OFFICE OF CAMPAIGN and POLITICAL FINANCE

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

Campaign Finance Activity by Candidates for the Massachusetts General Court

2000

One Ashburton Place, Room 411 Boston, Massachusetts 02108 (617) 727-8352 (800) 462-OCPF

INTRODUCTION

This study examines campaign finance activity undertaken by candidates for the Massachusetts Senate and House of Representatives (known collectively as The General Court) in 2000. It is the sixth time the Office of Campaign and Political Finance has issued such a biennial study and the third time data for both chambers have been issued under the same cover.

The Office of Campaign and Political Finance (OCPF) is an independent state agency that administers Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 55, the Campaign Finance Law. The law provides for disclosure and regulation of campaign finance activity on the state, county and municipal levels. Candidates who report directly to OCPF include those running for state and county office and some citywide offices in Boston, Cambridge, Lowell, Springfield and Worcester, as well as state and local party committees, political action committees, people's committees and state ballot question committees. The reports filed by these candidates and committees are available for public inspection at OCPF's office at the McCormack State Office Building, One Ashburton Place, Boston. Reports from some of the above candidates and committees are also available on the office's web site at www.state.ma.us/ocpf.

The information contained in this legislative spending report is based on data for 2000 compiled from campaign finance reports filed by candidates and treasurers of political committees organized on behalf of candidates for the Massachusetts Senate and House. The campaign finance law defines a "candidate" as a person who takes steps to advance himself or herself for nomination or election to an office, whether through gathering signatures to place their names on the ballot or mounting a write-in campaign. In 2000, a total of 330 candidates sought legislative office and filed disclosure reports with OCPF: 65 running for the Senate and 265 running for the House.

Legislative candidates and their committees are required to file three campaign finance reports for an election year. The reports are due with OCPF eight days prior to the September state primary election; eight days prior to the November general election; and on January 20. Reports were due from the candidates in this study on Sept. 11 and Oct. 30, 2000, and Jan. 22, 2001. (Only one report is due for a non-election year.)

Candidates and committee treasurers are required to disclose on those reports their account balances at the beginning of each reporting period; receipts and expenditures for the reporting period; in-kind contributions for the reporting period; and all liabilities.

The campaign finance law allows legislative candidates and committees to make expenditures for "the enhancement of the political future of the candidate," as long as the expenditure is not primarily for the personal use of a candidate or any other person. Some of the expenditures that are included in the totals contained in this report, especially expenditures by incumbents, may not have been directly related to campaigning. For

example, candidates may use campaign funds for purposes such as constituent or legislative services, opening or maintaining a legislative district office, charitable contributions, transportation and other activity that is for an identifiable political or governmental purpose.

In addition, some data may also reflect activity related to a municipal office also held or sought by some legislative candidates in 2000 (e.g., a city councilor or selectman running for the Senate or House). The campaign finance law does not allow the formation of more than one political committee by a candidate. A candidate holding or seeking elected positions on both the state and municipal levels has dual filing requirements with OCPF and his or her local election official, but the candidate's reports reflect activity by only one committee.

OCPF has taken steps to ensure that the information contained in this report is accurate as of the time of its compilation, the Fall of 2001. This report takes into account many corrections, additions or deletions made by candidates as a result of any review conducted by OCPF or amendments filed by candidates or political committees. Nevertheless, the information used for this report may not necessarily reflect all amendments. In addition, the information provided by candidates and committees may include some mathematical errors and balance inconsistencies. Finally, comparative data are not adjusted for inflation.

This report was compiled and written by Denis Kennedy, OCPF's Director of Public Information, based on information gathered by the office staff. Anyone wishing further information on this report or any other facet of the Massachusetts campaign finance law may contact the Office of Campaign and Political Finance, McCormack Building, One Ashburton Place, Room 411, Boston, MA 02108, or call (617) 727-8352 or (800) 462-OCPF. This report and other data are also available on the office's web site, at www.state.ma.us/ocpf.

December 2001

OVERVIEW

A total of 330 candidates sought election to the House or Senate in 2000. Of that number, 65 ran for the 40 seats in the Senate and 265 for the 160-seat House. The number of candidates for the Legislature in 2000 represents a decrease of 37 from 1998 and was the lowest ever recorded since OCPF started publishing this first of its legislative campaign finance studies after the 1990 election. (That year marked the highest number of candidates ever recorded by OCPF, with 507 people seeking legislative office.) In 2000, 89 of the 200 legislative seats, or 44.5 percent, were contested by two or more candidates; that was down six from 1998.

Of the 330 candidates for the General Court, 187, or 57 percent, were incumbents and 143, or 43 percent, were non-incumbents. A total of 181 incumbents, or 97 percent of those running, were re-elected: 75 after running unopposed and another 106 by beating at least one opponent. Nineteen non-incumbents were elected, a success rate of 13 percent. Two of the newly elected legislators seated in January 2001 were senators and 17 were representatives.

Campaign finance activity by legislative candidates in 2000 represented an increase from the 1998 levels. Increases were noted in both receipts and expenditures from 1998, both in the aggregate and on average:

• Total raised and spent: Total receipts and expenditures in 2000 showed gains from two years before, with a large increase in Senate activity overcoming a drop in House figures from 1998. Senate and House candidates combined raised a total of \$12,223,071, which was an increase of 16 percent over 1998. The same candidates reported spending \$11,013,392, an increase of 3 percent over 1998.

The 2000 fundraising total is the highest ever recorded in an OCPF study, eclipsing the total of \$12,016,643 posted in 1992. The 2000 spending figure is more than \$1 million less than the record of \$12,336,446, also posted in 1992.

In the individual chambers, however, the totals went in opposite directions. Total Senate receipts rose 44 percent from 1998 and total spending rose 15 percent. Total receipts by House candidates in 2000 showed a drop of less than 1 percent, while total expenditures dropped about 3 percent from 1998.

• Average receipts and expenditures for candidates in both the Senate and the House rose to all-time highs in 2000. The largest increases were in the Senate, where average receipts were \$84,691, up 58 percent from 1998, and expenditures were \$67,227, an increase of 25 percent. In the House, the average receipts were \$25,351, an increase of 11 percent from 1998, and expenditures were \$25,070, a rise of 8 percent.

- **Top Spender:** In 2000, the candidate spending the most money won 72 of the 89 contested races, for a success rate of 81 percent. That was decrease of seven percentage points from 1998 and two percentage points higher than the same figure in 1996.
- **Types of candidates**: As in past years, Democrats and incumbents showed significantly more campaign finance activity than their opponents. Candidates who won their races in 2000 usually started and finished the year with more money than their opponents.
- The lists of the top ten **most active individual candidates** in terms of fundraising and spending was made up mostly of incumbents and candidates for open seats. Of the **types of races**, those for open seats usually saw the greatest amount of activity by an individual candidate.

SECTION I: THE SENATE

The race for the Senate in 2000 featured a drop in the number of candidates from two years before but also a rise in campaign finance activity, especially on the receipts side of the ledger.

The 65 candidates in 2000 represented a drop of six from 1998 and were just two above the 63 recorded in 1996, the lowest number of Senate candidates since OCPF began issuing studies on legislative campaign finance activity.

Of the 40 Senate races, 21 featured unopposed candidates, all of whom were incumbents. The other 19 races featured 44 candidates, including 18 incumbents. Of the 18 opposed incumbents, 17 were re-elected. (The other incumbent withdrew from the race after winning the Republican primary.) Therefore, 38 incumbents were returned to office. The two new senators won open seats and were therefore the only successful non-incumbent Senate candidates.

OVERVIEW OF CAMPAIGN FINANCE ACTIVITY FOR SENATE CANDIDATES 1998 & 2000

	1998	2000
Number of candidates	71	65
Total on hand at start	\$ 2,310,802	\$ 3,763,841
Total receipts	\$ 3,809,576	\$ 5,504,933
Total available to candidates	\$ 6,120,378	\$ 9,268,774
Total expenditures	\$ 3,806,032	\$ 4,369,766
Average receipts per candidate	\$ 53,656	\$ 84,691
Average expenditures per candidate	\$ 53,606	\$ 67,227
Total on hand at end	\$ 2,314,476	\$ 4,895,953

Fundraising and spending totals by Senate candidates both rose significantly in 2000 from their 1998 levels. The \$5.5 million raised was an increase of 44 percent from two years before and approached the record of \$5.67 million set in 1992. The \$4.37 million in total expenditures in 2000 represented an increase of 15 percent over 1998 and fell far short of the record of \$6.1 million, also set in 1992. The 1992 totals were posted by 111 candidates, 46 more than the number in 2000.

As noted later in this report, however, one factor must be considered when evaluating the 2000 fundraising totals and averages: the activity of one candidate, Thomas F. Birmingham. Birmingham was on the ballot for re-election to his Senate seat in 2000 and was unopposed. His receipts on 2000 were almost \$1.4 million, which were significantly higher than any other candidate and accounted for one quarter of the total receipts of all Senate candidates. Birmingham also increased the total and average receipts in the candidate categories into which he fell, including incumbent, Democrat and winner. (In 2001 Birmingham notified OCPF of his intention to run for governor in 2002; he was able to transfer the money on hand after the 2000 Senate election into the depository account for his future gubernatorial run.)

The average amounts collected and spent by Senate candidates also jumped from their 1998 levels. The average candidate raised \$84,691, up 58 percent from 1998, and spent \$67,227, which was 25 percent above the 1998 average. (If Sen. Birmingham is excluded from the figures, the average receipts drop about \$20,000 to \$64,784. The average expenditures decrease only about \$3,000 to \$64,173.)

Both averages are records for Senate candidates, exceeding the previous highs of \$56,812 and \$59,352, posted in 1994. (See Appendix A). (Even if Birmingham were not included, both averages still would have exceeded the previous records.)

The greatest amount of campaign finance activity in 2000 once again was shown by categories of candidates that have traditionally been strong incumbents, Democrats and those who ultimately won their races. As a whole, incumbents outspent non-incumbents almost two to one, the same ratio by which Democrats outspent Republicans. And in the 19 contested races, the top spender won all but three times.

A breakdown and analysis of the totals for the 65 candidates follows. A breakdown of beginning balances, total receipts, total expenditures and ending balances for each candidate is contained in Appendix B after this section.

I. Success of Campaigns

Winners in Senate campaigns almost always outspent their opponents in 2000, continuing a trend from recent years. The candidate who spent the most money won in 16 of the 19 races for contested seats, or 84 percent of the time. (The percentage was down slightly from 1998, when the top spender won 88 percent of the time, or 16 of 18 races). The exceptions in 2000 were in the Plymouth and Norfolk District, where Robert Hedlund won re-election despite being outspent by James Cantwell by about \$25,000; the Cape and Islands District, where James O'Leary was outspent by Edward Teague by about \$51,000 but still won the seat vacated after the primary by Henri Rauschenbach;

¹ Expenditures do not include debts incurred that had not been paid at the end of 2000. Also, total receipts do not include in-kind contributions, which are things of value other than money. Senate candidates reported receiving \$45,545 in in-kind contributions in 2000, up about \$6,000 from 1998.

and the Norfolk, Bristol and Plymouth District, where the incumbent and winner, Jo Ann Sprague, was outspent by James Timilty by \$44,000.

In the aggregate, the 40 successful candidates, including the 21 unopposed incumbents, outspent the 25 losing candidates more than three-to-one in 2000. (Some candidates were able to spend more than they raised due to existing balances with which they began the year.) The difference in funds raised was more than four-to-one.

The average amount raised and spent by both winners and losers rose in 2000 over 1998, in most cases by a significant percentage. The average amount raised by a winner rose by 52 percent, while the average raised by an unsuccessful candidate rose by 46 percent. The average spending by those who were elected rose about 14 percent and the average spent by those who were not elected increased 47 percent over 1998.

SENATE CANDIDATES' RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY SUCCESS OF CAMPAIGNS 2000

	Successful (40)	Unsuccessful (25)
Total Receipts	\$ 4,495,725	\$ 1,009,207
Average Receipts	\$ 112,393	\$ 40,368
Total Expenditures	\$ 3,299,617	\$ 1,070,149
Average Expenditures	\$ 82,490	\$ 42,806

II. Incumbency

Once again, incumbents accounted for the bulk of campaign finance activity in 2000. The 39 incumbents on the ballot accounted for 59 percent of all candidates, but raised 78 percent and spent 70 percent of the total for the year. Incumbents as a group, both opposed and unopposed, therefore outspent and outraised non-incumbents more than two to one.

SENATE CANDIDATES' RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY INCUMBENCY 2000

	Incumbents (39)	Non-Incumbents (26)
Total Receipts	\$ 4,306,397	\$ 1,198,535
Average Receipts	\$ 110,420	\$ 46,098
Total Expenditures	\$ 3,070,863	\$ 1,298,903
Average Expenditures	\$ 78,740	\$ 49,958

(Incumbents are defined as those individuals holding the office of senator at the time of the 2000 election.)

Both incumbents and non-incumbents saw their average receipts and expenditures rise in 2000, especially in fundraising. The average incumbent spent 12 percent more than in 1998 and the average non-incumbent spent 34 percent more. The amount raised by the average incumbent rose 49 percent from 1998, while amount raised by the average non-incumbent rose 36 percent.

III. Party Affiliation

Democrats accounted for the majority of the candidates and a majority of those who won in 2000. The 49 Democrats running in 2000 represented 66 percent of the candidates but accounted for almost 84 percent of funds raised and 60 percent of those spent. The 17 Republican candidates (26 percent of the total) accounted for just under 16 percent of the fundraising and almost 24 percent of the spending, while the five candidates who were not members of the two major parties, such as Libertarian or unenrolled candidates or those with a minor party affiliation, accounted for about .4 percent in both categories.

SENATE CANDIDATES' RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY PARTY AFFILIATION 2000

	Democrats (43)	Republicans (17)	Other (5)
Total receipts	\$ 4,612,241	\$ 870,300	\$ 22,392
Average receipts	\$ 107,261	\$ 51,194	\$ 4,478
Total expenditures	\$ 3,316,103	\$ 1,033,257	\$ 20,406
Average expenditures	\$ 77,119	\$ 60,780	\$ 4,081

[&]quot;Other" affiliations include Libertarian, unenrolled and other party designations.

The Democratic advantage was also reflected in the average amounts raised and spent per candidate. Averages were up for all party categories in 2000. The average raised by a Democrat rose 75 percent; the spending average was up 27 percent. For Republicans, the average raised in 2000 was 27 percent higher than the 1998 figure and spending was up 47 percent. The averages for independent candidates vary from election to election, due largely to the small size of the category, in which just one or two candidates can cause a significant change. For example, the average spending by the five candidates in 2000 was five times the average posted by the two "other" category candidates two years before.

