219.06	\$12,585.00	\$14,214.26	\$65,589.80
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$67,219.06	\$12,585.00	\$14,214.26	\$65,589.80
11th Essex									
	Steven M. Walsh	Lynn	D	I	W	\$3,774.78	\$28,495.00	\$14,779.74	\$17,060.04
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$3,774.78	\$28,495.00	\$14,779.74	\$17,060.04
12th Essex									
	Anne Manning	Peabody	D		L	\$2,805.58	\$35,987.00	\$37,557.59	\$1,234.99
	John F. McCarthy	Peabody	R		L	\$3,323.00	\$15,155.00	\$18,478.00	\$0.00
	Joseph Silva	Peabody	D		L	\$0.00	\$6,295.35	\$6,295.35	\$0.00
	Joyce Spiliotis	Peabody	D	I	W	\$10,244.89	\$48,464.00	\$52,659.76	\$6,049.13
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	4				\$16,373.47	\$105,901.35	\$114,990.70	\$7,284.12
13th Essex									
	Judith F. Judson	Topsfield	R		L	\$0.00	\$29,317.47	\$27,367.58	\$1,949.89
	Theodore C. Speliotis	Danvers	D	I	W	\$576.87	\$23,468.00	\$21,554.77	\$2,540.10
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$576.87	\$52,785.47	\$48,922.35	\$4,489.99

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
14th Essex									
	Ellen E. Johnson	North Andover	R		L	\$0.00	\$1,553.00	\$1,541.69	\$11.31
	David M. Torrisi	N. Andover	D	I	W	\$36,266.55	\$25,408.35	\$24,071.53	\$37,603.37
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$36,266.55	\$26,961.35	\$25,613.22	\$37,614.68
15th Essex									
	Arthur J. Broadhurst	Methuen	D	I	W	\$10,679.04	\$3,907.08	\$7,106.88	\$7,479.24
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$10,679.04	\$3,907.08	\$7,106.88	\$7,479.24
16th Essex									
	William Lantigua	Lawrence	U	I	W	\$6,639.05	\$29,465.00	\$32,949.35	\$3,154.70
	Jose L. Santiago	Lawrence	D		L	\$0.00	\$3,090.00	\$4,459.31	(\$1,048.99)
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$6,639.05	\$32,555.00	\$37,408.66	\$2,105.71
17th Essex									
	Erik A. Eldracher	Tewksbury	R		L	\$0.00	\$24,850.00	\$23,635.61	\$1,214.39
	Barry R. Finegold	Andover	D	I	W	\$32,898.78	\$100,285.00	\$111,595.02	\$21,588.76
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$32,898.78	\$125,135.00	\$135,230.63	\$22,803.15
18th Essex									
	Barbara L'Italien	Andover	D	I	W	\$7,606.08	\$78,921.00	\$84,603.42	\$1,923.66
	Maria Marasco	Andover	R		L	\$2,202.93	\$34,085.76	\$36,033.69	\$255.00
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$9,809.01	\$113,006.76	\$120,637.11	\$2,178.66

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
1st Franklin									
	Jeffrey C. Cranston	Haydenville	R		L	\$0.00	\$11,705.00	\$11,527.52	\$177.48
	Nathaniel Fortune	Whatley	G		L	\$0.00	\$2,325.00	\$1,862.88	\$462.12
	Stephen Kulik	Worthington	D	I	W	\$20,726.89	\$22,353.25	\$18,923.21	\$24,156.93
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3				\$20,726.89	\$36,383.25	\$32,313.61	\$24,796.53
2nd Franklin									
	Christopher J. Donelan	Orange	D	I	W	\$453.01	\$21,647.00	\$19,505.26	\$2,594.75
	Christopher Richard	Greenfield	R		L	\$0.00	\$12,494.17	\$12,462.38	\$31.79
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$453.01	\$34,141.17	\$31,967.64	\$2,626.54
1st Hampden									
	Monica Palacios-Boyce	Wales	D		L	\$0.00	\$15,912.53	\$13,323.59	\$2,588.94
	Todd Smola	Three Rivers	R		W	\$1,330.00	\$59,442.52	\$55,244.80	\$5,527.72
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$1,330.00	\$75,355.05	\$68,568.39	\$8,116.66
2nd Hampden									
	Mary S. Rogeness	Longmeadow	R	I	W	\$46,794.52	\$22,388.88	\$19,113.58	\$50,069.82
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$46,794.52	\$22,388.88	\$19,113.58	\$50,069.82
3rd Hampden									
	Daniel F. Keenan	Southwick	D	I	W	\$18,899.67	\$33,902.53	\$26,692.49	\$26,109.71
	Uwe H. Porth	Agawam	R		L	\$0.00	\$2,332.00	\$2,150.51	\$181.49
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$18,899.67	\$36,234.53	\$28,843.00	\$26,291.20
4th Hampden									
	Donald F. Humason Jr.	Westfield	R	I	W	\$6,960.96	\$29,899.00	\$22,332.57	\$14,527.39
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$6,960.96	\$29,899.00	\$22,332.57	\$14,527.39

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
5th Hampden									
	Michael F. Kane	Holyoke	D	I	W	\$5,564.82	\$28,788.61	\$23,988.27	\$10,365.16
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$5,564.82	\$28,788.61	\$23,988.27	\$10,365.16
6th Hampden									
	Katie Harrington	West Springfield	R		L	\$0.00	\$5,869.00	\$5,541.80	\$327.20
	Dean Vogel	W. Springfield	R		L	\$0.00	\$15,801.00	\$15,166.47	\$634.53
	James T. Welch	W. Springfield	D		W	\$2,771.00	\$36,475.00	\$32,888.77	\$6,357.23
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		3			\$2,771.00	\$58,145.00	\$53,597.04	\$7,318.96
7th Hampden									
	Thomas M. Petrolati	Ludlow	D	I	W	\$129,312.24	\$86,265.08	\$40,691.63	\$174,885.69
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$129,312.24	\$86,265.08	\$40,691.63	\$174,885.69
8th Hampden									
	Brant G. DuBois	Chicopee	R		L	\$5,228.31	\$11,240.00	\$14,178.80	\$2,289.51
	Joseph F. Wagner	Chicopee	D	I	W	\$31,083.64	\$107,860.27	\$95,576.24	\$43,367.67
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		2			\$36,311.95	\$119,100.27	\$109,755.04	\$45,657.18
9th Hampden									
	Christopher P. Asselin	Springfield	D	I	L	\$21,060.28	\$13,041.50	\$31,120.18	\$2,981.60
	Sean Curran	Springfield	D		W	\$0.00	\$15,012.12	\$11,766.05	\$3,246.07
	Rosemarie Mazza-Moriarty	Springfield	U		L	\$2,985.40	\$26,365.00	\$24,787.16	\$4,563.24
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		3			\$24,045.68	\$54,418.62	\$67,673.39	\$10,790.91

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
10th Hampden									
	Cheryl Rivera	Springfield	D	I	W	\$22,343.62	\$9,736.00	\$32,003.89	\$75.73
	George Vazquez	Springfield	R		L	\$0.00	\$4,502.00	\$4,334.53	\$25.00
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$22,343.62	\$14,238.00	\$36,338.42	\$100.73
11th Hampden									
	Larry Lawson	Springfield	D		L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	John A. Lysak	Springfield	R		L	\$0.00	\$1,120.00	\$1,666.86	(\$546.96)
	Benjamin Swan	Springfield	D	I	W	\$1,766.28	\$15,055.00	\$7,671.80	\$7,149.48
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3				\$1,766.28	\$16,175.00	\$9,338.66	\$6,602.52
12th Hampden									
	Gale D. Candaras	Wilbraham	D	I	W	\$20,871.39	\$61,781.95	\$78,002.12	\$4,651.22
	Bob Collamore	Springfield	R		L	\$2,070.00	\$1,200.00	\$15,198.00	\$0.00
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$22,941.39	\$62,981.95	\$93,200.12	\$4,651.22
1st Hampshire									
	John Andrulis	Leeds	U		L	\$1,276.91	\$5,723.15	\$6,428.32	\$571.74
	Peter V. Kocot	Northampton	D	I	W	\$731.39	\$22,813.89	\$22,663.05	\$882.23
	Joseph Tarantino	Northampton	R		L	\$1,500.00	\$175.00	\$1,347.08	\$327.92
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3				\$3,508.30	\$28,712.04	\$30,438.45	\$1,781.89
2nd Hampshire									
	John W. Scibak	S. Hadley	D	I	W	\$11,143.12	\$15,643.00	\$7,980.61	\$18,805.51
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$11,143.12	\$15,643.00	\$7,980.61	\$18,805.51

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
3rd Hampshire									
	Ellen Story	Amherst	D	I	W	\$16,276.17	\$37,711.33	\$31,974.83	\$22,012.67
	Jeanne M. Traester	Amherst	R		L	\$10.00	\$15,187.86	\$15,086.63	\$111.23
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$16,286.17	\$52,899.19	\$47,061.46	\$22,123.90
1st Middlesex									
	Robert S. Hargraves	Groton	R	I	W	\$45,326.74	\$15,259.96	\$12,261.91	\$48,324.79
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$45,326.74	\$15,259.96	\$12,261.91	\$48,324.79
2nd Middlesex									
	Dennis J. Galvin	Westford	R		L	\$7,331.63	\$36,132.00	\$39,470.08	\$3,993.55
	Geoffrey D. Hall	Westford	D	I	W	\$11,223.43	\$25,765.00	\$28,401.38	\$8,587.05
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$18,555.06	\$61,897.00	\$67,871.46	\$12,580.60
3rd Middlesex									
	Neal Heeren	Bolton	R		L	\$0.00	\$3,326.40	\$2,438.70	\$887.70
	Patricia A. Walrath	Stow	D	I	W	\$37,056.34	\$32,521.16	\$38,432.79	\$31,144.71
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$37,056.34	\$35,847.56	\$40,871.49	\$32,032.41
4th Middlesex									
	Ken Cosco	Marlboro	R		L	\$4,789.77	\$4,053.23	\$10,651.80	(\$1,808.13)
	Stephen P. LeDuc	Marlboro	D	I	W	\$5,386.94	\$39,072.10	\$38,156.04	\$6,303.00
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$10,176.71	\$43,125.33	\$48,807.84	\$4,494.87
5th Middlesex									
	John W. Lambert	Natick	R		L	\$0.00	\$17,125.38	\$17,125.38	\$0.00
	David P. Linsky	Natick	D	I	W	\$3,909.52	\$36,873.18	\$35,343.79	\$5,438.91
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$3,909.52	\$53,998.56	\$52,469.17	\$5,438.91