IV. Contested and Uncontested Races

Of the 40 races for Senate seats, 21 featured only one candidate, who in all cases was the incumbent. The remaining 19 were contested, featuring two or more candidates.²

Opposed candidates in 2000 posted a higher aggregate spending figure and a higher spending average than their unopposed counterparts. Unopposed candidates, however, raised slightly more in total than those with opposition. The fundraising gap was more pronounced in the averages: the average amount raised by an unopposed candidate was more than twice the amount raised by an opposed candidate.

RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY SENATE CANDIDATES OPPOSED AND UNOPPOSED 2000

	Opposed (44)	Unopposed (21)
Total Receipts	\$ 2,738,159	\$ 2,766,77
Average receipts	\$ 62,231	\$ 131,751
Total Expenditures	\$ 3,005,763	\$ 1,364,003
Average Expenditures	\$ 68,313	\$ 64,953

CONTESTED SEATS

The 19 contested Senate races in 2000 can be grouped in two categories: contests for open seats being vacated by incumbents (2) and those featuring an incumbent facing opposition (17). Of the two types of races, those featuring open seats were the most active in terms of average amounts spent and raised.

In 2000, seven candidates ran for two *open Senate seats* in the following districts:

² Some studies of legislative races have used other criteria in determining whether a race was "contested" or whether an incumbent was "opposed," looking at such factors as whether the winner exceeded a certain margin of victory or whether an opponent was a minor or fringe candidate or had no campaign finance activity. This study considered a race contested if there were two or more candidates for the seat, regardless of the outcome or the level of campaign finance activity.

District	Previous incumbent	Candidates
Cape and Islands	Henri Rauschenbach* (R)	Robert O'Leary** (D),
		Edward Lamperti* (D),
		Edward Teague (R)
1 st Worcester	Robert Bernstein (D)	Harriette Chandler** (D),
		Ioseph Early (D),
		Joseph LoConto (R)

^{*}Rauschenbach originally sought re-election and was unopposed in the GOP primary. He subsequently announced his resignation, which led to the entrance of two additional candidates in the race. He is included in the candidate figures in this report, but his seat is considered open. Lamperti also withdrew from the race after winning the Democratic nomination.

These seven candidates raised a total of \$703,336, or \$100,477 per candidate, and spent \$773,181, or \$110,454 per candidate.

Seventeen seats saw contested races featuring incumbents, who won each time:

District **Candidates** Joan Menard*, J. Barry McDonald 1st Bristol Linda Melconian*, Abraham Kasparian (0) Hampden Hampshire & Franklin Stanley Rosenberg*, Michael Froimowitz ((0) 1st Middlesex & Norfolk Cynthia Creem*, Markham Lyons Middlesex & Worcester Pamela Resor, Kamal Jain 1st Middlesex Steven Panagiotakos*, Peter C. Shoaff (0) 3rd Middlesex Richard Tisei*, Stephen Spain 4th Middlesex Robert Havern*, Ilana Freedman 5th Middlesex Susan Fargo*, Andrew Pryor Cheryl Jacques*, Daryl Hanlin, Earl Sholley Norfolk, Bristol & Middlesex Norfolk, Bristol & Plymouth Jo Ann Sprague*, James Timilty Therese Murray*, James Norton Plymouth & Barnstable 1st Plymouth & Bristol Marc Pacheco*, Chawner Hurd Robert Hedlund*, James Cantwell, Theodore LeClair Plymouth & Norfolk Robert Travaglini*, Vincent Dixon, John Hugo Suffolk & Middlesex 2nd Suffolk Dianne Wilkerson*, Hassan Williams (0) 2nd Worcester Guy Glodis*, Donald Davison

** Winner

In these 17 contested races featuring the incumbent, the 37 candidates raised a total of \$2,034,823, or \$54,995 per candidate, and spent \$2,232,582, or \$60,340 per candidate.

^{*} denotes winner; (0) = Candidate reported no expenditures.

UNCONTESTED SEATS

In 2000, 21 candidates, all incumbents, ran unopposed for a Senate seat (one less than in 1998). These candidates reported raising \$2,766,773, or \$131,751 per candidate, and spending \$1,364,003, or \$64,953 per candidate.

Historically, much of the expenditures by incumbents are not necessarily related directly to their election campaigns (such as bumper stickers or advertisements), but are geared toward constituent or legislative expenses. The campaign finance law allows campaign funds to be used for such expenditures as maintaining a district office, charitable contributions and official or political travel that is not otherwise paid for by the Commonwealth.

V. Starting Balances

Senate candidates reported having a total of \$3,763,841 on hand at the beginning of 2000. That is about \$1,400,000 more than was available at the beginning of 1998.

One reason for the greater amount of campaign spending by incumbents was a sizable edge in cash on hand at the start of the election year. The 39 incumbents who were on the 2000 ballot reported a total starting balance of \$3,638,951, which was almost 97 percent of the total for all candidates and almost 30 times the cash on hand for non-incumbents. The average amount on hand in an incumbent's account, \$93,306, also reflected that advantage, exceeding the amount on hand for non-incumbents by more than \$88,000. In fact, the average incumbent's starting balance itself exceeded the amount raised or spent by the average non-incumbent in the coming year, providing a substantial early advantage.

The other breakdowns of average starting balances mirror the margins shown in the previous sections. For example, Democrats showed an average starting balance of \$79,912 versus an average of \$19,271 for Republicans (the five unenrolled or minor party candidates reported no cash on hand). The average winner had \$91,987 on hand at the start of 2000, compared to \$3,374 for those who did not win. Unopposed candidates reported having an average of \$127,290 to start, versus \$24,790 for those who were opposed.

It should be remembered that many challengers are first-time Senate candidates and begin their campaign with zero balances. In fact, many have not even organized campaign committees at the start of an election year. The starting balance figures therefore have traditionally favored incumbents.

All of the 10 candidates with the largest starting balances in 2000 were incumbents. Eight were Democrats. Six of those 10 ultimately had no opposition that year. All were re-elected.

SENATE CANDIDATES WITH THE HIGHEST STARTING BALANCES

(As of Jan. 1, 2000)

	Candidate	District	Balance	Opposed	Elected
1.	Thomas Birmingham (D) (I)	Middlesex, Suffolk & Essex	\$1,112,086	No	Yes
2.	Mark Montigny (D) (I)	2nd Bristol	\$513,896	No	Yes
3.	Cheryl Jacques (D) (I)	Norfolk, Bristol & Middlesex	\$164,963	Yes	Yes
4.	Michael Morrissey (D) (I)	Norfolk & Plymouth	\$132,550	No	Yes
5.	Marc Pacheco (D) (I)	1st Plymouth & Bristol	\$130,950	Yes	Yes
6.	Richard Tisei (R) (I)	3rd Middlesex	\$130,628	Yes	Yes
7.	Stephen Lynch (D) (I)	1st Suffolk	\$122,925	No	Yes
8.	Linda Mclconian (D) (I)	Hampden	\$114,982	Yes	Yes
9.	Stephen Brewer (D) (I)	Worcester, Hampden, Hampshire & Franklin	\$111,072	No	Yes
10.	Brian Lees (R) (I)	1st Hampden & Hampshire	\$85,493	No	Yes

I = Incumbent D=Democrat R=Republican

VI. Most Active Candidates and Races

The most expensive of the 19 contested Senate races in 2000 was in the 1st Worcester District, where three candidates – Harriette Chandler, Joseph Early and Christopher LoConto – were seeking an open seat. Chandler, a state representative, won the election.³ The three candidates reported raising a total of \$403,415 and spending \$\$462,628 (Chandler was the only candidate with cash on hand at the start of the year, with \$64,978.)

Of the top 10 most expensive contested Senate races, eight were won by incumbents and two by non-incumbents (both of whom were seeking open seats).

³ Chandler is not considered an incumbent in this report. While she was a state representative in 2000, she did not hold the Senate seat she was seeking that year.

SENATE RACES WITH THE HIGHEST SPENDING 2000

KU U KU	District Name	Total Spent	Number of candidates	Winner
1.	1 st Worcester (O)	\$462,628	3	Harriette Chandler
2.	Plymouth & Norfolk	\$357,158	3	Robert Hedlund (I)
3.	Cape & Islands (O)	\$310,553	4	Robert O'Leary
4.	3 rd Middlesex	\$291,900	2	Richard Tisei (I)
5.	1st Plymouth & Bristol	\$255,531	2	Marc Pacheco (I)
6.	1 st Bristol	\$189,758	2	Joan Menard (I)
7.	Norfolk, Bristol & Plymouth	\$149,262	2	Jo Ann Sprague (I)
8.	Plymouth & Barnstable	\$141,120	2	Therese Murray (I)
9.	2 nd Suffolk	\$125,718	2*	Dianne Wilkerson (I)
10.	Hampden	\$111,859	2*	Linda Melconian (I)

⁽O) = Open seat * = Opponent reported no spending (I)=Incumbent

The least expensive contested race was in the 1st Middlesex and Norfolk District, where the two candidates – incumbent and winner Cynthia Creem and challenger Markham Lyons – spent a total of \$8,498. Creem accounted for 96 percent of the spending, or all but \$372.

* * * * *

The list of candidates who raised and spent the most money in 1998 is made up largely of incumbents who gained re-election, though some non-incumbents made both lists.

The top fundraiser among Senate candidates in 2000 was Thomas Birmingham, whose figure of \$1.358 million is believed to be a record. The figure is more than seven times the fundraising total of the second-place finisher, Harriette Chandler. Birmingham's annual total is more in line with a candidate for statewide office; as noted above, in 2001 Birmingham notified OCPF of his plan to run for governor the next year.

The list of top fundraisers contains seven incumbents and eight Democrats. Six candidates were in contested races; of that number four were elected. Only Early and Edward Teague, both non-incumbents seeking open seats, were not elected.

SENATE CANDIDATES RAISING THE MOST MONEY IN 2000

	Candidate	District	Receipts	Opposed	Elected
1.	Thomas Birmingham (I) (D)	Middlesex, Suffolk & Essex	\$1,358,733	No	Yes
2.	Harriette L. Chandler (D)	1 st Worcester (O)	\$189,704	Yes	Yes
3.	Joseph D. Early, Jr. (D)	1 st Worcester (O)	\$187,260	Yes	No
4.	Mark C. Montigny (I) (D)	2 nd Bristol	\$179,917	No	Yes
5.	Marc R. Pacheco (I) (D)	1 st Plymouth & Bristol	\$175,285	Yes	Yes
6.	Richard R. Tisei (I) (R)	3 rd Middlesex	\$158,959	Yes	Yes
7.	Edward Teague (R)	Cape & Islands (O)	\$143,979	Yes	No
8.	Stephen Lynch (I) (D)	1 st Suffolk	\$136,456	No	Yes
9.	Joan M. Menard (I) (D)	l st Bristol	\$125,189	Yes	Yes
10.	Brian A. Joyce (I) (D)	Suffolk & Norfolk	\$122,517	No	Yes

^{*}O=Open seat. I = Incumbent. D= Democrat. R=Republican

Four candidates, all non-incumbents, reported raising no money; all lost the election. The winning candidate who raised the least amount of money was incumbent Bruce Tarr of the 1st Essex and Middlesex District, who raised \$9,855. Tarr was unopposed for re-election. The winning opposed candidate who raised the least was Cynthia Creem of the 1st Middlesex and Norfolk District, who raised \$14,403.

The Top 10 list for spending included major candidates in several of the most expensive races, listed above. Eight of the 10 candidates on the list were elected. Seven were incumbent senators. Eight were opposed.

SENATE CANDIDATES SPENDING THE MOST MONEY IN 2000

	Candidate	District	Expenditures	Opposed	Elected?
1.	Richard R. Tisei (I) (D)	3 rd Middlesex	\$265,453	Yes	Yes
2.	Thomas Birmingham (I)(D)	Middlesex, Suffolk & Essex	\$262,673	No	Yes
3.	Harriette L. Chandler (D)	1 st Worcester (O)	\$250,103	Yes	Yes
4.	Joseph D. Early, Jr. (D)	1 st Worcester (O)	\$186,291	Yes	No
5.	Marc R. Pacheco (I)(D)	1 st Plymouth & Bristol	\$169,918	Yes	Yes
6.	Edward Teague (R)	Cape & Islands (O)	\$144,254	Yes	No
7.	James Cantwell (D)	Plymouth & Norfolk	\$139,791	Yes	No
8.	Joan M. Menard (I)(D)	1 st Bristol	\$134,705	Yes	Yes
9.	Dianne Wilkerson (I)(D)	2 nd Suffolk	\$125,718	Yes	Yes
10.	Brian A. Joyce (I)(D)	Suffolk & Norfolk	\$119,100	No	Yes

^{*}O=Open seat. I = Incumbent. D= Democrat. R=Republican

The four candidates who reported raising no money in 2000 also reported no expenditures. None was elected. The winning opposed candidate spending the least amount of money in 2000 was incumbent Cynthia Creem of the 1st Middlesex and Norfolk District, who reported spending \$8,127. The unopposed incumbent spending the least was Stephen Brewer of the Worcester, Hampden, Hampshire & Franklin District, who spent \$14,283.

The Senate candidate who topped both the fundraising and spending lists in 1998, Karyn Polito, did not seek a Senate seat in 2000. Polito instead ran for a House seat; her activity is contained in the House section of this report.

VII. Ending Balances

Senate candidates reported finishing 2000 with a total balance of \$4,895,953, or \$1.2 million more than their starting balance for the year. The \$4.8 million is more than twice the comparable figure for 1998.⁴ The types of candidates that were dominant in other categories also accounted for the bulk of cash on hand at year-end: the largest shares were held by incumbents (99.6 percent), winners (99.6 percent), Democrats (96.7 percent), and unopposed candidates (83 percent).

⁴ This ending balance may not correspond exactly with the aggregate starting balance, total receipts and total expenditures because of math errors on reports filed by some candidates.

As in 1998, all of the candidates with the ten highest ending balances in 2000 were incumbents and had been re-elected. All were Democrats and six had not been opposed for re-election.

Sen. Birmingham was far and away the leader on cash on hand at the end of 2000. His \$2.2 million was more than three times the balance of the second-place finisher and is the highest ever recorded in an OCPF study.

SENATE CANDIDATES WITH THE HIGHEST ENDING BALANCES

(As of Dec. 31, 2000)

	Candidate	District Name	Balance	Opposed	Elected
1.	Thomas Birmingham (I)(D)	Middlesex, Suffolk & Essex	\$2,208,146	No	Yes
2.	Mark C. Montigny (I)(D)	2 nd Bristol	\$640,055	No	Yes
3.	Cheryl A. Jacques (I)(D)	Norfolk, Bristol & Middlesex	\$187,262	Yes	Yes
4.	Michael Morrissey (I)(D)	Norfolk & Plymouth	\$165,565	No	Yes
5.	Stephen Lynch (I)(D)	1 st Suffolk	\$143,734	No	Yes
6.	Stephen Brewer (I)(D)	Worcester, Hampden, Hampshire & Franklin	\$139,719	No	Yes
7.	Marc R. Pacheco (I)(D)	1 st Plymouth & Bristol	\$136,318	Yes	Yes
8.	Linda J. Melconian (I)(D)	Hampden	\$114,780	Yes	Yes
9.	Edward J. Clancy Jr. (I)(D)	1 st Essex	\$90,559	No	Yes
10.	Guy W. Glodis (I)(D)	2 nd Worcester	\$84,010	Yes	Yes

I = Incumbent. D= Democrat. R=Republican

Birmingham also topped this list in 1998, with a balance of \$422,961.

Eight candidates for the Senate in 2000 reported no money on hand at year-end; all were non-incumbents who were not elected. The incumbent with the least cash on hand at year-end was Jo Ann Sprague (Norfolk, Bristol & Plymouth), who reported a year-end balance of \$262. Sprague was opposed for re-election and spent \$52,379 in 2000.

A table of campaign finance activity by all Senate candidates may be found in Appendix B, following this section.

Appendix A

Aggregate Campaign Finance Activity by Senate Candidates 1990-2000

	1990	1992	1994	1996	1998	2000
Candidates	106	111	85	63	71	65
Total received	\$ 4,530,863	\$ 5,674,643	\$ 4,829,019	\$ 3,510,827	\$ 3,809,576	\$ 5,504,933
Average received	\$ 42,744	\$ 51,123	\$ 56,812	\$ 55,727	\$ 53,656	\$ 84,691
Total spent	\$ 5,060,968	\$ 6,086,061	\$ 5,044,959	\$ 3,211,808	\$ 3,806,032	\$ 4,369,766
Average spent	\$ 47,745	\$ 54,829	\$ 59,352	\$ 50,981	\$ 53,606	\$ 7,227

Appendix B
Campaign Finance Activity in the 2000 Senate Races

<u>District</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	Expenditure	<u>End</u>
	iire, Franklin, Ien & Hampshire							
	Andrea F. Nuciforo, Jr.	D	Ī	W	\$28,920.25	\$52,585.15	\$45,739.32	\$35,766.08
Total Can	didates for Seat : 1			ď	\$28,920.25	\$52,585.15	\$45,739.32	\$35,766.08
1st Bris	<u>stol</u>							
	J. Barry McDonald	. D	N	L	\$0.00	\$55,694.12	\$55,052.40	\$641.72
	Joan M. Menard	D	. 1	W	\$14,390.42	\$125,188.78	\$134,705.37	\$4,873.83
Total Cand	didates for Seat : 2				\$14.390.42	\$180,882.90	\$189,757.77	\$5,515.55
2nd Br	<u>istol</u>							
	Mark C. Montigny	D	1	W	\$513,896.2	\$179,917.27	\$53,758.43	\$640,055.11
Total Cand	didates for Seat: 1				\$513,896.27	\$179,917.27	\$53 <u>,</u> 758.43	\$640,055.11

Legend: D = Democrat; R = Republican; O = Other/unenrolled. I = Incumbent; N = Non-incumbent

<u>Dietriot</u> <u>Candidato</u>	<u>Party</u>	Inoumbont?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	Receipts	Expenditure	<u>End</u>
Cape & Islands							
Edward D. Lamperti	D	N	L	\$114.51	\$750.00	\$826.74	\$37.77
Robert O'Leary	D	N	W	\$0.00	\$77,408.00	\$72,061.74	\$5,346.26
Henri S. Rauschenba	ach R	1	L	\$24,432.78	\$77,784.10	\$93,410.35	\$8,806.53
Edward Teague	Î R	N	L	\$274.53	\$143,979.37	\$144,253.90	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat: 4				\$24,821.82	\$299,921.47	\$310,552.73	\$14,190.56
1st Essex							
Edward J. Clancy Jr.	D	1	W	\$48,869.55	\$87,334.99	\$45,645.37	\$90,559.17
Lotal Candidates for Seat : 1				\$48,869.55	\$87,334.99	\$45,645.37	\$90,559.17
2nd Essex							
Frederick Berry	D	1	W	\$58,997.72	\$88,069.25	\$63,555.48	\$83,511.49
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$58,997.72	\$88,069.25	\$63,555.48	\$83,511.49
3rd Essex							
James Jajuga	D	. 1	W	\$49,285.84	\$61,862.00	\$50,937.62	\$60,210.22
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$49,285.84	\$61,862.00	\$50,937.62	\$60,210.22
1st Essex & Middlesex							
Bruce Tarr	R	1	W	\$22,096.87	\$9,855.00	\$14,637.79	\$17,314.08
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$22,096.87	\$9,855.00	\$14,637.79	\$17,314.08

<u>District</u> <u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
2nd Essex & Middlesex							
Susan Tucker	D	1 2	. W	\$30,149.52	\$34,559.74	\$18,250.38	\$46,458.88
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$30,149.52	\$34,559.74	\$18,250.38	\$46,458.88
<u>Hampden</u>		·					
Abraham Kasparian,	Jr. o	N	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Linda J. Melconian	D	1	W	\$114,981.9	\$111,657.37	\$111,859.07	\$114,780.26
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$114,981.96	\$111,657.37	\$111,859.07	\$114,780.26
1st Hampden & Hampshi	<u>re</u>						
Brian Lees	R	. 1	W	\$85,492.54	\$87,743.13	\$100,186.91	\$73,048.76
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$85,492.54	\$87,743.13	\$100,186.91	\$73,048.76
2nd Hampden & Hampsh	<u>iire</u>						
Michael Knapik	R	1	W	\$33,719.20	\$40,045.00	\$45,230.80	\$28,533.40
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$33,719.20	\$40,045.00	\$45,230.80	\$28,533.40
Hampshire & Franklin							
Michael P. Froimowitz	. 0	N	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Stanley C. Rosenberg	D	. 1	W	\$75,375.84	\$58,369.22	\$74,456.99	\$59,288.07
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$75,375.84	\$58,369.22	\$74,456.99	\$59,288.07

<u>District</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
<u>1st M</u>	iddlesex & Norfolk							
	Cynthia S. Creem	D	1	W	\$36,064.94	\$14,403.00	\$8,126.52	\$42,341.42
•	Markham M. Lyons	0	N	L	\$0.00	\$371.94	\$371.94	\$0.00
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 2				\$36,064.94	\$14,774.94	\$8,498.46	\$42,341.42
Middl	esex & Suffolk							
	Steven Tolman	D D	1	W	\$27,245.91	\$48,615.00	\$30,162.97	\$45,697.94
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 1				\$27,245.91	\$48,615.00	\$30,162.97	\$45,697.94
Middl	esex & Worcester							
	Kamal Jain	0	N	L	\$0.00	\$3,375.00	\$2,094.60	\$1,280.40
	Pamela P. Resor	D	1	W	\$14,917.18	\$51,141.00	\$63,235.64	\$2,822.54
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 2				\$14,917.18	\$54,516.00	\$65,330.24	\$4,102.94
1st M	iddlesex							
	Steven Panagiotakos	D	1	W	\$22,713.06	\$58,373.62	\$52,263.33	\$28,823.35
	Peter C. Schoaff	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 2				\$22,713.06	\$58,373.62	\$52,263.33	\$28,823.35
2nd M	<u>liddlesex</u>							*
	Charles Shannon Jr.	D	l .	W	\$79,630.21	\$66,639.00	\$76,380.02	\$69,889.19
l otal Ca	ndidates for Seat : 1				\$79,630.21	\$66,639.00	\$76,380.02	\$69,889.19

<u>District</u> <u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	Receipts	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
3rd Middlesex							
Stephen Spain	D	N	Ļ	\$0.00	\$26,546.00	\$26,447.51	\$98.49
Richard R. Tisei	R [.]	, I	W	\$130,628.4	\$158,959.14	\$265,452.74	\$24,134.88
Total Candidates for Seat: . 2				\$130,628.48	\$185,505.14	\$291,900.25	\$24,233.37
4th Middlesex							
Ilana Freedman	0	N	L	\$0.00	\$18,645.00	\$17,939.10	\$705.90
Robert A. Havern III	D	, I	W	\$28,194.40	\$27,237.00	\$37,343.42	\$18,087.98
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$28,194.40	\$45,882.00	\$55,282.52	\$18,793.88
5th Middlesex							
Susan Fargo	D	ı	W	\$26,064.13	\$74,152.68	\$95,614.18	\$4,602.63
Andrew B. Pryor	, R	N	L	\$0.00	\$17,475.00	\$13,669.03	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$26,064.13	\$91,627.68	\$109,283.21	\$4,602.63
Middlesex, Norfolk & Worcester							
David P. Magnani	D.	1 .	W	\$64,721.29	\$63,810.70	\$47,861.44	\$80,670.55
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$64,721.29	\$63,810.70	\$47,861.44	\$80,670.55
Middlesex, Suffolk & Essex							
Thomas Birmingham	, D	1	W	\$1,112,085.	\$1,358,732.59	\$262,672.60	\$2,208,145.59
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$1,112,085.60	\$1,358,732.59	\$262,672.60	\$2,208,145.59

19

Office of Campaign and Political Finance

Senate Candidates 2000

<u>District</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>End</u>
Norfo	k & Plymouth							
	Michael Morrissey	D	, 1	W	\$132,550.4	\$79,587.35	\$46,573.11	\$165,564.65
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 1				\$132,550.41	\$79,587.35	\$46,573.11	\$165,564.65
<u>Norfo</u>	lk & Suffolk						•	
	Marian Walsh	D D	1	W	\$32,660.43	\$56,165.00	\$61,556.37	\$27,269.06
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 1				\$32,660.43	\$56,165.00	\$61,556.37	\$27,269.06
Norfo Middle	lk, Bristol &							
	Daryl Hanlin	R	N	L	\$1,626.80	\$1,466.75	\$2,716.75	\$376.80
	Cheryl A. Jacques	D	1	W	\$164,962.8	\$58,292.99	\$35,994.06	\$187,261.76
	Earl Henry Sholley	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$11,144.41	\$11,132.06	\$12.35
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 3				\$166,589.63	\$70,904.15	\$49,842.87	\$187,650.91
Norfo Plymo	k, Bristol & outh							
	Jo Ann Sprague	R	1	W	\$16,812.00	\$35,829.90	\$52,379.45	\$262.45
	James Timilty	D	N	L	\$5,939.83	\$91,281.32	\$96,882.64	\$338.51
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat: 2				\$22,751.83	\$127,111.22	\$149,262.09	\$600.96

<u>District</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	<u>Expenditure</u>	<u>End</u>
Plymo	outh & Barnstable				•			
	Therese Murray	D	1	W	\$16,559.00	\$81,575.04	\$91,765.66	\$6,368.38
	James R. Norton Jr.	R	N	. L	\$0.00	\$49,354.09	\$49,354.07	\$0.00
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 2				\$16,559.00	\$130,929.13	\$141,119.73	\$6,368.38
1st Pl	ymouth & Bristol							
	Chawner Hurd	R	N	L	\$3,949.93	\$82,981.29	\$85,613.05	\$1,318.17
	Marc R. Pacheco	D	1.	W	\$130,950.2	\$175,285.18	\$169,917.64	\$136,317.81
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 2				\$134,900.20	\$258,266.47	\$255,530.69	\$137,635.98
2nd P	lymouth & Bristol							
	Robert Creedon Jr.	D	l	W	\$27,285.78	\$38,050.00	\$22,777.06	\$42,558.72
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 1				\$27,285.78	\$38,050.00	\$22,777.06	\$42,558.72
Plymo	outh & Norfolk							
	James Cantwell	- D	N	L	\$28,003.27	\$113,761.77	\$139,790.91	\$1,974.13
	Robert L. Hedlund	R	I	W	\$8,264.99	\$112,452.74	\$114,142.29	\$0,575.44
	Theodore LeClair	D	N	L	\$19,689.98	\$86,107.13	\$103,224.87	\$2,572.24
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat: 3				\$55,958.24	\$312,321.64	\$357,158.07	\$11,121.81

<u>District</u> <u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	Receipts	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
Suffolk & Middlesex							
Vincent Dixon	R	N	L	\$90.10	\$297.00	\$345.10	\$42.00
John Hugo	R	N	L.	\$0.00	\$1,402.60	\$1,398.61	\$3.99
Robert Travaglini	D	1	W	\$55,131.09	\$114,568.53	\$109,576.06	\$60,123.56
Total Candidates for Seat: 3				\$55,221.19	\$116,268.13	\$111,319.77	\$60,169.55
Suffolk & Norfolk							
Brian A. Joyce	D	1	W	\$1,259.87	\$122,516.72	\$119,100.21	\$4,676.38
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$1,259.87	\$122,516.72	\$119,100.21	\$4,676.38
1st Suffolk							
Stephen Lynch	D	.1	W	\$122,925.4	\$136,455.87	\$115,647.31	\$143,734.03
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$122,925.47	\$136,455.87	\$115,647.31	\$143,734.03
2nd Suffolk							
Dianne Wilkerson	D	1	W	\$43,895.51	\$90,968.35	\$125,718.33	\$9,844.94
Hassan Williams	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$43,895.51	\$90,968.35	\$125,718.33	\$9,844.94
Worcester & Middlesex							
Robert A. Antonioni	. D	I	W	\$40,259.41	\$46,919.50	\$41,474.49	\$45,755.87
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$40,259.41	\$46,919.50	\$41,474.49	\$45,755.87

<u>District</u>	Candidate	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	<u>Expenditure</u>	<u>End</u>
Worce	ester & Norfolk							
	Richard T. Moore	D	1	W	\$49,964.55	\$64,380.34	\$87,572.32	\$26,772.57
lotal Ca	ndidates for Seat : 1				\$49,964.55	\$64,380.34	\$87,572.32	\$26,772.57
<u>1st W</u>	orcester							
	Harriette L. Chandler	D	N	W	\$64,977.74	\$189,704.40	\$250,102.67	\$4,579.47
	Joseph D. Early, Jr.	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$187,260.00	\$186,291.25	\$968.75
	Christopher LoConto	R	N	· L	\$0.00	\$26,450.26	\$26,234.16	\$216.10
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 3				\$64,977.74	\$403,414.66	\$462,628.08	\$5,764.32
<u>2nd W</u>	/orcester							
	Donald P. Davison III	R	N N	L	\$223.57	\$13,080.00	\$13,100.07	\$203.50
	Guy W. Glodis	D	1	W	\$41,523.70	\$113,385.00	\$70,898.73	\$84,009.97
Total Car	ndidates for Seat : 2				\$41,747.27	\$126,465.00	\$83,998.80	\$84,213.47
	ester, Hampden, shire & Franklin							
	Stephen Brewer	. D	1	W	\$111,071.7	\$42,929.87	\$14,282.89	\$139,718.72
Total Car	ndidates for Seat : 1				\$111,071.74	\$42,929.87	\$14,282.89	\$139,718.72
Total		65	House 1	otals	\$3,763,841.27	\$5,504,932.56	\$4,369,765.89	\$4,895,952.81

SECTION II: THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

The race for the House in 2000 featured a drop in the number of candidates from two years before, but fundraising and spending totals were largely unchanged from two years before.