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
6th Middlesex									
	Gerald L. Bloomfield	Framingham	U		L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Deborah D. Blumer	Framingham	D	I	W	\$12,030.55	\$21,884.00	\$17,361.56	\$16,552.99
	Nicolas Sanchez	Framingham	R		L	\$0.00	\$16,985.67	\$16,985.67	\$0.00
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3				\$12,030.55	\$38,869.67	\$34,347.23	\$16,552.99
7th Middlesex									
	Mary Connaughton	Framingham	R		L	\$0.00	\$40,255.59	\$40,050.05	\$205.54
	Gregory Doherty	Framingham	Li		L	\$287.24	\$1,788.00	\$1,994.37	\$80.87
	A. Ginger Esty	Framingham	D		L	\$1,385.51	\$4,465.94	\$5,081.94	\$769.51
	Chesley Oriel	Framingham	D		L	\$0.00	\$9,200.00	\$8,809.43	\$390.57
	Tom Sannicandro	Ashland	D		W	\$0.00	\$39,702.64	\$37,012.58	\$2,690.06
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	5				\$1,672.75	\$95,412.17	\$92,948.37	\$4,136.55
8th Middlesex									
	Paul J.P. Loscocco	Holliston	R	I	W	\$39,658.03	\$1,250.00	\$9,976.85	\$30,331.18
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$39,658.03	\$1,250.00	\$9,976.85	\$30,331.18
9th Middlesex									
	Linda B. Fosburg	Lexington	R		L	\$3,449.55	\$9,765.00	\$13,214.55	\$0.00
	Kathleen B. McMenimen	Waltham	D		L	\$5,188.67	\$31,590.80	\$32,551.40	\$4,228.07
	Thomas M. Stanley	Waltham	D	I	W	\$5,112.27	\$66,499.00	\$63,993.19	\$7,868.08
	Jill Stein	Lexington	G		L	\$7,159.50	\$36,849.99	\$41,959.90	\$2,049.59
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	4				\$20,909.99	\$144,704.79	\$151,719.04	\$14,145.74

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
10th Middlesex									
	Peter J. Koutoujian	Waltham	D	I	W	\$183,851.48	\$142,596.81	\$119,201.29	\$207,247.00
	Dara Pouraghsemi	Waltham	R		L	\$0.00	\$1,320.00	\$1,738.51	(\$418.51)
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				<u>\$183,851.48</u>	<u>\$143,916.81</u>	<u>\$120,939.80</u>	<u>\$206,828.49</u>
11th Middlesex									
	Kay S. Khan	Newton	D	I	W	\$23,317.06	\$58,904.00	\$58,345.32	\$23,668.12
	Greer Swiston	W. Newton	R		L	\$0.00	\$36,904.00	\$36,573.38	\$330.62
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				<u>\$23,317.06</u>	<u>\$95,808.00</u>	<u>\$94,918.70</u>	<u>\$23,998.74</u>
12th Middlesex									
	Ruth B. Balsler	Chestnut Hill	D	I	W	\$19,375.01	\$20,433.54	\$15,474.99	\$24,333.56
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				<u>\$19,375.01</u>	<u>\$20,433.54</u>	<u>\$15,474.99</u>	<u>\$24,333.56</u>
13th Middlesex									
	Stanislav V. Gayshan	Wayland	D		L	\$0.00	\$1,860.00	\$0.00	\$1,860.00
	Susan W. Pope	Wayland	R	I	W	\$67,168.82	\$29,666.49	\$33,320.84	\$55,173.52
	John Thomas	Wayland	D		L	\$1,520.00	\$32,528.00	\$29,359.34	\$4,688.66
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3				<u>\$68,688.82</u>	<u>\$64,054.49</u>	<u>\$62,680.18</u>	<u>\$61,722.18</u>
14th Middlesex									
	Cory Atkins	Concord	D	I	W	\$8,660.99	\$114,530.31	\$122,609.38	\$581.92
	Doug Stevenson	Carlisle	R		L	\$23,154.56	\$65,536.00	\$88,189.27	\$501.29
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				<u>\$31,815.55</u>	<u>\$180,066.31</u>	<u>\$210,798.65</u>	<u>\$1,083.21</u>

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
15th Middlesex									
	Ed Crowley	Woburn	D		L	\$0.00	\$14,690.00	\$14,402.61	\$287.39
	Jay R. Kaufman	Lexington	D	I	W	\$20,328.05	\$22,114.63	\$29,655.66	\$12,787.02
	Catherine D. Ryan	Arlington Heights	R		L	\$0.00	\$1,330.62	\$1,330.62	\$0.00
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3				\$20,328.05	\$38,135.25	\$45,388.89	\$13,074.41
16th Middlesex									
	Thomas A. Golden Jr.	Lowell	D	I	W	\$13,026.25	\$31,771.15	\$21,706.75	\$23,090.65
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$13,026.25	\$31,771.15	\$21,706.75	\$23,090.65
17th Middlesex									
	Clifford Krieger	Lowell	R		L	\$926.50	\$4,007.61	\$4,726.98	\$207.13
	David M. Nangle	Lowell	D	I	W	\$7,258.79	\$44,145.00	\$33,022.28	\$18,381.51
	Kenneth Sheehan Jr.	Lowell	D		L	\$0.00	\$29,860.00	\$29,635.00	\$225.00
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3				\$8,185.29	\$78,012.61	\$67,384.26	\$18,813.64
18th Middlesex									
	Kevin J. Murphy	Lowell	D	I	W	\$20,676.58	\$9,440.00	\$7,435.70	\$22,680.88
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$20,676.58	\$9,440.00	\$7,435.70	\$22,680.88
19th Middlesex									
	James R. Miceli	Wilmington	D	I	W	\$28,319.59	\$17,827.21	\$42,440.99	\$2,955.81
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$28,319.59	\$17,827.21	\$42,440.99	\$2,955.81
20th Middlesex									
	Bradley H. Jones Jr.	North Reading	R	I	W	\$76,612.82	\$104,344.36	\$141,561.63	\$39,395.55
	Ben Tafoya	Reading	D		L	\$0.00	\$48,275.78	\$47,063.79	\$1,211.99
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$76,612.82	\$152,620.14	\$188,625.42	\$40,607.54

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
21st Middlesex									
	John Cirignano	Bedford	R		L	\$1,091.20	\$18,852.00	\$7,241.03	\$7,702.17
	Charles A. Murphy	Burlington	D	I	W	\$21,536.60	\$53,673.01	\$65,286.55	\$9,923.06
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2							
						\$22,627.80	\$72,525.01	\$72,527.58	\$17,625.23
22nd Middlesex									
	William G. Greene, Jr.	Billerica	D	I	W	\$5,088.68	\$23,693.18	\$27,466.41	\$1,315.45
	Evelyn Haines	Billerica	D		L	\$0.00	\$7,299.00	\$6,437.86	\$861.14
	Marc Lombardo	Billerica	R		L	\$0.00	\$8,128.50	\$7,683.51	(\$251.02)
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3							
						\$5,088.68	\$39,120.68	\$41,587.78	\$1,925.57
23rd Middlesex									
	Daniel Dunn	Arlington	Li		L	\$3,750.00	\$2,549.00	\$5,677.65	\$621.35
	James Marzilli	Arlington	D	I	W	\$9,695.81	\$19,962.00	\$10,536.54	\$19,121.27
	Eric R. Steinhilber	Arlington	R		L	\$469.32	\$4,029.00	\$4,157.70	\$340.62
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3							
						\$13,915.13	\$26,540.00	\$20,371.89	\$20,083.24
24th Middlesex									
	Kevin M. Cuddeback	Arlington	R		L	\$0.00	\$3,750.30	\$3,408.01	\$342.29
	Anne M. Paulsen	Belmont	D	I	W	\$9,193.24	\$32,227.01	\$34,230.33	\$7,189.92
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2							
						\$9,193.24	\$35,977.31	\$37,638.34	\$7,532.21
25th Middlesex									
	Carolina Johnson	Cambridge	G		L	\$0.00	\$2,960.74	\$2,960.74	\$0.00
	Alice K. Wolf	Cambridge	D	I	W	\$68,308.23	\$37,925.81	\$34,526.00	\$71,708.04
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2							
						\$68,308.23	\$40,886.55	\$37,486.74	\$71,708.04

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
26th Middlesex									
	Avi Green	Cambridge	D		L	\$23,072.06	\$74,536.08	\$97,368.84	\$239.30
	David R. Slavitt	Cambridge	R		L	\$875.00	\$9,482.00	\$10,356.90	\$0.10
	Timothy J. Toomey Jr.	Cambridge	D	I	W	\$10,533.42	\$135,092.14	\$144,299.22	\$1,326.34
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3				\$34,480.48	\$219,110.22	\$252,024.96	\$1,565.74
27th Middlesex									
	Dane Baird Jr.	Somerville	R		L	\$724.94	\$12,714.00	\$13,438.94	\$0.00
	Patricia D. Jehlen	Somerville	D	I	W	\$13,971.77	\$15,177.65	\$13,799.25	\$15,350.17
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$14,696.71	\$27,891.65	\$27,238.19	\$15,350.17
28th Middlesex									
	Edward G. Connolly	Everett	D	I	W	\$12,265.92	\$28,905.00	\$49,667.92	(\$594.89)
	Stephen Stat Smith	Everett	D		L	\$3,877.68	\$17,975.00	\$20,987.28	\$2,565.40
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$16,143.60	\$46,880.00	\$70,655.20	\$1,970.51
29th Middlesex									
	Rachel Kaprielian	Watertown	D	I	W	\$126,493.18	\$25,143.00	\$30,323.72	\$121,312.46
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$126,493.18	\$25,143.00	\$30,323.72	\$121,312.46
30th Middlesex									
	Scott Galvin	Woburn	D		L	\$0.00	\$10,350.00	\$10,273.00	\$77.00
	Paul J. Meaney	Woburn	U		L	\$0.00	\$14,725.00	\$14,664.05	\$61.08
	Patrick M. Natale	Woburn	D		W	\$0.00	\$48,967.80	\$47,866.05	\$1,101.75
	Edward R. Quinn	Woburn	D		L	\$0.00	\$17,940.70	\$13,980.90	\$3,959.80
	William M. Rabbitt	Woburn	D		L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,739.65	\$0.00
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	5				\$0.00	\$91,983.50	\$95,523.65	\$5,199.63

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
31st Middlesex									
	Paul C. Casey	Winchester	D	I	W	\$21,964.49	\$43,202.00	\$56,223.86	\$8,942.63
	John Prindiville	Stoneham	R		L	\$5,007.80	\$26,772.93	\$27,694.82	\$4,085.91
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$26,972.29	\$69,974.93	\$83,918.68	\$13,028.54
32nd Middlesex									
	Michael E. Festa	Melrose	D	I	W	\$10,307.98	\$33,445.66	\$35,883.61	\$7,870.03
	Thomas M. Quinn	Wakefield	R		L	\$0.00	\$28,532.13	\$28,425.34	\$106.79
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$10,307.98	\$61,977.79	\$64,308.95	\$7,976.82
33rd Middlesex									
	Christopher G. Fallon	Malden	D	I	W	\$18,124.73	\$50,210.00	\$51,032.90	\$19,480.61
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$18,124.73	\$50,210.00	\$51,032.90	\$19,480.61
34th Middlesex									
	Vincent P. Ciampa	Somerville	D	I	L	\$45,535.92	\$40,696.99	\$84,387.73	\$1,845.18
	Carl Sciortino	Somerville	D		W	\$0.00	\$80,504.68	\$85,392.68	(\$2,443.75)
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$45,535.92	\$121,201.67	\$169,780.41	(\$598.57)
35th Middlesex									
	John F. Carey	Medford	U		L	\$0.00	\$49,594.93	\$49,388.48	\$206.45
	Paul J. Donato	Medford	D	I	W	\$33,857.68	\$68,347.14	\$80,135.71	\$22,069.11
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$33,857.68	\$117,942.07	\$129,524.19	\$22,275.56
36th Middlesex									
	Colleen M. Garry	Dracut	D	I	W	\$16,294.82	\$20,377.00	\$32,398.06	\$4,273.76
	Barry Myers	Dracut	D		L	\$0.00	\$16,391.04	\$20,232.20	(\$3,841.16)
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$16,294.82	\$36,768.04	\$52,630.26	\$432.60