A total of 265 candidates ran for the House in 1998, a decrease of about 10 percent from two years before and the lowest figure ever contained in an OCPF study.¹

Of the 160 races for a House seat, 90 featured only one candidate, which in all but one case was an incumbent. The remaining 70 races were contested, featuring at least two candidates (a drop of 7 races from 1998). Of the 175 candidates in contested races, 59 were incumbents. Of that number, 54 were re-elected and 5 were defeated. A total of 12 incumbents did not seek re-election, three of whom had resigned before their terms were up and whose seats were vacant at the time of the November 2000 election. Incumbents were therefore re-elected in 143 of the 148 races in which they ran, for a success rate of just under 97 percent. That figure is virtually identical to the rate in 1998.

OVERVIEW OF CAMPAIGN FINANCE ACTIVITY FOR HOUSE CANDIDATES 1998 & 2000

	1998	2000
Number of Candidates	296	265
Total cash on hand at start	\$ 2,650,720	\$ 3,589,700
Total receipts	\$ 6,765,000	\$ 6,718,138
Total available to candidates	\$ 9,415,720	\$10,307,838
Total expenditures	\$ 6,875,821	\$ 6,643,626
Average receipts per candidate	\$ 22,855	\$ 25,351
Average expenditures per candidate	\$ 23,229	\$ 25,070
Total on hand at end	\$ 2,533,385	\$ 3,661,609

¹ This study does not include campaign finance activity in the special election for the House seat in the 1st Worcester District in early 2000. Two candidates who ran in the special election, David Bunker and Mark Ferguson, also sought the seat in the regular election later that year, The figures for those candidates in this report reflect activity after the closing date for the post-special election report, which was Feb. 28, 2000. Figures for the special election are available from OCPF.

The \$6.718 million raised by House candidates is almost \$47,000 less than the amount raised in 1998, or a drop of less than 1 percent. The 2000 expenditures figure of \$6.643 million represents a higher decrease of about \$232,000 or 3 percent.²

The 2000 figures are the third-highest totals ever tracked by OCPF, trailing only the record highs of 1990 and the totals from 1998. The 2000 averages for fundraising and spending are records, however, fueled by the dip in the number of candidates combined with the relatively high totals. For example, in 1990, there were 401 House candidates accounting for the record receipt and expenditure totals. There were 136 fewer candidates in 2000. Average receipts increased 11 percent and average expenditures were up 8 percent over 1998. (For totals and averages from 1990-2000, see Appendix C.)

Successful campaigns once again outspent unsuccessful campaigns in 2000, often bolstered by a substantial starting balance. The candidate who spent the most money in a race won four-fifths of the time.

As in the Senate, the groups that dominated campaign finance activity in the House in 2000 were Democrats, incumbents and winners. Incumbents accounted for about two-thirds of fundraising and spending. Democrats outraised and outspent Republicans, though the margin dipped slightly from four-to-one in 1998 to three-to-one in 2000.

A breakdown and analysis of the totals for the 265 House candidates follows. A breakdown of beginning balances, total receipts, total expenditures and ending balances for each candidate may be found in Appendix D, starting after this section.

I. Winners vs. Losers

Those who ultimately won their races continued to account for most of the total fundraising and spending in House campaigns. In 1998, the 160 successful candidates raised and spent 73 percent of the money, up seven percentage points from 1998. Winners in 2000 once again outraised and outspent their 105 opponents more than two-to-one.

In the 70 contested races for a House seat in 2000, the candidate who spent the most money won 56 of 70 times, for a success rate of 80 percent. That percentage is down seven points from 1998 but higher than the 76 percent in 1996.

² Expenditures do not include debts incurred that had not been paid at the end of 2000. Also, total receipts do not include in-kind contributions, which are things of value other than money. House candidates reported a total of \$168,094 in in-kind contributions in 2000, just \$59 less than was reported in 1998.

HOUSE CANDIDATES' RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY SUCCESS OF CAMPAIGNS 2000

	Successful (160)	Unsuccessful (105)
Total Receipts	\$ 4,952,040	\$ 1,766,098
Average Receipts	\$ 30,950	\$ 16,820
Total Expenditures	\$ 4,834,206	\$ 1,809,420
Average Expenditures	\$ 30,214	\$ 17,233

Average receipts and expenditures for successful candidates rose by 10 percent and 7 percent, respectively. The averages were mixed for those who were not elected: the average amount raised increased less than 1 percent over 1998, while the average amount spent dropped about 1 percent.

II. Incumbents vs. Challengers

Incumbents enjoyed a total fundraising and spending advantage over their challengers once again in 2000: the 148 incumbents seeking re-election accounted for 64 percent of all funds raised and 63 percent of the total spending. Incumbents' share of the totals in 1998 was 55 percent for both receipts and expenditures. Averages receipts and expenditures increased over 1998 for both types of candidates, with incumbents leading the way. The average incumbents raised 12 percent more and spent 9 percent more in 2000. The increases for non-incumbents were 4 percent and 2 percent, respectively.

RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY INCUMBENCY 2000

	Incumbents (148)	Non-Incumbents (117)
Total Receipts	\$ 4,271,517	\$ 2,446,621
Average Receipts	\$ 28,862	\$ 20,911
Total Expenditures	\$ 4,158,724	\$ 2,484,902
Average Expenditures	\$ 28,099	\$ 21,238

(Incumbents are defined as those individuals holding the office of Representative at the time of the 2000 election and seeking re-election to the seat.)

Five House incumbents were defeated in their re-election campaigns: Evelyn Chesky (5th Hampden District), Walter DeFilippi (6th Hampden), Jack Keough (9th Hampden), William McManus (14th Worcester) and Ronny Sydney (15th Norfolk). Three – DeFilippi, McManus and Sydney – lost despite outspending their opponents, while Chesky and Keough were outspent by their successful opponents. (Chesky was also outspent by another, unsuccessful opponent.)

As with the Senate figures, spending by incumbents, especially those who were not opposed for re-election, may not have been all directly related to their campaigns. (See Section IV: Contested and Uncontested Races.)

III. Party Affiliation

Democrats once again greatly outnumbered Republicans and other political designations on the 200 ballot and also accounted for most of the financial activity of candidates. The 178 Democrats comprised 67 percent of all candidates, down five percentage points from 1998, but accounted for three-quarters of total fundraising and spending.

The predominance of Democrats is also reflected in the fundraising and spending averages, which showed Democrats once again leading Republicans in both categories by about \$9,000.

Average receipts and expenditures by all three political categories increased over 1998, but the rates of increase for the Republicans was substantially higher. Average receipts rose 11 percent and average expenditures increased 5 percent for Democrats, while Republicans saw increases of 44 and 48 percent. Receipts by the average unenrolled or "other" candidate rose 5 percent, but expenditures in this group were up 35 percent. Activity by the candidates in "other" designations varies each year, due to fluctuation in their numbers and the ability of a few candidates to skew a small sample. The number of House candidates who were not Democrats or Republicans rose from 11 in 1998 to 24 in 2000.

RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY PARTY AFFILIATION 2000

	Democrats (178)	Republicans (63)	Other (24)*
Total Receipts	\$ 5,094,252	\$ 1,530,755	\$ 93,132
Average Receipts	\$ 28,619	\$ 24,298	\$ 3,880
Total Expenditures	\$ 4,923,881	\$ 1,601,845	\$ 117,900
Average Expenditures	\$ 27,662	\$ 25,426	\$ 4,912

^{* &}quot;Other" affiliations include Libertarian, unenrolled, and other political designations.

IV. Contested and Uncontested Races

Of the 160 races for House seats, 90 featured only one candidate, which in all but one case was the incumbent. (That figure is up seven seats from 1998.) The remaining 70 were contested, featuring two or more candidates. (Seven incumbents had a single opponent who reported no campaign finance activity. They are still considered opposed in this study.)³

In the aggregate, candidates in contested races raised and spend more money than unopposed candidates in 2000. The pattern in the averages is mixed, however, with the average unopposed candidate raising 17 percent more but spending 4 percent less than the average opposed candidate.

RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES BY HOUSE CANDIDATES OPPOSED AND UNOPPOSED 2000

	<i>Opposed</i> (175)	Unopposed (90)*
Total Receipts	\$ 4,188,012	\$ 2,530,126
Average receipts	\$ 23,931	\$ 28,113
Total Expenditures	\$ 4,444,832	\$ 2,198,794
Average Expenditures	\$ 25,399	\$ 24,431

^{*}Eighty-nine of the unopposed candidates were incumbents.

All four of the above averages represented increases over 1998, with the greatest jumps in activity by unopposed candidates. Average receipts by opposed candidates rose 1 percent over 1998, while spending rose 2 percent. For unopposed candidates, average receipts increased 35 percent and spending rose 28 percent over 1998.

CONTESTED SEATS

The 70 contested House races in 2000 can be grouped in two categories: contests for open seats and contests featuring an incumbent facing opposition. Of the two types of races, those featuring open seats were the most active in terms of average amounts spent and raised. The contested races involving incumbents, which featured the higher total of candidates, also featured the higher total amounts of receipts and expenditures.

³ Some studies of legislative races use other criteria in determining whether a race was "contested" or whether an incumbent was "opposed," looking at such factors as whether an opponent was a minor or fringe candidate or if the winner exceed a certain margin of victory. This study considered a race contested if there were two or more candidates for the seat, regardless of the outcome.

There were contests for 11 *open House seats* (one candidate was unopposed for the 12th open seat, which is addressed below under "Uncontested Seats"):

District		
3 rd Barnstable	Nancy Caffyn (R)	Matthew Patrick (D)
1st Bristol	Barbara Hyland (R)	Michael Coppola (R)
5 th Bristol	Joan Menard (D)	Patricia Haddad (D)
1 st Essex	Kevin Finnegan (R)	Paul Tirone (D)
9 th Essex	Steven Angelo (D)	Mark Falzone (D)
6th Middlesex	John Stasik (D)	Deborah Blumer (D)
8 th Middlesex	Barbara Gardner (D)	Paul Loscocco (R)
9 th Middlesex	David Gately (I)	Thomas Stanley (D)
38 th Middlesex	Anthony Giglio (D)	Paul Donato (D)
3rd Plymouth	Mary Jeanette Murray (R)	Garrett Bradley (D)
13th Worcester	Harriette Chandler (D)	Robert Spellane (D)

The 44 candidates for these open seats reported raising a total of \$1,171,730, or an average of \$26,630 each, and spending \$1,232,093, or an average of \$28,002 each.

There were 59 *contested races featuring an incumbent*, in which the incumbent won 54 times. In those races, the 131 candidates reported raising \$3,016,282, or an average of \$23,025, and spending \$3,212,738, or an average of \$24,525.

UNCONTESTED SEATS

In 2000, 90 candidates were unopposed for election; all but one, Karyn Polito of the 11th Worcester District, were incumbents. These candidates reported raising \$2,530,126, or \$28,113 each, and spending \$2,198,794, or \$24,431 per candidate.

Historically, much of the expenditures by incumbents, both unopposed and opposed, are not necessarily related directly to their campaigns (such as bumper stickers or advertisements), but were geared toward constituent or legislative expenses. The campaign finance law allows campaign funds to be used for such expenses as maintaining a district office, charitable contributions and official or political travel that is not otherwise paid for by the Commonwealth.

V. Starting Balances

Candidates reported having \$3,589,700 on hand at the start of 2000, which was about \$1 million more than the total amount on hand at the start of 1998. As a rule, incumbents and well-established or returning challengers usually have money on hand at the start of an election season. Generally, new candidates do not organize their campaigns until the year in which the election is held, accounting for significantly lower

beginning balances among non-incumbents. A head start in fundraising is often accompanied by success at the polls: of the total amount on hand at the start of the year, 74 percent was held by eventual winners.

The largest starting balances in 2000 were usually held by incumbents, many of whom ultimately had no opposition. Incumbents controlled 95 percent of the \$3.6 million on hand at the start of the election year, up five percentage points from 1998. The average incumbent had \$23.144 on hand on Jan. 1; the average non-incumbent starting balance was \$1,404, though that figure is artificially deflated by the fact that many candidates had not even organized their committees at that time and would therefore have shown no balance. A total of 75 non-incumbents, or 64 percent of the category, started the year with no balance or no committee.

The list of the candidates with the 10 highest starting balances consists entirely of incumbent Democrats, all of whom subsequently won re-election in 2000. Nine were unopposed.

HOUSE CANDIDATES WITH HIGHEST STARTING BALANCES

(As of Jan. 1, 2000)

	Candidate	District	Starting balance	Opposed	Elected
1.	Thomas M. Finneran (I)(D)	12th Suffolk	\$337,528	No	Yes
2.	Paul Haley (I)(D)	4th Norfolk	\$179,814	No	Yes
3.	Paul Caron (I)(D)	11th Hampden	\$169,378	No	Yes
4.	Antonio F. Cabral (I)(D)	13th Bristol	\$107,211	No	Yes
5.	Salvatore DiMasi (I)(D)	3rd Suffolk	\$104,572	No	Yes
6.	John J. Binienda, Sr. (I)(D)	17th Worcester	\$87,633	No	Yes
7.	Joseph C. Sullivan (I)(D)	5th Norfolk	\$84,443	No	Yes
8.	Thomas Petrolati (I)(D)	7th Hampden	\$78,009	Yes	Yes
9.	Rachel Kaprielian (I)(D)	32nd Middlesex	\$74,484	No	Yes
10.	Arthur Stephen Tobin (I)(D)	2nd Norfolk	\$74,260	No	Yes

1=Incumbent D=Democrat

VI. Most Active Candidates and Races

Of the 10 most expensive House races in 2000, seven were won by non-incumbents and three by incumbents. Five of the seven non-incumbents won open seats, while the other two defeated incumbents.

The most expensive race was in the 3rd Plymouth District, where four candidates ran to succeed retiring Rep. Mary Jeanette Murray. Of the total expenditures in that race of \$234,231, the winner and top spender, Garrett Bradley, accounted for \$129,480, or 55 percent.