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
37th Middlesex									
	Thomas J. Dyer	Acton	R		L	\$0.00	\$36,960.10	\$36,326.09	\$634.01
	James Eldridge	Acton	D	I	W	\$995.69	\$73,236.00	\$72,073.28	\$2,158.41
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$995.69	\$110,196.10	\$108,399.37	\$2,792.42
1st Norfolk									
	Bruce J. Ayers	N.Quincy	D	I	W	\$56,390.27	\$45,448.66	\$48,264.36	\$53,574.57
	Paul J. Meoni	Randolph	D		L	\$0.00	\$30,364.00	\$30,020.91	\$343.09
	Marco Sandonato	Quincy	R		L	\$0.00	\$9,740.00	\$7,847.80	\$51.56
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3				\$56,390.27	\$85,552.66	\$86,133.07	\$53,969.22
2nd Norfolk									
	Arthur Stephen Tobin	Quincy	D	I	W	\$77,025.90	\$23,578.13	\$31,498.44	\$69,105.59
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$77,025.90	\$23,578.13	\$31,498.44	\$69,105.59
3rd Norfolk									
	Ronald Mariano	Quincy	D	I	W	\$177,334.76	\$47,701.26	\$59,514.64	\$165,521.38
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$177,334.76	\$47,701.26	\$59,514.64	\$165,521.38
4th Norfolk									
	James Murphy	Weymouth	D	I	W	\$34,587.34	\$34,269.00	\$60,977.71	\$7,878.63
	Douglas M. Veeder	Weymouth	R		L	\$2,540.55	\$18,498.00	\$21,032.68	\$5.87
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$37,127.89	\$52,767.00	\$82,010.39	\$7,884.50
5th Norfolk									
	Joseph R. Driscoll	Braintree	D	I	W	\$21,176.71	\$51,428.35	\$67,592.95	\$5,012.11
	Charles C. Kokoros	Braintree	R		L	\$0.00	\$6,724.14	\$8,720.30	(\$3,271.16)
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$21,176.71	\$58,152.49	\$76,313.25	\$1,740.95

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
6th Norfolk									
	John G. Bonnanzio	Canton	R		L	\$0.00	\$28,345.00	\$29,260.94	\$34.06
	William C. Galvin	Canton	D	I	W	\$14,779.18	\$65,850.00	\$80,430.30	\$198.88
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$14,779.18	\$94,195.00	\$109,691.24	\$232.94
7th Norfolk									
	Walter F. Timilty	Milton	D	I	W	\$1,408.20	\$42,035.00	\$25,869.53	\$17,573.67
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$1,408.20	\$42,035.00	\$25,869.53	\$17,573.67
8th Norfolk									
	Louis L. Kafka	Sharon	D	I	W	\$2,639.44	\$9,453.67	\$8,152.45	\$3,940.66
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$2,639.44	\$9,453.67	\$8,152.45	\$3,940.66
9th Norfolk									
	John J. McFeeley	Norfolk	D		L	\$0.00	\$32,313.48	\$32,212.57	\$100.91
	Stanley J. Nacewicz	Plainville	D		L	\$0.00	\$2,101.86	\$475.19	\$1,626.67
	Richard Ross	Wrentham	R		W	\$0.00	\$50,690.00	\$30,946.64	\$19,743.36
	George R. Smith Jr.	Wrentham	D		L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	4				\$0.00	\$85,105.34	\$63,634.40	\$21,470.94
10th Norfolk									
	James E. Vallee	Franklin	D	I	W	\$30,945.34	\$39,142.70	\$19,933.39	\$50,154.65
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$30,945.34	\$39,142.70	\$19,933.39	\$50,154.65
11th Norfolk									
	Robert Coughlin	Dedham	D	I	W	\$18,926.07	\$49,410.00	\$61,338.84	\$6,997.23
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$18,926.07	\$49,410.00	\$61,338.84	\$6,997.23

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
12th Norfolk									
	John H. Rogers	Norwood	D	I	W	\$215,704.29	\$196,492.06	\$230,321.83	\$181,874.52
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$215,704.29	\$196,492.06	\$230,321.83	\$181,874.52
13th Norfolk									
	Lida E. Harkins	Needham	D	I	W	\$34,070.09	\$26,860.00	\$30,454.88	\$30,475.21
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$34,070.09	\$26,860.00	\$30,454.88	\$30,475.21
14th Norfolk									
	George P. Field	Wellesley	R		L	\$2,020.09	\$71,876.93	\$71,872.69	\$2,024.33
	Alice Hanlon Peisch	Wellesley	D	I	W	\$13,793.03	\$127,969.71	\$141,091.10	\$671.64
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		2			\$15,813.12	\$199,846.64	\$212,963.79	\$2,695.97
15th Norfolk									
	Frank I. Smizik	Brookline	D	I	W	\$5,166.82	\$9,299.00	\$6,059.34	\$8,406.48
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$5,166.82	\$9,299.00	\$6,059.34	\$8,406.48
1st Plymouth									
	Vinny deMacedo	Plymouth	R	I	W	\$16,899.09	\$50,184.00	\$55,983.35	\$11,124.74
	Loring Tripp III	Plymouth	D		L	\$176.41	\$33,283.00	\$33,189.56	\$269.85
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		2			\$17,075.50	\$83,467.00	\$89,172.91	\$11,394.59
2nd Plymouth									
	Susan D. Williams Gifford	Wareham	R	I	W	\$15,624.70	\$31,793.96	\$29,678.68	\$17,739.98
	Joel Ryan Malloy	Onset	D		L	\$0.00	\$25,378.00	\$24,100.05	\$1,277.95
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		2			\$15,624.70	\$57,171.96	\$53,778.73	\$19,017.93

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
3rd Plymouth									
	Garrett J. Bradley	Hingham	D	I	W	\$51,534.85	\$51,848.99	\$65,941.14	\$37,442.70
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$51,534.85	\$51,848.99	\$65,941.14	\$37,442.70
4th Plymouth									
	Frank M. Hynes	Marshfield	D	I	W	\$44,963.45	\$325.00	\$271.80	\$45,016.65
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$44,963.45	\$325.00	\$271.80	\$45,016.65
5th Plymouth									
	Robert J. Nyman	Hanover	D	I	W	\$7,360.75	\$20,468.00	\$26,752.54	\$1,076.21
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$7,360.75	\$20,468.00	\$26,752.54	\$1,076.21
6th Plymouth									
	Daniel K. Webster	Hanson	R	I	W	\$18,843.11	\$19,985.00	\$18,558.18	\$20,269.93
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$18,843.11	\$19,985.00	\$18,558.18	\$20,269.93
7th Plymouth									
	Joshua Hyre	Abington	R		L	\$800.00	\$0.00	\$412.08	\$387.93
	Edward P. Kirby	Whitman	R		L	\$0.00	\$28,049.66	\$27,487.23	\$662.43
	Kathleen M. Teahan	Whitman	D	I	W	\$7,117.53	\$62,128.35	\$55,927.98	\$13,317.90
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		3			\$7,917.53	\$90,178.01	\$83,827.29	\$14,368.26
8th Plymouth									
	David L. Flynn	Bridgewater	D	I	W	\$22,426.27	\$59,655.00	\$64,013.56	\$18,967.71
	Genevieve McLaughlin	Raynham	R		L	\$776.51	\$41,805.29	\$41,550.33	\$1,031.47
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		2			\$23,202.78	\$101,460.29	\$105,563.89	\$19,999.18

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
9th Plymouth									
	Thomas P. Kennedy	Brockton	D	I	W	\$53,857.02	\$60,064.01	\$12,642.92	\$100,778.11
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$53,857.02	\$60,064.01	\$12,642.92	\$100,778.11
10th Plymouth									
	Christine E. Canavan	Brockton	D	I	W	\$2,957.02	\$25,709.28	\$14,091.31	\$14,574.99
	Mark Chauppette	Brockton	R		L	\$2,350.00	\$7,510.00	\$6,684.93	(\$223.13)
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$5,307.02	\$33,219.28	\$20,776.24	\$14,351.86
11th Plymouth									
	Geraldine Creedon	Brockton	D	I	W	\$17,413.73	\$16,705.00	\$27,757.05	\$6,361.68
	Marissa Lima	Brockton	R		L	\$0.00	\$2,355.00	\$2,335.54	\$19.46
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$17,413.73	\$19,060.00	\$30,092.59	\$6,381.14
12th Plymouth									
	Paul Timmins Curtis	Plymouth	R		L	\$950.00	\$3,125.00	\$3,326.09	\$748.91
	Thomas J. O'Brien	Kingston	D	I	W	\$32,326.32	\$47,275.30	\$59,054.11	\$20,547.51
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$33,276.32	\$50,400.30	\$62,380.20	\$21,296.42
1st Suffolk									
	Laura Garza	E. Boston	U		L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Anthony W. Petruccelli	East Boston	D	I	W	\$10,415.64	\$19,555.00	\$24,660.91	\$1,118.81
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$10,415.64	\$19,555.00	\$24,660.91	\$1,118.81
2nd Suffolk									
	Kathryn Duggan	Charlestown	D		L	\$0.00	\$2,533.54	\$2,504.30	\$29.24
	Gene L. O'Flaherty	Chelsea	D	I	W	\$89,939.42	\$54,235.00	\$86,047.48	\$58,126.94
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$89,939.42	\$56,768.54	\$88,551.78	\$58,156.18