HOUSE RACES WITH THE HIGHEST SPENDING 2000

	District Name	Total Spent	Number of candidates	Winner
1.	3rd Plymouth (O)	\$234,231	4	Garrett Bradley (D)
2.	13th Worcester (O)	\$165,509	5	Robert Spellane (D)
3.	2nd Essex	\$158,406	2	Harriett Stanley (D)(I)
4.	14th Worcester	\$156,938	3	James Leary (D)
5.	1st Middlesex	\$155,050	2	Robert Hargraves (R)(I)
6.	6th Hampden	\$129,750	2	Stephen Buoniconti (D)
7.	8th Middlesex (O)	\$127,128	4	Paul Loscocco (R)
8.	14th Norfolk	\$119,983	2	John Locke (R)(I)
9.	9th Essex (O)	\$117,107	4	Mark Falzone (D)
10.	9th Middlesex (O)	\$113,111	2	Thomas Stanley (D)

O=Open seat. I = Incumbent. D=Democrat. R=Republican

The least expensive contested race was in the 6th Norfolk District, where Democratic incumbent William C. Galvin and challenger Richard Yampell spent a combined \$3,803. Yampell raised and spent \$696.

TOP FUNDRAISERS AND SPENDERS

The list of top House fundraisers in 2000 contains five incumbents and nine Democrats. Seven candidates were in contested races; of that number, six were elected. Three of the opposed candidate were seeking open seats.

HOUSE CANDIDATES RAISING THE MOST MONEY IN 2000

	Candidate	District	Receipts	Opposed	Elected
1.	Thomas M. Finneran (I)(D)	12th Suffolk	\$266,082	No	Yes
2.	Garrett Bradley (D)	3rd Plymouth (O)	\$94,671	Yes	Yes
3.	Jarrett Barrios (I)(D)	28th Middlesex	\$82,265	No	Yes
4.	Joseph McManus (D)	1st Middlesex	\$80,024	Yes	No
5.	Paul Haley (I)(D)	4th Norfolk	\$76,410	No	Yes
6.	Paul Demakis (I)(D)	8th Suffolk	\$73,772	Yes	Yes
7.	Michael J. Coppola (R)	1st Bristol (O)	\$72,512	Yes	Yes
8.	Paul J. Donato (D)	38th Middlesex (O)	\$69,803	Yes	Yes
9.	Harold Naughton Jr. (I)(D)	12th Worcester	\$68,195	Yes	Yes
10.	James B. Leary (D)	14th Worcester	\$67,391	Yes	Yes

O=Open seat. I = Incumbent. D=Democrat. R=Republican

Thomas Finneran, who is Speaker of the House, also topped the receipts list in 1998, with a total of \$152,356. Bradley, Haley and Demakis were also in the top ten for receipts in 1998.

Ten candidates reported no receipts in 2000; none was an incumbent and none was elected. The winning candidate who raised the least amount of money was unopposed incumbent Michael Ruane of the 7th Essex District, who reported total receipts of \$813. Ruane spent \$1,490, using money already on hand at the start of 2000. The winning opposed candidate raising the least was William Greene of the 24th Middlesex District, who raised \$2,625.

The top ten list of candidates in terms of spending included six incumbents and four non-incumbents. Eight candidates were opposed, of which five were elected. The list includes seven Democrats, two Republicans and one unenrolled candidate. Three of the candidates were seeking open seats.

HOUSE CANDIDATES SPENDING THE MOST MONEY IN 2000

	Candidate	District	Expenditures	Opposed	Elected?
1.	Thomas M. Finneran (I)(D)	12th Suffolk	\$298,905	No	Yes
2.	Garrett Bradley (D)	3rd Plymouth (O)	\$126,480	Yes	Yes
3.	Harriett L. Stanley (I)(D)	2nd Essex	\$108,953	Yes	Yes
4.	Joseph McManus (D)	1st Middlesex	\$89,004	Yes	No
5.	Harold Naughton Jr. (I)(D)	12th Worcester	\$79,680	Yes	Yes
6.	William J. McManus II* (I)	14th Worcester	\$74,398	Yes	No
7.	Mark V. Falzone (D)	9th Essex (O)	\$74,099	Yes	Yes
8.	Paul Caron (I)(D)	11th Hampden	\$72,973	No	Yes
9.	Michael J. Coppola (R)	1st Bristol (O)	\$72,297	Yes	Yes
10.	Walter DeFilippi (R) (I)	6th Hampden	\$69,167	Yes	No

^{*} McManus was a Democrat in previous elections for his seat but switch to "unenrolled" in 2000. O=Open seat. I = Incumbent. D=Democrat. R=Republican

Finneran was also first in spending in 1998, with a total of \$151,453. He and Bradley are the only two candidates who also appeared on the 1998 list.

Eleven candidates reported spending no money in 2000; none was an incumbent and none was elected. Those 11 candidates include the 10 who reported no receipts plus one candidate who received \$5.38 for the year and spent nothing. The winning candidate who spent the least amount of money was unopposed incumbent Michael Ruane, who as noted above was also the winning candidate who raised the smallest amount. Ruane reported expenditures of \$1,490. The winning candidate in a contested race who spent the least was William C. Galvin of the 6th Norfolk District, who reported expenditures of \$3,107.

VII. Ending Balances

House candidates reported a total ending balance of \$3,661,609 as of Dec. 31, 2000, the closing date of their final campaign finance reports for the election. The ending balance is about \$72,000 less than the total starting balance as of Jan. 1, 2000.

For each category, the largest shares of cash on hand at the end of 2000 were held by incumbents (97 percent), Democrats (90 percent), winners (98 percent) and unopposed candidates (78 percent). Each of these figures represents an increase of a few percentage points over the 1998 year-end figures; the largest increase was in the share held by unopposed candidates, which rose 13 points from two years before.

All of the candidates with the ten highest ending balances were incumbents who had been unopposed for re-election. All were Democrats.

HOUSE CANDIDATES WITH THE HIGHEST ENDING BALANCES

(As of Dec. 31, 2000)

	Candidate	District	Ending Balance	Opposed	Elected
1.	Thomas M. Finneran (I)(D)	12th Suffolk	\$304,555	No	Yes
2.	Paul Haley (I)(D)	4th Norfolk	\$206,998	No	Yes
3.	Paul Caron (I)(D)	11th Hampden	\$158,761	No	Yes
4.	John J. Binienda, Sr. (I)(D)	17th Worcester	\$111,044	No	Yes
5.	Antonio F. Cabral (I)(D)	13th Bristol	\$107,649	No	Yes
6.	Salvatore DiMasi (I)(D)	3rd Suffolk	\$100,877	No	Yes
7.	Jarrett Barrios (I)(D)	28th Middlesex	\$99,364	No	Yes
8.	Rachel Kaprielian (I)(D)	32nd Middlesex	\$95,334	No	Yes
9.	Joseph C. Sullivan (I)(D)	5th Norfolk	\$95,296	No	Yes
10	William P. Nagle Jr. (I)(D)	1st Hampshire	\$84,339	No	Yes

[.] I = Incumbent. D=Democrat.

Caron had posted the highest ending balance in 1998, with \$161,432.

There were 35 candidates who reported no money on hand at the end of 2000, all non-incumbents who were not successful. The incumbent with the least cash on hand at

⁴ This ending balance may not correspond exactly with the aggregate starting balance, total receipts and total expenditures because of math errors on reports filed by some candidates.

year-end was Carol Cleven of the 16th Middlesex District, who reported a balance of \$163. Cleven was opposed for re-election and spent \$12,597 in 2000.

A table of campaign finance activity by all House candidates may be found in Appendix D, following this section.

Appendix C

Aggregate Campaign Finance Activity by House Candidates 1990-2000

	1990	1992	1994	1996	1998	2000
Candidates	401	361	323	280	296	265
Total received	\$ 6,787,691	\$ 6,342,000	\$ 5,662,804	\$ 5,165,929	\$ 6,765,000	\$ 6,718,138
Average received	\$ 16,927	\$ 17,568	\$ 17,532	\$ 18,450	\$ 22,855	\$ 25,351
Total spent	\$ 7,129,457	\$ 6,250,385	\$ 5,488,771	\$ 5,065,065	\$ 6,875,821	\$ 6,643,626
Average spent	\$ 17,779	\$ 17,314	\$ 16,993	\$ 18,090	\$ 23,229	\$ 25,070

Appendix D
Campaign Finance Activity in the 2000 House Races

District	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>End</u>
1st Ba	<u>arnstable</u>							
	Thomas George	. R	1	W	\$14,011.65	\$10,363.85	\$13,512.81	\$10,862.69
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 1				\$14,011.65	\$10,363.85	\$13,512.81	\$10,862.69
2nd B	<u>arnstable</u>			•				
	Demetrius Atsalis	D	1	W	\$11,068.70	\$49,949.00	\$47,202.28	\$13,815.42
	Lawrence A. Zalis	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$3,075.00	\$2,797.93	\$277.07
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 2				\$11,068.70	\$53,024.00	\$50,000.21	\$14,092.49
3rd B	<u>arnstable</u>							
	Kevin Donovan	D	N	L	\$2,200.00	\$7,395.00	\$9,018.08	\$576.92
	Matthew C. Patrick	D	N	W	\$329.05	\$28,563.00	\$20,150.16	\$2,735.09
	David T. Vieira	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$29,014.00	\$27,648.70	\$1,365.30
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 3		•		\$2,529.05	\$64,972.00	\$62,822.94	\$4,678.11
4th Ba	<u>arnstable</u>							
	Shirley A. Gomes	R	I	w	\$13,037.05	\$2,660.96	\$3,269.99	\$12,428.02
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 1				\$13,037.05	\$2,660.96	\$3,269.99	\$12,428.02
Legen	d· D = Democrat: B = I	Renublica	n: O = Other	/unenrolled	I = Incumbent: N =	= Non-incumbent		

<u>District</u>	Candidate	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
Barnst Nantuo	able, Dukes &							
	Donald P. McKeag	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$62,434.00	\$61,752.20	\$681.80
	Eric Turkington	D	1.	W	\$32,794.06	\$41,505.04	\$37,883.39	\$36,415.71
Total Can	didates for Seat: 2				\$32,794.06	\$103,939.04	\$99,635.59	\$37,097.51
1st Be	<u>rkshire</u>							
	Daniel Bosley	D	1	W	\$6,374.34	\$36,533.02	\$41,535.55	\$1,371.81
Total Can	aldates for Seat : 1				\$6,374.34	\$36,533.02	\$41,535.55	\$1,371.81
2nd Be	<u>erkshire</u>							
	Andrew Baker	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$22,320.99	\$21,164.02	\$1,156.97
	Shaun Kelly	R	I	W	\$20,243.97	\$25,568.96	\$34,610.20	\$11,202.73
Total Can	didates for Seat : 2				\$20,243.97	\$47,889.95	\$55,774.22	\$12,359.70
3rd Be	rkshire							
	Peter Larkin	D	1	W	\$9,250.70	\$31,041.20	\$31,537.61	\$8,754.29
Total Can	didates for Seat : 1				\$9,250.70	\$31,041.20	\$31,537.61	\$8,754.29
4th Be	<u>rkshire</u>							
	Christopher J. Hodgkins	s D	1	W	\$6,960.18	\$2,849.19	\$4,066.41	\$5,742.96
	Bill Tyer	R	Ν	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Can	didates for Seat : 2				\$6,960.18	\$2,849.19	\$4,066.41	\$5,742.96

District Candidate		<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
1st Bristol								
Michael J. (Coppola	R	. N	W	\$0.00	\$72,512.24	\$72,296.66	\$215.58
Dianne Roy	le	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$13,030.00	\$13,030.00	\$0.00
Ellen Rubir	-Ohearn	0	N	L	\$0.00	\$236.37	\$236.37	\$0.00
Edward Tai	tufo	R	, N	, L	\$0.00	\$8,555.00	\$6,956.57	\$1,598.43
Total Candidates for Sea	t· 4				\$0.00	\$94,333.61	\$92,519.60	\$1,814.01
2nd Bristol								
John Leppe	r	R	I	W	\$6,483.22	\$8,220.00	\$10,848.07	\$3,855.15
Total Candidates for Sea	t: 1				\$6,483.22	\$8,220.00	\$10,848.07	\$3,855.15
3rd Bristol								
James H. F	agan	D	1	W	\$1.978.95	\$19.810.20	\$18,994.94	\$2,794.21
Total Candidates for Sea	t: 1				\$1,978.95	\$19,810.20	\$18,994.94	\$2,794.21
4th Bristol					•			
Philip Travi	3	D	· 1	W	\$457.43	\$11,150.00	\$11,161.66	\$445.77
Total Candidatos for Sea	t: 1			e e	\$457.43	\$11,150.00	\$11,161.66	\$445.77

41

<u>District</u>	Candidate	<u>Party</u>	Incumbont?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
5th B	ristol							
	Jordan H. F. Fiore	R	N.	L	\$274.14	\$4,070.20	\$4,320.63	\$23.71
	Patricia A. Haddad	D	, , , N	W	\$62.16	\$27,929.76	\$25,726.62	\$2,265.30
	Patrick O'Neil	D	. N	L .	\$108.60	\$7,405.00	\$7,494.81	\$18.79
	Gary Pritchett	R	Ν		\$152.13	\$6,098.00	\$5,878.66	\$371.47
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat: 4				\$597.03	\$45,502.96	\$43,420.72	\$2,679.27
6th B	ristol		• .					
	David B. Sullivan	D	I	W	\$2,759.89	\$25,050.00	\$15,323.57	\$12,486.32
lotal Ca	ndidates for Seat : 1				\$2,759.89	\$25,050.00	\$15,323.57	\$12,486.32
7th B	ristol							
	Robert Correia	D	1	W	\$9,505.03	\$52,271.45	\$56,033.15	\$5,743.33
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 1				\$9,505.03	\$52,271.45	\$56,033.15	\$5,743.33
8th B	<u>ristol</u>							
	Michael J. Rodrigues	D	i	W	\$7,032.36	\$24,400.12	\$29,413.61	\$2,018.87
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 1				\$7,032.36	\$24,400.12	\$29,413.61	\$2,018.87
9th B	ristol							
	John F. Quinn	D	I	W	\$56,800.05	\$32,899.66	\$18,494.33	\$71,205.38
Total Ca	andidates for Seat : 1				\$56,800.05	\$32,899.66	\$18,494.33	\$71,205.38

<u>District</u> <u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>End</u>
10th Bristol							
Anthony Kandel	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$250.00	\$250.00	\$0.00
William Straus	, 1 <mark>D</mark>	1.	W	\$12,516.25	\$23,552.90	\$19,327.06	\$16,742.09
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$12,516.25	\$23,802.90	\$19,577.06	\$16,742.09
11th Bristol							
Robert M. Koczera	D.	1	W	\$28,343.14	\$8,181.02	\$5,103.83	\$31,420.33
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$28,343.14	\$8,181.02	\$5,103.83	\$31,420.33
12th Bristol	,						
Paul Koczera	D	N	· L	\$40.50	\$12,166.00	\$11,961.99	\$244.51
George Rogers	D	1	W	\$1,949.31	\$34,760.00	\$35,254.39	\$1,454.92
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$1,989.81	\$46,926.00	\$47,216.38	\$1,699.43
13th Bristol							
Antonio F Cabral	D	1	W	\$107,210.9	\$3,136.56	\$2,698.50	\$107,649.01
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$107,210.95	\$3,136.56	\$2,698.50	\$107,649.01
14th Bristol							
James McKenna	D	N	L	\$2,519.00	\$6,514.16	\$6,916.61	\$2,116.55
Elizabeth A. Poirie	r R	1	W	\$3,507.71	\$31,360.20	\$30,187.39	\$4,680.52
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$6,026.71	\$37,874.36	\$37,104.00	\$6,797.07