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
3rd Suffolk									
	Salvatore F. DiMasi	Boston	D	I	W	\$183,220.76	\$230,668.64	\$219,633.53	\$194,255.87
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$183,220.76	\$230,668.64	\$219,633.53	\$194,255.87
4th Suffolk									
	Brian P. Wallace	South Boston	D	I	W	\$1,661.48	\$65,132.00	\$63,485.18	\$2,616.61
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$1,661.48	\$65,132.00	\$63,485.18	\$2,616.61
5th Suffolk									
	Marie St. Fleur	Dorchester	D	I	W	\$21,991.62	\$27,918.41	\$47,718.39	\$2,191.64
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$21,991.62	\$27,918.41	\$47,718.39	\$2,191.64
6th Suffolk									
	Shirley Owens-Hicks	Mattapan	D	I	W	\$3,009.53	\$3,000.00	\$1,457.08	\$4,193.78
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$3,009.53	\$3,000.00	\$1,457.08	\$4,193.78
7th Suffolk									
	Gloria L. Fox	Roxbury	D	I	W	\$2,035.40	\$3,000.00	\$2,164.69	\$2,870.71
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$2,035.40	\$3,000.00	\$2,164.69	\$2,870.71
8th Suffolk									
	Richard L. Babson	Boston	R		L	\$0.00	\$95,361.01	\$90,248.59	\$5,112.42
	Kristine Glynn	Boston	D		L	\$0.00	\$32,720.00	\$32,555.40	\$59.60
	Martha Walz	Boston	D		W	\$0.00	\$103,735.55	\$102,556.82	\$1,178.73
	L. Thomas White	Boston	U		L	\$0.00	\$2,066.00	\$883.17	\$0.00
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	4				\$0.00	\$233,882.56	\$226,243.98	\$6,350.75

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
9th Suffolk									
	Byron Rushing	Boston	D	I	W	\$17,189.93	\$600.00	\$570.88	\$17,219.05
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$17,189.93	\$600.00	\$570.88	\$17,219.05
10th Suffolk									
	Martin Begien	Brookline	R		L	\$0.00	\$19,650.00	\$19,400.79	\$249.21
	Michael F. Rush	West Roxbury	D	I	W	\$30.03	\$35,975.00	\$31,360.78	\$4,729.25
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		2			\$30.03	\$55,625.00	\$50,761.57	\$4,978.46
11th Suffolk									
	David E. Barkley	Dorchester	G		L	\$0.00	\$3,168.00	\$3,168.00	\$0.00
	Elizabeth A. Malia	Jamaica Plain	D	I	W	\$6,461.80	\$21,998.70	\$20,618.36	\$7,842.14
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		2			\$6,461.80	\$25,166.70	\$23,786.36	\$7,842.14
12th Suffolk									
	Thomas M. Finneran	Mattapan	D	I	W	\$477,388.46	\$315,921.69	\$348,611.55	\$444,698.60
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$477,388.46	\$315,921.69	\$348,611.55	\$444,698.60
13th Suffolk									
	John O'Gorman	Winthrop	U		L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300.00
	Martin J. Walsh	Dorchester	D	I	W	\$60,672.36	\$98,784.97	\$96,580.20	\$62,877.13
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		2			\$60,672.36	\$98,784.97	\$96,580.20	\$63,177.13
14th Suffolk									
	Camillo Giangrande III	Hyde Park	R		L	\$0.00	\$4,335.25	\$4,335.25	\$0.00
	Douglas Krick	Boston	Li		L	\$0.00	\$1,837.10	\$1,458.05	\$379.05
	Angelo M. Scaccia	Readville	D	I	W	\$54,093.23	\$35,893.49	\$42,889.27	\$47,097.45
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		3			\$54,093.23	\$42,065.84	\$48,682.57	\$47,476.50

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
15th Suffolk									
	Jeffrey Sanchez	Jamaica Plain	D	I	W	\$2,427.04	\$21,549.90	\$11,096.28	\$12,880.66
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$2,427.04	\$21,549.90	\$11,096.28	\$12,880.66
16th Suffolk									
	Kathi-Anne Reinstein	Revere	D	I	W	\$11,135.42	\$28,774.08	\$34,496.77	\$5,412.73
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$11,135.42	\$28,774.08	\$34,496.77	\$5,412.73
17th Suffolk									
	Kevin G. Honan	Brighton	D	I	W	\$40,648.43	\$31,946.00	\$24,381.15	\$48,213.27
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$40,648.43	\$31,946.00	\$24,381.15	\$48,213.27
18th Suffolk									
	Brian P. Golden	Allston	D	I	W	\$35,550.47	\$34,935.00	\$15,501.66	\$54,983.81
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$35,550.47	\$34,935.00	\$15,501.66	\$54,983.81
19th Suffolk									
	Robert A. DeLeo	Winthrop	D	I	W	\$61,386.93	\$44,210.00	\$65,730.21	\$39,866.72
	Stephen John White	Winthrop	R		L	\$0.00	\$3,969.00	\$3,918.03	\$0.00
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		2			\$61,386.93	\$48,179.00	\$69,648.24	\$39,866.72
1st Worcester									
	Lewis G. Evangelidis	Holden	R	I	W	\$14,110.77	\$19,505.00	\$9,741.80	\$23,873.97
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$14,110.77	\$19,505.00	\$9,741.80	\$23,873.97
2nd Worcester									
	Brian Knuuttila	Gardner	D	I	W	\$14,629.78	\$9,749.23	\$20,261.67	\$4,117.34
	Doreen C. Noble	Gardner	D		L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		2			\$14,629.78	\$9,749.23	\$20,261.67	\$4,117.34