<u>District</u> <u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>End</u>
1st Essex							
Barbara Hildt	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$17,366.09	\$17,366.09	\$0.00
Daniel Pailes	. D	N	L	\$0.00	\$4,540.00	\$3,884.21	\$655.79
Joseph P. Sullivan	R	N	L.	\$1,458.00	\$45,451.63	\$46,775.34	\$134.24
Paul Tirone	D .	N ·	^{-1}M	\$0.00	\$15,194.09	\$15,122.53	\$71.50
Total Candidates for Seat: 4				\$1,458.00	\$82,551.81	\$83,148.17	\$861.53
2nd Essex							
William Early	R ·	N	L	\$0.00	\$51,080.00	\$49,453.93	\$1,626.07
Harriett L. Stanley	, D	.1	W	\$69,666.57	\$47,359.99	\$108,952.53	\$8.074.03
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$69,666.57	\$98,439.99	\$158,406.46	\$9,700.10
3rd Essex							
Brian S. Dempsey	D	. I	W	\$2,272.46	\$53,023.00	\$52,317.48	\$2,969.39
Michael J. Early	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$31,985.98	\$31,779.33	\$206.65
Total Candidates for Seat: 2				\$2,272.46	\$85,008.98	\$84,096.81	\$3,176.04
4th Essex							
Bradford R. Hill	R	1	W	\$5,867.63	\$13,105.00	\$10,632.12	\$8,340.51
Francis Mackay-Sm	ith o	N	L	\$0.00	\$2,505.00	\$2,505.00	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$5,867.63	\$15,610.00	\$13,137.12	\$8,340.51

<u>District</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	Start	<u>Receipts</u>	<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>End</u>
5th Es	ssex							
	Anthony J. Verga	D	ſ	W	\$8,754.18	\$3,838.55	\$4,838.34	\$7,754.39
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 1				\$8,754.18	\$3,838.55	\$4,838.34	\$7,754.39
6th Es	ssex							
	Michael P. Cahill	D	1	W	\$2,349.98	\$39,562.00	\$39,581.75	\$2,330.23
	Dean Cook	0	N	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Kerry Murphy Healey	R	N	L .	\$1.885.06	\$51,114.27	\$52,015.51	\$983.82
	Donato H. Paglia	0	N	L	\$0.00	\$692.00	\$689.52	\$2.48
	Stella Mae Seamans	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$13,554.90	\$13,237.02	\$317.88
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 5				\$4,235.04	\$104,923.17	\$105,523.80	\$3,634.41
7th Es	ssex			•				
	J. Michael Ruane	D	1	W	\$10,842.90	\$813.41	\$1,489.72	\$10,166.59
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 1				\$10,842.90	\$813.41	\$1,489.72	\$10,166.59
8th E	ssex				•			
	Douglas W. Petersen	D	1	W	\$11,321.96	\$9,312.74	\$12,945.91	\$7,688.79
	Dave Rizzo	0	N	L	\$4,328.75	\$2,065.00	\$6,182.50	\$211.25
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 2				\$15,650.71	\$11,377.74	\$19,128.41	\$7,900.04

<u>District</u> (<u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
9th Esse	v							
	-				40.00	004 400 00	.	4440
	ristie Ciampa Jr.	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$21,436.00	\$21,421.15	\$14.85
	tricia A. Cuddemi	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$15,874.00	\$14,562.25	\$1,311.75
	pert J. DiNardo	0	N	L	\$0.00	\$7,050.00	\$7,024.62	\$25.38
Ma	ark V. Falzone	D	. N	W	\$18,400.64	\$57,722.60	\$74,099.35	\$2,023.89
Total Candida	ates for Seat : 4				\$18,400.64	\$102,082.60	\$117,107.37	\$3,375.87
10th Ess	<u>ex</u>							
Ro	bert F. Fennell	, D	1	W	\$49,228.83	\$18,555.00	\$16,705.06	\$51,078.77
Total Candida	ates for Seat: 1				\$49,228.83	\$18,555.00	\$16,705.06	\$51,078.77
11th Ess	<u>ex</u>							
Th	omas M. McGee	D	1.	W	\$34,718.43	\$11,915.00	\$14,780.51	\$31,852.92
Total Candida	ates for Seat: 1				\$34,718.43	\$11,915.00	\$14,780.51	\$31,852.92
12th Ess	ex							
	— hn P. Slattery	D	1	W	\$39,431.60	\$13,763.63	\$11,634.17	\$41,561.06
Total Candida	ates for Seat: 1				\$39,431.60	\$13,763.63	\$11,634.17	\$41,561.06
13th Ess	ex							
		Б	NI		\$0.00	\$12,782.42	\$12,782.42	\$0.00
	ank J. Arrington	R -	N	L		•	•	
ſΓh	eodore C. Speliotis	S D	. 1	W	\$1,074.48	\$11,791.00	\$11,291.66	\$1,573.82
Total Candida	ates for Seat : 2				\$1,074.48	\$24,573.42	\$24,074.08	\$1,573.82

<u>District</u> <u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
14th Essex		-			·		
David Torrisi	D	1	W	\$18,706.26	\$15,650.49	\$7,016.72	\$27,340.03
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$18,706.26	\$15,650.49	\$7,016.72	\$27,340.03
15th Essex							
Arthur J. Broadhurst	D	1	W	\$7,581.87	\$9,962.96	\$6,105.88	\$11,438.95
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$7,581.87	\$9,962.96	\$6,105.88	\$11,438.95
16th Essex							
Israel Reyes	R	N	L	\$2,816.49	\$27,836.63	\$29,128.47	\$1,524.65
Jose L. Santiago	D	1	W	\$460.09	\$15,634.03	\$15,847.45	\$246.67
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$3,276.58	\$43,470.66	\$44,975.92	\$1,771.32
17th Essex							
Barry R. Finegold	, D	1	w	\$13,556.58	\$37,676.00	\$47,835.07	\$3,397.51
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$13,556.58	\$37,676.00	\$47,835.07	\$3,397.51
1st Franklin							
Stephen Kulik	D	Ī	W	\$17,257.75	\$11,363.71	\$12,312.00	\$16,309.46
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$17,257.75	\$11,363.71	\$12,312.00	\$16,309.46
2nd Franklin							
John F. Merrigan	D	1	W	\$309.32	\$7,615.05	\$7,754.65	\$169.72
	U		νν ,				
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$309.32	\$7,615.05	\$7,754.65	\$169.72

<u>District</u> <u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
1st Hampden							
Reed Hillman	R	1	W	\$26,184.70	\$24,784.66	\$14,712.70	\$36,256.66
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$26,184.70	\$24,784.66	\$14,712.70	\$36,256.66
2nd Hampden							
Mary S. Rogeness	R	1	W	\$42,885.57	\$16,048.81	\$13,456.49	\$45,477.89
Alan Wilcox	, O -	N	L	\$0.00	\$1,240.00	\$1,240.00	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$42,885.57	\$17,288.81	\$14,696.49	\$45,477.89
3rd Hampden							
Daniel F. Keenan	D	1	W	\$2,623.45	\$11,214.00	\$10,503.95	\$3,333.50
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$2,623.45	\$11,214.00	\$10,503.95	\$3,333.50
4th Hampden							
Celia Hahn	R	ı	W	\$32,522.07	\$11,108.96	\$16,920.71	\$26,710.32
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$32,522.07	\$11,108.96	\$16,920.71	\$26,710.32
5th Hampden							
Jose R. Candelario	. D	N	. L.	\$0.00	\$55.00	\$55.00	\$0.00
Evelyn Chesky	D	1	,L	\$2,671.28	\$20,540.00	\$22,039.78	\$1,171.22
Kevin A. Jourdain	R	N	L	\$595.14	\$29,271.50	\$29,321.40	\$545.24
Michael F. Kane	D,	N	w	\$1,738.87	\$60,548.12	\$60,860.14	\$1,426.85
Total Candidates for Seat: 4				\$5,005.29	\$110,414.62	\$112,276.32	\$3,143.31

<u>District</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	Receipts	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
6th Ha	<u>ampden</u>							
	Stephen J. Buoniconti	D	· N	W	\$8,754.81	\$53,072.16	\$60,583.00	\$1,142.14
	Walter DeFilippi	, R	1	· L	\$14,206.90	\$58,080.10	\$69,167.21	\$3,119.79
Total Car	ndidates for Seat: 2				\$22,961.71	\$111,152.26	\$129,750.21	\$4,261.93
7th Ha	ampden							
	William E. Johnson	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$33,410.00	\$32,910.88	\$499.12
	Thomas Petrolati	D	Ì	W	\$78,008.57	\$30,440.90	\$43,419.33	\$71,036.20
Total Car	ndidates for Seat: 2				\$78,008.57	\$69,856.96	\$76,330.21	\$71,535.32
8th Ha	ampden							
	Joseph F. Wagner	D	I	W	\$8,426.77	\$22,657.66	\$27,644.57	\$3,439.86
Total Car	ndidates for Seat : 1				\$8,426.77	\$22,657.66	\$27,644.57	\$3,439.86
9th Ha	ampden							
	Christopher Asselin	D	N	W	\$3,262.06	\$54,885.00	\$49,783.80	\$8,363.26
	Jack Keough	D	1	L	\$3,303.78	\$45,343.54	\$40,624.88	\$8,022.44
	Scott T. Theriault	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Car	ndidates for Seat : 3				\$6,565.84	\$100,228.54	\$90,408.68	\$16,385.70
<u> 10th F</u>	lampden							
	Cheryl Rivera	D D	i	W	\$12,138.92	\$49,767.26	\$33,959.48	\$27,946.70
Total Car	ndidates for Seat: 1				\$12,138.92	\$49,767.26	\$33,959.48	\$27,946.70

<u>District</u> <u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>End</u>
11th Hampden							
Paul Caron	D	1	W	\$169,377.6	\$62,355.91	\$72,972.73	\$158,760.82
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$169,377.64	\$62,355.91	\$72,972.73	\$158,760.82
12th Hampden							
Leon Moultrie	D	N	1 -	\$0.00	\$9,595.27	\$9,595 27	\$0.00
H. Lavalle Smith	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$3,480.00	\$3,480.00	\$0.00
Benjamin Swan	D	1	w	\$2,666.00	\$29,595.40	\$28,777.37	\$3,484.03
Total Candidates for Seat : 3				\$2,666.00	\$42,670.67	\$41,852.64	\$3,484.03
13th Hampden		• .					
Gale D. Candaras	D	1	W	\$25,083.08	\$26,180.00	\$14,443.74	\$36,819.34
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$25,083.08	\$26,180.00	\$14,443.74	\$36,819.34
1st Hampshire							
William P. Nagle Jr.	D	ı	W	\$68,111.43	\$55,550.00	\$39,322.47	\$84,338.96
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$68,111.43	\$55,550.00	\$39,322.47	\$84,338.96
2nd Hampshire							
Nancy A. Flavin	D	1	W	\$30,944.95	\$28,045.71	\$24,008.72	\$34,981.94
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$30,944.95	\$28,045.71	\$24,008.72	\$34,981.94

<u>District</u> <u>Candidato</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbont?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>End</u>
3rd Hampshire			•				
Terry Franklin	0	N	L	\$0.00	\$60.38	\$60.38	\$0.00
Ellen Story	D	1	W	\$15,977.52	\$12,249.57	\$10,676.07	\$17,551.02
Total Candidates for Seat :	2			\$15,977.52	\$12,309.95	\$10,736.45	\$17,551.02
1st Middlesex							
Robert S. Hargra	ves R	1	W	\$41,360.79	\$45,008.56	\$66,045.71	\$20,323.64
Joseph McManu	5 D	Ν	L	\$9,031.34	\$80,024.00	\$89,004.12	\$51.22
Total Candidates for Seat :	2			\$50,392.13	\$125,032.56	\$155,049.83	\$20,374.86
2nd Middlesex		•					
Joseph Fischetti	0	N	L	\$0.00	\$570.77	\$570.77	\$0.00
Geoffrey Hall	D	1	W	\$7,042.16	\$7,593.18	\$10,338.37	\$4,296.97
Total Candidates for Seat :	2			\$7,042.16	\$8,163.95	\$10,909.14	\$4,296.97
3rd Middlesex							
Elmer Eubanks	R	N	L	\$625.00	\$13,680.00	\$14,013.83	\$291.17
Patricia A. Walra	th D	Ī	W	\$25,707.24	\$29,610.38	\$27,658.36	\$27,659.26
Total Candidates for Seat :	2			\$26,332.24	\$43,290.38	\$41,672.19	\$27,950.43
4th Middlesex							
Stephen P. LeDu	ic D	·	w	\$4,435.87	\$26,968.29	\$21,760.84	\$9,643.32
Total Candidates for Seat :	1 .			\$4,435.87	\$26,968.29	\$21,760.84	\$9,643.32

<u>District</u> <u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	Receipts	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
5th Middlesex							
David Linsky	D	I	W	\$4,834.31	\$11,799.96	\$14,150.23	\$2,484.04
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$4,834.31	\$11,799.96	\$14,150.23	\$2,484.04
6th Middlesex							
Gerald L. Bloomfield	0	N	· L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Deborah Blumer	D	Ν	W	\$0.00	\$50,492.67	\$48,845.73	\$1,646.94
Christopher J. Petrini	D	N	L	\$4,906.81	\$40,670.68	\$45,422.76	\$154.73
Jerry M. Vengrow	R	N	L	\$2,995.40	\$4,340.00	\$5,944.65	\$1,390.75
Total Candidates for Seat: 4	-			\$7,902.21	\$95,503.35	\$100,213.14	\$3,192.42
7th Middlesex							
Carlton I. Garfield	0	. N	L .	\$0.00	\$500.00	\$500.00	\$0.00
John P. Kirwan	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$11,025.00	\$10,857.09	\$167.91
John A. Stefanini	D .	I	W	\$29,081.61	\$31,701.27	\$51,376.63	\$9,406.25
Total Candidates for Seat: 3				\$29,081.61	\$43,226.27	\$62,733.72	\$9,574.16
8th Middlesex							
Robert Cassidy	Đ	N	L	\$0.00	\$14,180.82	\$13,580.72	\$600.10
Paul J.P. Loscocco	R	N	W	\$0.00	\$62,246.04	\$61,281.48	\$964.56
Edward J. Mills	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$35,420.15	\$34,370.22	\$1,049.93
Kelly Roney	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$18,155.16	\$17,895.99	\$259.17
Total Candidates for Seat : 4				\$0.00	\$130,002.17	\$127,128.41	\$2,873.76

<u>District</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	Start	<u>Receipts</u>	Expenditures	<u>End</u>	
9th Mi	<u>ddlesex</u>								
	Daniel J. MacKenzie	0	N	L	\$0.00	\$1,020.97	\$1,015.07	\$5.90	
	Michael R. Squillante	R	N	L	\$4,045.07	\$37,677.38	\$40,308.29	\$1,414.16	
	Thomas M. Stanley	D	N	W	\$5,941.53	\$52,159.00	\$57,405.84	\$694.69	
	Mila Ruth Tarallo	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$14,550.00	\$14,381.77	\$168.23	
Total Car	ndidates for Seat : 4				\$9,986.60	\$105,407.35	\$113,110.97	\$2,282.98	
<u>10th N</u>	<u>/liddlesex</u>								
	Peter Koutoujian	. D	I	W	\$40,313.18	\$65,380.00	\$38,486.95	\$67,206.05	
Total Car	ndidates for Seat: 1				\$40,313.18	\$65,380.00	\$38,486.95	\$67,206.05	
<u>11th N</u>	<u>/liddlesex</u>								
	Ruth B. Balser	D	1	W	\$8,624.10	\$14,399.00	\$8,250.95	\$14,772.15	
Total Car	ndidates for Seat : 1				\$8,624.10	\$14,399.00	\$8,250.95	\$14,772.15	
12th N	<u> Middlesex</u>								
	Jay A. Drapkin	0	N	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Kay S. Khan	D	1	. W	\$8,628.89	\$27,613.78	\$25,062.66	\$11,180.01	
Total Car	ndidates for Seat : 2				\$8,628.89	\$27,613.78	\$25,062.66	\$11,180.01	
<u>13th N</u>	<u>//iddlesex</u>						•		
	Judy Deutsch	D	N	L	\$4,226.55	\$23,870.70	\$24,251.10	\$3,846.15	
	Irwin L. Jungreis	0	N	L	\$0.00	\$8,300.32	\$8,300.32	\$0.00	
	Susan W. Pope	R		W	\$38,778.10	\$18,229.48	\$20,307.49	\$36,700.09	
Total Car	ndidates for Seat: 3				\$43,004.65	\$50,400.50	\$52,858.91	\$40,546.24	
House	Candidates 2000				53		Office of Campa	ign and Political Financ	Э

<u>District</u> <u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	Receipts	<u>Expenditures</u>	End
14th Middlesex							
Cory Atkins	, D	1	W	\$665.32	\$39,054.69	\$32,056.95	\$7,663.06
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$665.32	\$39,054.69	\$32,056.95	\$7,663.06
15th Middlesex							
Jay R. Kaufman	D	I	w	\$11,402.68	\$4,870.23	\$8,327.82	\$10,945.09
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$14,402.68	\$4,870.23	\$8,327.82	\$10,945.09
16th Middlesex							
Carol Cleven	R	1.	W	\$2,881.74	\$9,878.32	\$12,597.19	\$162.87
Patrick El-Azem	0	N	L	\$0.00	\$1,120.00	\$1,120.00	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat: 2				\$2,881.74	\$10,998.32	\$13,717.19	\$162.87
17th Middlesex							
Thomas Golden Jr.	D	I	W	\$11,099.84	\$18,201.23	\$14,097.75	\$15,203.32
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$11,099.84	\$18,201.23	\$14,097.75	\$15,203.32
18th Middlesex							•
David Nangle	D	1	W	\$3,864.16	\$23,358.93	\$13,763.91	\$13,459.18
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$3,864.16	\$23,358.93	\$13,763.91	\$13,459.18
19th Middlesex							
Kevin J. Murphy	D	l .	W	\$11,421.79	\$8,530.00	\$10,264.82	\$9,686.97
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$11,421.79	\$8,530.00	\$10,264.82	\$9,686.97
House Candidates 2000				54		Office of Campa	ign and Political Finance

<u>District</u> <u>Candidate</u>	<u> Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	Receipts	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
20th Middlesex							
Salvatore Marino	. D	N	L	\$0.00	\$1,035.00	\$545.18	\$489.82
James Miceli	D	I	W	\$284.85	\$24,066.79	\$23,395.98	\$955.66
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$284.85	\$25,101.79	\$23,941.16	\$1,445.48
21st Middlesex							
Bradley Jones Jr.	R	1	W	\$24,415.56	\$19,435.86	\$13,632.72	\$30,218.70
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$24,415.56	\$19,435.86	\$13,632.72	\$30,218.70
22nd Middlesex							
Brian M. Cresta	R	1	W	\$11,471.63	\$15,994.00	\$15,330.32	\$12,135.31
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$11,471.63	\$15,994.00	\$15,330.32	\$12,135.31
23rd Middlesex		*					
Charles A. Murphy	D	$\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{I}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$	w	\$4,889.91	\$22,539.11	\$16,261.17	\$10,967.65
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$4,889.91	\$22,539.11	\$16,261.17	\$10,967.65
24th Middlesex							
William G. Greene, Jr.	D	1	W	\$4,939.68	\$2,625.32	\$4,030.93	\$3,534.07
James D. Mollison	0	. N	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$4,939.68	\$2,625.32	\$4,030.93	\$3,534.07

<u>District</u>	Candidate	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	Receipts	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
25th M	<u>liddlesex</u>							
	Richard Arena	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$16,510.00	\$14,489.86	\$2,020.14
	James Marzilli	D	. 1	W	\$19,700.35	\$28,380.24	\$31,180.63	\$16,899.96
Total Car	ndidates for Seat: 2				\$19,700.35	\$44,890.24	\$45,670.49	\$18,920.10
26th M	<u>liddlesex</u>							
	Anne Paulsen	D	1	W	\$8,704.37	\$11,495.22	\$5,213.49	\$14,986.10
Total Car	ndidates for Seat: 1				\$8,704.37	\$11,495.22	\$5,213.49	\$14,986.10
27th M	<u>liddlesex</u>							
	Alice Wolf	D	I	W	\$30,610.53	\$64,145.08	\$38,054.84	\$56,700.77
Total Car	ndidates for Seat : 1				\$30,610.53	\$64,145.08	\$38,054.84	\$56,700.77
28th M	<u>liddlesex</u>							
	Jarrett Barrios	D	I	W	\$40,882.22	\$82,265.33	\$23,783.43	\$99,364.12
Total Car	ndidates for Seat : 1				\$40,882.22	\$82,265.33	\$23,783.43	\$99,364.12
29th M	<u>liddlesex</u>							
	Timothy J. Toomey Jr.	D	1 .	W	\$9,709.32	\$32,995.90	\$34,046.56	\$8,658.66
l otal Car	ndidates for Seat : 1				\$9,709.32	\$32,995.90	\$34,046.56	\$8,658.66

<u>District</u> <u>Candidate</u>	<u>Parly</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
30th Middlesex							
Ronald Bargoot	0	N	L	\$106.00	\$350.00	\$440.00	\$16.00
Patricia Jehlen	D	I	W	\$6,745.14	\$9,899.88	\$13,099.56	\$3,545.46
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$6,851.14	\$10,249.88	\$13,539.56	\$3,561.46
31st Middlesex							
Edward Connolly	D	ı	W	\$8,183.21	\$14,877.16	\$14,431.29	\$8,629.08
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$8,183.21	\$14,877.16	\$14,431.29	\$8,629.08
32nd Middlesex							
Rachel Kaprielian	D	Ļ	W	\$74,484.43	\$28,370.00	\$7,520.85	\$95,333.58
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$74,484.43	\$28,370.00	\$7,520.85	\$95,333.58
33rd Middlesex							
Edward W. Crowley	0	N	L	\$0.00	\$7,971.22	\$7,463.25	\$507.97
Carol A. Donovan	D	. 1	W	\$11,620.39	\$21,428.66	\$31,431.96	\$1,617.09
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$11,620.39	\$29,399.88	\$38,895.21	\$2,125.06
34th Middlesex							
Paul C. Casey	n	1	W	\$12,360.09	\$33,706.84	\$43,582.65	\$2,484.28
Michael J. Rotondi	R	N	L	\$1,575.00	\$47,708.47	\$46,934.04	\$2,349.43
Total Candidates for Seat: 2				\$13,935.09	\$81,415.31	\$90,516.69	\$4,833.71

<u>District</u> <u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	Start	<u>Receipts</u>	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
35th Middlesex							
Michael E. Festa	D	1	W	\$6,066.76	\$16,003.47	\$20,297.07	\$1,773.16
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$6,066.76	\$16,003.47	\$20,297.07	\$1,773.16
36th Middlesex							
Christopher G. Fallon	D	ı	W	\$24,606.43	\$43,723.30	\$42,888.30	\$25,441.52
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$24,606.43	\$43,723.39	\$42,888.30	\$25,441.52
37th Middlesex		·					
Vincent Ciampa	D	, 1	W	\$17,922.79	\$15,282.64	\$7,013.94	\$26,191.49
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$17,922.79	\$15,282.64	\$7,013.94	\$26,191.49
38th Middlesex			•				
John F. Carey	D	N	L	\$1,825.50	\$9,510.00	\$11,272.25	\$63.25
James R. DiGiacomo	R	N	L	\$462.49	\$13,406.66	\$13,634.86	\$234.29
Paul J. Donato	D	N	W	\$8,549.27	\$69,802.62	\$67,674.12	\$10,677.77
Vera E. Meyer	0	N	L	\$0.00	\$310.00	\$300.00	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat: 4				\$10,837.26	\$93,029.28	\$92,881.23	\$10,975.31
39th Middlesex							
Colleen Garry	D	I	W	\$8,315.34	\$33,330.00	\$33,033.16	\$8,612.18
William Morin	R	N	I	\$0.00	\$1,136.00	\$1,052.46	\$83.54
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$8,315.34	\$34,466.00	\$34,085.62	\$8,695.72

<u>District</u> <u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>End</u>	
1st Norfolk								
Bruce J. Ayers	D	ı	W	\$6,052.31	\$7,850.97	\$6,240.65	\$7,662.63	
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$6,052.31	\$7,850.97	\$6,240.65	\$7,662.63	
2nd Norfolk								
Arthur Stephen Tobin	D	1	w	\$74,259.98	\$22,174.51	\$20,133.67	\$76,300.82	
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$74,259.98	\$22,174.51	\$20,133.67	\$76,300.82	
3rd Norfolk	•							
Ronald Mariano	D	. 1	W	\$65,125.85	\$29,155.09	\$10,913.07	\$83,367.87	
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$65,125.85	\$29,155.09	\$10,913.07	\$83,367.87	
4th Norfolk								
Paul Haley	D	1	W	\$179,813.6	\$76,410.24	\$49,225.70	\$206,998.18	
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$179,813.64	\$76,410.24	\$49,225.70	\$206,998.18	
5th Norfolk								
Joseph C. Sullivan	D	1	W	\$84,443.22	\$26,725.00	\$15,872.40	\$95,295.82	
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$84,443.22	\$26,725.00	\$15,872.40	\$95,295.82	
6th Norfolk								
William Galvin	D	1 .	W	\$4,960.13	\$9,985.00	\$3,106.77	\$11,838.36	
Richard A. Yampell	0	N	L	\$0.00	\$696.00	\$696.00	\$0.00	
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$4,960.13	\$10,681.00	\$3,802.77	\$11,838.36	
House Candidates 2000				59		Office of Campa	aign and Political Fin	ance

<u>District</u> <u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>End</u>
7th Norfolk							
Walter Timilty	D		W	\$17,148.51	\$25,105.00	\$25,795.16	\$16,458.35
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$17,148.51	\$25,105.00	\$25,795.16	\$16,458.35
8th Norfolk							
Louis Kafka	D	1	w .	\$3,010.06	\$0,243.15	\$8,241.16	\$4,012.05
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$3,010.06	\$9,243.15	\$8,241.16	\$4,012.05
9th Norfolk							
Scott Brown	R	1	w.	\$16,599.10	\$51,295.06	\$67,729.50	\$164.66
John D. Vozzella	. D	· N	L	\$787.52	\$7,376.23	\$6,085.85	\$2,077.90
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$17,386.62	\$58,671.29	\$73,815.35	\$2,242.56
10th Norfolk	-						
James E. Vallee	D	1	w	\$2,935.84	\$37,865.00	\$30,346.29	\$10,454.55
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$2,935.84	\$37,865.00	\$30,346.29	\$10,454.55
11th Norfolk							
Maryanne Lewis	D	1	W	\$30,792.43	\$39,565.44	\$35,193.47	\$35,164.40
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$30,792.43	\$39,565.44	\$35,193.47	\$35,164.40
12th Norfolk							
John H. Rogers	D	, I	W	\$41,294.32	\$25,011.65	\$21,897.31	\$44,408.66
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$41,294.32	\$25,011.65	\$21,897.31	\$44,408.66
House Candidates 2000				60		Office of Campa	ign and Political Finance

<u>District</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
<u>13th N</u>	<u>lorfolk</u>							
	Lida E. Harkins	D	. 1	W	\$20,242.71	\$29,730.00	\$37,728.86	\$12,243.85
	Sean E. Rickert	Н	N	L	\$0.00	\$6,740.00	\$4,905.56	\$1,834.44
Total Car	ndidates for Seat: 2				\$20,242.71	\$36,470.00	\$42,634.42	\$14,078.29
<u>14th N</u>	lorfolk	•						
	Lawrence R. Kaplan	D	N	. L	\$0.00	\$55,301.86	\$53,374.10	\$1,927.76
	John A. Locke	R	1	W	\$8,680.65	\$59,682.34	\$66,608.75	\$1,754.24
Total Car	ndidates for Seat : 2				\$8,680.65	\$114,984.20	\$119,982.85	\$3,682.00
<u>15th N</u>	lorfolk							
	Frank Smizik	D	N	W	\$7,780.50	\$29,697.00	\$33,940.78	\$3,536.72
	Ronny Sydney	D	. 1	L	\$13,357.57	\$54,581.15	\$51,325.55	\$16,613.17
Total Car	ndidates for Seat : 2				\$21,138.07	\$84,278.15	\$85,266.33	\$20,149.89
1st Pl	<u>/mouth</u>							
	Vinny DeMacedo	R	Ė	W	\$16,511.76	\$36,438.50	\$46,410.31	\$6,539.95
	Richard H. Sherman	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$13,142.43	\$12,928.43	\$214.00
	Frank P. Turso, III	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$5,548.00	\$5,442.03	\$105.97
Total Car	ndidates for Seat : 3				\$16,511.76	\$55,128.93	\$64,780.77	\$6,859.92