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
3rd Worcester									
	Adam K. Desjean	Fitchburg	D		L	\$0.00	\$1,253.82	\$339.39	\$0.00
	Emile J. Goguen	Fitchburg	D	I	W	\$1,637.92	\$6,197.00	\$4,351.00	\$3,483.92
	Susan M. Koeck	Fitchburg	D		L	\$0.00	\$4,270.00	\$3,231.01	\$1,038.99
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3				\$1,637.92	\$11,720.82	\$7,921.40	\$4,522.91
4th Worcester									
	Kathleen R. Daigneault	Leominster	D		L	\$0.00	\$30,729.24	\$30,405.94	\$323.30
	Jennifer Flanagan	Leominster	D		W	\$0.00	\$32,347.00	\$31,705.35	\$2,616.65
	Claire M. Freda	Leominster	D		L	\$6,540.64	\$21,930.00	\$23,088.32	\$5,382.32
	David G. Nault	Leominster	R		L	\$0.00	\$33,020.34	\$32,903.07	\$117.27
	Kathleen Perla	Leominster	D		L	\$0.00	\$21,610.00	\$21,525.00	\$85.00
	John Souza	Leominster	R		L	\$0.00	\$12,648.00	\$11,900.77	\$747.23
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	6				\$6,540.64	\$152,284.58	\$151,528.45	\$9,271.77
5th Worcester									
	Anne Gobi	Spencer	D	I	W	\$10,097.70	\$23,055.00	\$19,635.30	\$13,517.40
	A. Larry Hasenfus	North Brookfield	R		L	\$54.87	\$16,488.69	\$15,482.14	\$1,061.42
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$10,152.57	\$39,543.69	\$35,117.44	\$14,578.82
6th Worcester									
	Mark J. Carron	Southbridge	D	I	W	\$7,459.68	\$35,990.00	\$40,170.26	\$3,279.42
	David Singer	Charlton	R		L	\$0.00	\$9,240.00	\$7,529.50	\$1,710.50
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$7,459.68	\$45,230.00	\$47,699.76	\$4,989.92

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
7th Worcester									
	Paul K. Frost	Auburn	R	I	W	\$14,252.84	\$10,280.55	\$18,677.58	\$5,855.81
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$14,252.84	\$10,280.55	\$18,677.58	\$5,855.81
8th Worcester									
	Mark G. Dowgiewicz	Webster	D		L	\$0.00	\$6,730.00	\$6,390.47	\$772.67
	Paul Kujawski	Webster	D	I	W	\$2,504.93	\$58,095.73	\$57,850.24	\$2,750.42
	Dorothy Mann	Dudley	R		L	\$0.00	\$5,680.00	\$12,505.56	(\$6,825.56)
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		3			\$2,504.93	\$70,505.73	\$76,746.27	(\$3,302.47)
9th Worcester									
	Andrew J. Myers	Whitinsville	D		L	\$1,080.00	\$9,839.00	\$10,904.49	\$14.51
	George N. Peterson Jr.	Grafton	R	I	W	\$20,794.87	\$51,638.00	\$68,648.53	\$3,588.53
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		2			\$21,874.87	\$61,477.00	\$79,553.02	\$3,603.04
10th Worcester									
	Marie J. Parente	Milford	D	I	W	\$2,083.61	\$3,313.38	\$5,044.27	\$352.72
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$2,083.61	\$3,313.38	\$5,044.27	\$352.72
11th Worcester									
	Karyn E. Polito	Shrewsbury	R	I	W	\$99,766.62	\$53,104.30	\$33,985.33	\$118,885.59
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		1			\$99,766.62	\$53,104.30	\$33,985.33	\$118,885.59
12th Worcester									
	Harold P. Naughton Jr.	Clinton	D	I	W	\$25,682.89	\$65,315.00	\$75,134.81	\$27,318.66
	James B. Stanton	Boylston	R		L	\$0.00	\$32,177.79	\$31,857.12	\$320.67
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>		2			\$25,682.89	\$97,492.79	\$106,991.93	\$27,639.33

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party</i>	<i>Incumbent</i>	<i>Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
13th Worcester									
	William S. Coleman III	Worcester	D		L	\$0.00	\$3,600.00	\$3,165.36	\$434.64
	Robert Spellane	Worcester	D	I	W	\$21,911.92	\$36,245.00	\$54,684.45	\$3,472.47
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$21,911.92	\$39,845.00	\$57,849.81	\$3,907.11
14th Worcester									
	James B. Leary	Worcester	D	I	W	\$8,658.56	\$61,622.42	\$44,958.80	\$25,322.18
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$8,658.56	\$61,622.42	\$44,958.80	\$25,322.18
15th Worcester									
	Vincent A. Pedone	Worcester	D	I	W	\$9,215.44	\$31,404.20	\$27,856.92	\$12,762.72
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$9,215.44	\$31,404.20	\$27,856.92	\$12,762.72
16th Worcester									
	Scott Cashman	Worcester	R		L	\$0.00	\$12,018.45	\$11,111.69	\$906.76
	John P. Fresolo	Worcester	D	I	W	\$69,644.11	\$54,139.63	\$73,441.52	\$50,342.22
	Melissa Murgo	Worcester	D		L	\$5,031.82	\$52,519.72	\$56,087.98	\$1,463.56
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	3				\$74,675.93	\$118,677.80	\$140,641.19	\$52,712.54
17th Worcester									
	John J. Binienda, Sr.	Worcester	D	I	W	\$220,668.09	\$53,545.00	\$29,618.50	\$244,594.59
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	1				\$220,668.09	\$53,545.00	\$29,618.50	\$244,594.59
18th Worcester									
	Jennifer Callahan	Sutton	D	I	W	\$15,068.61	\$35,180.00	\$33,381.57	\$16,917.04
	David M. Funnell	Uxbridge	R		L	\$0.00	\$5,643.00	\$5,222.36	\$420.64
	<i>Total candidates for seat:</i>	2				\$15,068.61	\$40,823.00	\$38,603.93	\$17,337.68

<i>District</i>	<i>Candidate</i>	<i>City / Town</i>	<i>Party Incumbent Won/Lost</i>	<i>Start</i>	<i>Receipts</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>End</i>
Total candidates: 309							
GRAND TOTALS				\$5,191,305.43	\$9,712,553.41	\$10,019,995.12	\$4,912,735.87