<u>District</u> <u>Candidato</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	Receipts	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
2nd Plymouth							
Rose Renee Fernandes-Abbott	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$9,601.05	\$9,589.14	\$11.91
Ruth W. Provost	D	1	W	\$7,736.38	\$28,133.49	\$34,925.70	\$944.17
Susan Williams	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$26,006.28	\$26,006.28	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat : 3				\$7,736.38	\$63,740.82	\$70,521.12	\$956.08
3rd Plymouth		•					
Garrett Bradley	D	N	W	\$32,560.93	\$94,671.22	\$126,479.86	\$752.29
Michael Holden	D	N	L	\$17,066.08	\$39,732.00	\$54,284.94	\$2,513.14
Mary Anne McKenna	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$43,635.10	\$43,635.10	\$0.00
Walter S. Murray	D	N .	L	\$20.00	\$9,811.42	\$9,831.42	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat : 4				\$49,647.01	\$187,849.74	\$234,231.32	\$3,265.43
4th Plymouth							
Frank M. Hynes	D	. 1	W	\$30,736.63	\$15,630.00	\$13,661.23	\$32,705.40
Ronald B. Wheatley	R	N	L	\$37.46	\$1,534.54	\$1,293.44	\$278.56
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$30,774.09	\$17,164.54	\$14,954.67	\$32,983.96
5th Plymouth							
Robert J. Nyman	D	1	. W	\$1,667.21	\$13,688.77	\$14,714.39	\$641.59
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$1,667.21	\$13,688.77	\$14,714.39	\$641.59

<u>District</u> <u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	Receipts	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
6th Plymouth				•			
Dino Colucci	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Francis L. Marini	R	11	W	\$23,957.39	\$48,234.17	\$58,428.03	\$13,763.53
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$23,957.39	\$48,234.17	\$58,428.03	\$13,763.53
7th Plymouth							
Kathleen M. Teahan	D	. 1	W	\$15,636.27	\$10,190.00	\$12,923.37	\$12,902.90
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$15,636.27	\$10,190.00	\$12,923.37	\$12,902.90
8th Plymouth							
David L. Flynn	D	I	·W	\$9,949.38	\$14,868.50	\$8,443.99	\$16,373.89
Total Candidates for Seat : 1			-	\$9,949.38	\$14,868.50	\$8,443.99	\$16,373.89
9th Plymouth							
Thomas P. Kennedy	D	I	W	\$40,215.47	\$25,191.89	\$15,776.43	\$49,630.93
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$40,215.47	\$25,191.89	\$15,776.43	\$49,630.93
10th Plymouth							
Christine E. Canavan	D D	1	W	\$6,404.79	\$16,802.48	\$21,746.34	\$1,460.93
Richard J. Curran	R	N	Ĺ	\$0.00	\$21,574.40	\$19,442.97	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$6,404.79	\$38,376.88	\$41,189.31	\$1,460.93

<u>District</u> <u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
11th Plymouth		•					
Geraldine Creedon	D	1	W	\$11,112.44	\$15,025.00	\$11,210.16	\$14,927.28
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$11,112.44	\$15,025.00	\$11,210.16	\$14,927.28
12th Plymouth							
William Cravene	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Thomas J. O'Brien	D	1	W	\$13,273.69	\$34,265.00	\$37,027.10	\$10,511.59
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$13,273.69	\$34,265.00	\$37,027.10	\$10,511.59
1st Suffolk							
Anthony Petruccelli	, D	ı	W	\$417.05	\$19,585.00	\$13,611.66	\$6,390.39
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$417.05	\$19,585.00	\$13,611.66	\$6,390.39
2nd Suffolk							
Gene L. O'Flaherty	D	1	w	\$4,001.00	\$37,389.30	\$23,351.47	\$18,939.73
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$4,901.90	\$37,389.30	\$23,351.47	\$18,939.73
3rd Suffolk							
Salvatore DiMasi	D	1	W	\$104,572.1	\$46,090.00	\$49,784.67	\$100,877.45
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$104,572.12	\$46,090.00	\$49,784.67	\$100,877.45
4th Suffolk							
John A. Hart Jr.	D		W	\$9,625.50	\$55,057.00	\$39,875.62	\$24,806.88
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$9,625.50	\$55,057.00	\$39,875.62	\$24,806.88
House Candidates 2000				64		Office of Campa	ign and Political Finance

<u>District</u> <u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	Receipts	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
Eth Cuffolk							
5th Suffolk							
Althea Garrison	0	N	L ,	\$0.00	\$4,958.54	\$4,958.54	\$0.00
Marie St. Fleur	D	1	W	\$2,635.08	\$41,175.00	\$39,693.44	\$4,116.64
Total Candidates for Seat :	2			\$2,635.08	\$46,133.54	\$44,651.98	\$4,116.64
6th Suffolk							
Shirley Owens-	Hicks D		W	\$3,322.73	\$19,485.00	\$19,063.61	\$3,744.12
Total Candidates for Seat :	1			\$3,322.73	\$19,485.00	\$19,063.61	\$3,744.12
7th Suffolk				•			
Gloria Fox	D	1	W	\$2,377.65	\$8,621.50	\$9,286.79	\$1,712.36
Shirley Shillingf	ord D	N	L	\$0.00	\$1,515.00	\$1,350.00	\$165.00
Total Candidates for Seat :	2			\$2,377.65	\$10,136.50	\$10,636.79	\$1,877.36
8th Suffolk							
Paul Demakis	D	1	W	\$14,128.15	\$73,771.94	\$37,610.30	\$50,289.79
Hannah Meeha	n R	N .	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Candidates for Seat :	2			\$14,128.15	\$73,771.94	\$37,610.30	\$50,289.79
9th Suffolk							
Heather Ashcra	ft o	. N	L	\$0.00	\$200.00	\$200.00	\$0.00
Byron Rushing	D	1	W	\$18,376.22	\$9,490.25	\$12,531.81	\$15,334.66
Total Candidates for Seat :	2			\$18,376.22	\$9,690.25	\$12,731.81	\$15,334.66

<u>District</u> <u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
10th Suffolk							
David Donnelly	D	1	W	\$9,545.89	\$51,279.44	\$49,624.74	\$11,200.59
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$9,545.89	\$51,279.44	\$49,624.74	\$11,200.59
11th Suffolk							
Arthur Craffey	0	N	L	\$544.88	\$5.38	\$0.00	\$550.26
Elizabeth Malia	D	1	W	\$4,509.37	\$17,132.83	\$15,351.70	\$6,290.50
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$5,054.25	\$17,138.21	\$15,351.70	\$6,840.76
12th Suffolk							
Thomas M. Finneran	D	1	W	\$337,527.8	\$266,082.46	\$298,905.33	\$304,554.96
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$337,527.83	\$266,082.46	\$298,905.33	\$304,554.96
13th Suffolk							
Martin J. Walsh	D	1	w ^s	\$3,071.59	\$13,117.75	\$41,036.31	\$6,383.03
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$3,971.59	\$43,447.75	\$41,036.31	\$6,383.03
14th Suffolk							
Angelo Scaccia	D	1	W	\$17,674.85	\$40,319.36	\$41,985.30	\$16,008.91
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$17,674.85	\$40,319.36	\$41,985.30	\$16,008.91
15th Suffolk							
Kevin W. Fitzgerald	D _.	1	W	\$37,597.91	\$52,473.75	\$61,525.29	\$28,546.37
Total Candidates for Seat : 1	•			\$37,597.91	\$52,473.75	\$61,525.29	\$28,546.37
House Candidates 2000				66		Office of Campa	ign and Political Finance

<u>District</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>End</u>
16th Suf	<u>folk</u>							
Ka	athi-Anne Reinstein	D	I	W	\$18,086.97	\$22,961.86	\$31,698.56	\$9,350.27
Total Candid	lates for Seat : 1				\$18,086.97	\$22,961.86	\$31,698.56	\$9,350.27
17th Suf	folk	•						
Ko	ovin G. Honan	D	1		\$27,712.14	\$21,200.00	\$21,016.41	\$30,865.73
Total Candid	lates for Seat: 1				\$27,712.14	\$24,200.00	\$21,046.41	\$30,865.73
18th Suf	folk							
Br	rian Golden	D	1	W	\$16,326.02	\$8,365.00	\$11,813.46	\$12,877.56
Total Candid	lates for Seat : 1			•	\$16,326.02	\$8,365.00	\$11,813.46	\$12,877.56
19th Suf	folk							
Ro	obert A. DeLeo	D	I	W	\$42,364.08	\$54,667.00	\$44,806.00	\$52,225.08
Tir	mothy J. Jones	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Total Candid	lates for Seat : 2				\$42,364.08	\$54,667.00	\$44,806.00	\$52,225.08
1st Word	<u>cester</u>							
Da	avid C. Bunker Jr.	D	1	W	\$1,260.25	\$29,246.95	\$28,727.65	\$1,779.55
Ma	ark S. Ferguson	R	N ·	L	\$3,233.56	\$64,843.10	\$66,433.65	\$1,643.01
Total Candid	dates for Seat : 2				\$4,493.81	\$94,090.05	\$95,161.30	\$3,422.56

<u>District</u> <u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	Receipts	<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>End</u>
2nd Worcester							·
Brian Knuuttila	D	1	W	\$12,635.34	\$7,033.97	\$7,771.76	\$11,897.55
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$12,635.34	\$7,033.97	\$7,771.76	\$11,897.55
3rd Worcester							
Emile Goguen	D	1	W	\$1,193.45	\$4,500.00	\$3,894.06	\$1,799.39
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$1,193.45	\$4,500.00	\$3,894.06	\$1,799.39
4th Worcester							
Mary Jane Simmons	υ	1	VV	\$11,614.65	\$4,891.04	\$11,174.82	\$5,330.87
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$11,614.65	\$4,891.04	\$11,174.82	\$5,330.87
5th Worcester							*
David H. Tuttle	R	I	W	\$6,028.75	\$19,929.25	\$22,443.30	\$3,514.70
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$6,028.75	\$19,929.25	\$22,443.30	\$3,514.70
6th Worcester							
Mark J. Carron	D	1	W	\$2,709.71	\$25,788.09	\$25,023.31	\$3,474.49
Jerzy J. Jachimczyk	R	N	· L	\$1,895.47	\$26,696.59	\$27,907.87	\$684.19
Scott S. Lazo	D	N	. L	\$508.86	\$18,355.17	\$18,680.12	\$183.91
Total Candidates for Seat : 3				\$5,114.04	\$70,839.85	\$71,611.30	\$4,342.59

<u>District</u> <u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	Receipts	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
7th Worcester							
Paul Frost	R	I	W	\$14,525.45	\$28,086.78	\$42,111.95	\$500.28
Susan Weagle	D	N	L	\$2,568.91	\$33,746.94	\$35,477.44	\$838.41
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$17,094.36	\$61,833.72	\$77,589.39	\$1,338.69
8th Worcester							
Paul Kujawski	D	I	W	\$135.01	\$43,965.58	\$40,728.95	\$3,371.64
Total Candidates for Seat: 1				\$135.01	\$43,965.58	\$40,728.95	\$3,371.64
9th Worcester							
George Peterson Jr.	R	1 .	W	\$13,967.64	\$17,531.00	\$25,906.74	\$5,591.90
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$13,967.64	\$17,531.00	\$25,906.74	\$5,591.90
10th Worcester							
William E. Kingkade	п	N	L L	\$1,270.00	\$29,870.55	\$29,544.75	\$1,595.80
Marie Parente	D	1	W	\$7,935.21	\$34,085.58	\$30,721.26	\$11,299.53
Total Candidates for Seat : 2				\$9,205.21	\$63,956.13	\$60,266.01	\$12,895.33
11th Worcester							
Karyn Polito	R	N	W	\$2,835.57	\$49,301.43	\$20,440.66	\$31,696.34
Total Candidates for Seat : 1				\$2,835.57	\$49,301.43	\$20,440.66	\$31,696.34

<u>District</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	<u>Receipts</u>	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
<u>12th \</u>	<u> Worcester</u>							
	Patrick D. Cullen	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$6,005.00	\$4,916.41	\$1,088.59
	Harold Naughton Jr.	D	1	W	\$28,527.45	\$68,195.00	\$79,680.47	\$17,041.98
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 2				\$28,527.45	\$74,200.00	\$84,596.88	\$18,130.57
<u>13th \</u>	<u> Vorcester</u>							
	Harriett Lebow	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$37,271.95	\$35,900.87	\$1,371.08
	James J. Lukes	П	, N	L	\$0.00	\$16,172.81	\$16,172.81	\$0.00
	Brian A. O'Connell	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$13,269.58	\$10,190.32	\$3,079.26
	Robert Spellane	D	N	W	\$0.00	\$66,160.00	\$65,993.32	\$166.68
	Joseph W. Spillane	D	N	· L	\$0.00	\$37,621.17	\$37,252.12	\$369.05
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 5				\$0.00	\$170,495.51	\$165,509.44	\$4,986.07
<u>14th \</u>	<u>Vorcester</u>						•	
	Timothy Cooney	D	N	L	\$0.00	\$18,234.00	\$16,192.40	\$2,041.60
	James B. Leary	D	N.	W	\$0.00	\$67,390.68	\$66,347.67	\$1,043.01
	William J. McManue II	0	, 1	L	\$21,320.51	\$53,280.00	\$74,397.68	\$202.83
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat: 3				\$21,320.51	\$138,904.68	\$156,937.75	\$3,287.44
<u>15th \</u>	<u>Vorcester</u>		•					
	Frank Beshai	R	N	L	\$0.00	\$16,755.42	\$16,606.65	\$148.77
	Vincent A. Pedone	D.	1	W	\$17,182.19	\$21,664.29	\$20,327.07	\$18,519.15
Total Ca	ndidates for Seat : 2				\$17,182.19	\$38,419.71	\$36,933.72	\$18,667.92

<u>District</u>	<u>Candidate</u>		<u>Party</u>	Incumbent?	Won/Lost	<u>Start</u>	Receipts	Expenditures	<u>End</u>
<u>16th V</u>	<u>Vorcester</u>								
	John Fresolo		D	. 1	W	\$17,242.45	\$13,297.23	\$20,427.64	\$10,112.04
Total Car	ndidates for Seat: 1					\$17,242.45	\$13,297.23	\$20,427.64	\$10,112.04
<u>17th V</u>	Vorcester								
	John J. Binienda,	Sr.	D	I	w	\$87,632.83	\$36,645.00	\$13,233.77	\$111,044.06
Total Car	ndidates for Seat : 1					\$87,632.83	\$36,645.00	\$13,233.77	\$111,044.06
Total		2	65	House 7	otale	\$3,589,700.01	\$6,718,138.45	\$6,643,626.09	\$3,661,609.49